

Towards the Future Internet – An Overview of Challenges and Solutions in Research and Standardization

Thomas Dreibholz, Erwin P. Rathgeb
University of Duisburg-Essen, Institute for Experimental Mathematics
Ellernstrasse 29, 45326 Essen, Germany
{thomas.dreibholz,erwin.rathgeb}@uni-due.de

I. INTRODUCTION

The basic intention for the development of the Internet has been the simple and inexpensive interconnection of nodes to provide services like file download or e-mail. However, due to its huge growth and popularity, the classical protocols – which still constitute the basis of today’s Internet – have reached their limits of scalability and functionality. Lots of research has been performed in order to overcome these restrictions. In our presentation, we would like to give a survey of the challenges on Network, Transport, Session and Application Layer, as well as an outline of solutions which will constitute – from the current perspective in 2008 and with regard to the standardization progress of the IETF – the basis of the Internet in the year 2020.

II. NETWORK LAYER CHALLENGES

On the Network Layer, a too small IPv4 address space as well as its inefficient partitioning lead to overly long routing tables and expensive routing. IPv6 [1] has been designed to overcome these limitations. A particular feature of IPv6 is that each endpoint possesses multiple addresses (possibly with different scopes). Furthermore, the existing IPv4 infrastructure will still have a long lifetime – and each endpoint will also have an IPv4 address unless (almost) every host has been made IPv6-capable. That is, future hosts will be *multi-homed*, i.e. reachable under different addresses and even Network Layer protocols. This property – and its utilization for a better service – becomes a challenge for the Transport Layer (see section III).

A particularly important IPv6 feature is to easily allow for site-renumbering by its auto-configuration. A site renumbering means to change the prefix (i.e. the network address). Unlike IPv4 – where assigned network addresses are transferred on provider changes, leading to large backbone routing tables – this also puts challenges on the Transport Layer.

Recent advances on the Network Layer are not restricted to the routed protocols, also the routing procedure itself is improved. While the foundation of the Internet has been stateless packet forwarding due to scarce resources, future generations of routers will be powerful enough to perform *flow routing* [2]. Having a state for each routed flow, applying Quality of Service (QoS) [3] mechanisms




Figure 1. Multi-Homing with SCTP

gets easy. A novel approach for a simple QoS mechanism has been proposed by us in [4]–[6]: instead of setting up reservations by complex QoS signalling procedures, we simply remember a small set of flow identities. These remembered flows will be in the focus of packet discard on network overload. All other flows should not suffer from packet loss. Our approach is currently under consideration by ITU-T and ETSI [7]–[9].

III. TRANSPORT LAYER CHALLENGES

Using TCP for the transport of upper-layer data, a connection between two endpoints – given by a selected pair of Network Layer addresses – is established. Upon change of one of the addresses (e.g. due to a site renumbering), the connection is broken. Furthermore, if the endpoints are interconnected by two distinct networks, the connection only uses a single network for the transport (given by the address pair). If this network fails, the connection is also broken.

In order to overcome this challenge, the SCTP (Stream Control Transmission Protocol) [10], [11] – a connection-oriented and reliable Transport Layer protocol – has been designed and is now supported by all major operating systems. Its most important feature is multi-homing (as illustrated in figure 1): as long as there is at least one possible path between two endpoints, a connection remains usable. Furthermore, SCTP allows for address changes (Add-IP) [12] – which are likely in mobility scenarios (e.g. on handover from a wired to a wireless network [13]). In particular, applying Add-IP on a connection even allows for its establishment in an IPv4-only




Figure 2. Our RSerPool Prototype Demo

network, later adding IPv6 addresses during transition to IPv6 and finally removing the obsolete IPv4 addresses – without breaking the association or even bothering the upper layers! The main application of Add-IP is to add or remove additional links for redundancy reasons and to support endpoint mobility for long-lasting transport connections.

Furthermore, SCTP provides the preservation of message frames and multi-streaming. The multi-streaming feature allows for multiplexing different data flows over a single transport association, which is in particular useful for the transport of VoIP/multimedia trunk data. Furthermore, it is possible to turn off packet retransmission on a per-message basis (PR-SCTP option). However, unlike UDP, there is always congestion control for the transport association. Secure-SCTP (S-SCTP) [14], [15], a further optional extension which has been developed by us, provides per-message encryption and authentication.

IV. SESSION LAYER CHALLENGES

While SCTP already addresses network path redundancy, a service is also broken if the server itself fails. Due to an increasing amount of critical services, it has been necessary to design a unified and application-independent approach to provide server pool management, session handling and the support of a session failover between servers. This approach by the IETF – Reliable Server Pooling (RSerPool) – in particular defines the IETF’s first Session Layer protocol [16]. The core RSerPool standard has been published as RFCs [17]–[20] in September 2008, while there is still ongoing research on optimizations and improvements.

Server redundancy leads to load distribution and load balancing, which are also covered by RSerPool [21]–[23]. But in contrast to already available solutions in the area of Grid and high-performance computing, the fundamental property of RSerPool is to be “lightweight”, i.e. it must be usable on devices providing only scarce memory and CPU resources (e.g. embedded systems like routers and telecommunications equipment). This property restricts the scope of RSerPool to the management of pools and

sessions only, but on the other hand supports a very efficient realization [24]. Nevertheless, components may be distributed globally to continue their service even in case of localized disasters [25]. Any further functionalities are considered to be application-specific and may be provided by upper layers. In particular, the application may use arbitrary failover procedures. However, due to its simplicity, RSerPool provides client-based state sharing [26] for failover handling: a PE may send its current session state to the PU in form of a state cookie. The PU stores the latest state cookie and provides it to a new PE upon failover. Then, the new PE simply restores the state described by the cookie. Cryptographic methods can ensure the integrity, authenticity and confidentiality of the state information.

The focus on current research on RSerPool systems are the security and countermeasures against Denial of Service attacks [27], [28].

V. APPLICATION LAYER CHALLENGES

Based on RSerPool, it is possible to provide a critical service by using unreliable components. This means that a server pool can consist of inexpensive, off-the-shelf PC components. Designing applications directly with server redundancy by RSerPool in mind, this may lead to a significantly improved cost-benefit ratio of services. In the extreme case, a service could (mainly) be provided by currently idle PCs – which have to leave the pool immediately if required otherwise. During our presentation, we will illustratively demonstrate this concept – as well as the multi-homing features of SCTP – by a demo presentation of our Open Source RSerPool reference implementation RSPLIB [16], [25], [29]! A screenshot of our demo system [30] is depicted in figure 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Stewart, “Stream Control Transmission Protocol,” IETF, Standards Track RFC 4960, Sep. 2007.
- [2] J. L. Adams, A. IJsselmuiden, and L. Roberts, “An advanced QoS protocol for real-time content over the internet,” in *Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Quality of Service (IWQoS)*, Passau/Germany, Jun. 2005, pp. 164–177.
- [3] T. Dreiholz, “Management of Layered Variable Bitrate Multimedia Streams over DiffServ with Apriori Knowledge,” Masters Thesis, University of Bonn, Institute for Computer Science, Feb. 2001.
- [4] W. Zhu, T. Dreiholz, E. P. Rathgeb, and X. Zhou, “A Scalable QoS Device for Broadband Access to Multimedia Services,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Future Generation Communication and Networking (FGCN)*, Sanya, Hainan/People’s Republic of China, Dec. 2008.
- [5] W. Zhu, T. Dreiholz, and E. P. Rathgeb, “Analysis and Evaluation of a Scalable QoS Device for Broadband Access to Multimedia Services,” in *Proceedings of the 33rd IEEE Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN)*, Montreal/Canada, Oct. 2008, pp. 504–505, ISBN 978-1-4244-2413-9.
- [6] T. Dreiholz, A. J. Smith, and J. L. Adams, “Realizing a scalable edge device to meet QoS requirements for real-time content delivered to IP broadband customers,” in *Proceedings of the 10th IEEE International Conference on Telecommunications (ICT)*, vol. 2, Papeete/French Polynesia, Feb. 2003, pp. 1133–1139, ISBN 0-7803-7661-7.
- [7] A. IJsselmuiden and J. L. Adams, “Delivery of assured QoS content in NGNs,” ITU-T, British Telecom Contribution D-Q4,6,10,11,16,SG13, Feb. 2004.
- [8] —, “Proposal for a new IP transfer capability and future QoS studies,” ITU-T, Tech. Rep. D-Q4,6,10,11,16,SG13, Feb. 2004.

- [9] —, “Delivering QoS from remote content providers,” ETSI, British Telecom Contribution TISPAN 01(03)TD132, Sep. 2003.
- [10] A. Jungmaier, “Das Transportprotokoll SCTP,” Ph.D. dissertation, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Institut für Experimentelle Mathematik, Aug. 2005.
- [11] C. Hohendorf, E. P. Rathgeb, E. Unurkhaan, and M. Tüxen, “Secure End-to-End Transport Over SCTP,” in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Emerging Trends in Information and Communication Security (ETRICS)*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 3995. Springer, 2006, pp. 381–395.
- [12] R. Stewart, Q. Xie, M. Tüxen, S. Maruyama, and M. Kozuka, “Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) Dynamic Address Reconfiguration,” IETF, Standards Track RFC 5061, Sep. 2007.
- [13] T. Dreibholz, A. Jungmaier, and M. Tüxen, “A new Scheme for IP-based Internet Mobility,” in *Proceedings of the 28th IEEE Local Computer Networks Conference (LCN)*, Königswinter/Germany, Nov. 2003, pp. 99–108, ISBN 0-7695-2037-5.
- [14] E. Unurkhaan, “Secure End-to-End Transport - A new security extension for SCTP,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of Duisburg-Essen, Institute for Experimental Mathematics, Jul. 2005.
- [15] C. Hohendorf, T. Dreibholz, and E. Unurkhaan, “Secure SCTP,” IETF, Individual Submission, Internet-Draft Version 05, Jul. 2008, draft-hohendorf-secure-sctp-05.txt, work in progress.
- [16] T. Dreibholz, “Reliable Server Pooling – Evaluation, Optimization and Extension of a Novel IETF Architecture,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of Duisburg-Essen, Faculty of Economics, Institute for Computer Science and Business Information Systems, Mar. 2007.
- [17] P. Lei, L. Ong, M. Tüxen, and T. Dreibholz, “An Overview of Reliable Server Pooling Protocols,” IETF, Informational RFC 5351, Sep. 2008.
- [18] R. Stewart, Q. Xie, M. Stillman, and M. Tüxen, “Aggregate Server Access Protocol (ASAP),” IETF, RFC 5352, Sep. 2008.
- [19] Q. Xie, R. Stewart, M. Stillman, M. Tüxen, and A. Silvertson, “Endpoint Handlespace Redundancy Protocol (ENRP),” IETF, RFC 5353, Sep. 2008.
- [20] T. Dreibholz and M. Tüxen, “Reliable Server Pooling Policies,” IETF, RFC 5356, Sep. 2008.
- [21] T. Dreibholz and E. P. Rathgeb, “On the Performance of Reliable Server Pooling Systems,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN) 30th Anniversary*, Sydney/Australia, Nov. 2005, pp. 200–208, ISBN 0-7695-2421-4.
- [22] T. Dreibholz, X. Zhou, and E. P. Rathgeb, “A Performance Evaluation of RSerPool Server Selection Policies in Varying Heterogeneous Capacity Scenarios,” in *Proceedings of the 33rd IEEE EuroMirco Conference on Software Engineering and Advanced Applications*, Lübeck/Germany, Aug. 2007, pp. 157–164, ISBN 0-7695-2977-1.
- [23] X. Zhou, T. Dreibholz, and E. P. Rathgeb, “Improving the Load Balancing Performance of Reliable Server Pooling in Heterogeneous Capacity Environments,” in *Proceedings of the 3rd Asian Internet Engineering Conference (AINTEC)*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4866. Springer, Nov. 2007, pp. 125–140, ISBN 978-3-540-76808-1.
- [24] T. Dreibholz and E. P. Rathgeb, “An Evaluation of the Pool Maintenance Overhead in Reliable Server Pooling Systems,” *SERSC International Journal on Hybrid Information Technology (IJHIT)*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 17–32, Apr. 2008.
- [25] —, “On Improving the Performance of Reliable Server Pooling Systems for Distance-Sensitive Distributed Applications,” in *Proceedings of the 15. ITG/GI Fachtagung Kommunikation in Verteilten Systemen (KiVS)*, Bern/Switzerland, Feb. 2007, pp. 39–50, ISBN 978-3-540-69962-0.
- [26] T. Dreibholz, “An Efficient Approach for State Sharing in Server Pools,” in *Proceedings of the 27th IEEE Local Computer Networks Conference (LCN)*, Tampa, Florida/U.S.A., Oct. 2002, pp. 348–352, ISBN 0-7695-1591-6.
- [27] T. Dreibholz, E. P. Rathgeb, and X. Zhou, “On Robustness and Countermeasures of Reliable Server Pooling Systems against Denial of Service Attacks,” in *Proceedings of the IFIP Networking*, Singapore, May 2008, pp. 586–598, ISBN 978-3-540-79548-3.
- [28] P. Schöttle, T. Dreibholz, and E. P. Rathgeb, “On the Application of Anomaly Detection in Reliable Server Pooling Systems for Improved Robustness against Denial of Service Attacks,” in *Proceedings of the 33rd IEEE Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN)*, Montreal/Canada, Oct. 2008, pp. 207–214, ISBN 978-1-4244-2413-9.
- [29] T. Dreibholz, “Thomas Dreibholz’s RSerPool Page,” 2008.
- [30] T. Dreibholz and E. P. Rathgeb, “An Application Demonstration of the Reliable Server Pooling Framework,” in *Proceedings of the 24th IEEE INFOCOM*, Miami, Florida/U.S.A., Mar. 2005, demonstration and poster presentation.