



# **RFC Production Center**

## **IETF 113**



## Editorial Errata

- RPC is actively reviewing Editorial errata (per [IESG Statement about Processing Errata](#))
- RPC is sending any reports that we are unable to verify to stream managers for further review. For IETF, they are directed to ADs.



## AUTH48 experiment using GitHub: process overview

RPC continues to explore use of GitHub as an option for AUTH48.

- RFC Editor owns the repo
- Questions added as issues
- Issues resolved by replying to comments or via pull requests
- Repo archived and made private after RFC published
- RPC requests feedback from participants to refine process

We are identifying good candidates, familiarizing ourselves with GitHub, training the editors, and improving our process.

# Update: AUTH48 experiment using GitHub

	RFC 9131	RFC 9069
I-D	<a href="#">draft-ietf-6man-grand-07</a> (1 author)	<a href="#">draft-ietf-grow-bmp-local-rib-13</a> (4 authors)
Pages submitted	24 pages	14 pages
AUTH48 start	2021-09-15	2021-10-18
Publication	2021-10-01	2022-02-16
GitHub repo	<a href="https://github.com/rfc-editor/rfc9131-AUTH48/issues">https://github.com/rfc-editor/rfc9131-AUTH48/issues</a>	<a href="https://github.com/rfc-editor/rfc9069-AUTH48/issues">https://github.com/rfc-editor/rfc9069-AUTH48/issues</a>
<b>AUTH48 details</b>		
Time in state	8.2 weeks	16.6 weeks
# issues at start	17	12



## Further GitHub experimentation

- Good candidates need to meet the following criteria:
  - XML source file
  - Author experience with GitHub
  - Author, Doc Shepherd, AD willingness to participate
  - 25 pages or less
  - Not part of a cluster
  - Not time-sensitive
- If interested, inform your AD and [rfc-editor@rfc-editor.org](mailto:rfc-editor@rfc-editor.org) when the document enters the queue.
- Note that trials are limited; we will let you know if your document has been selected.



## AUTH48 Archive

- Proposed public archive of AUTH48 mails (as detailed on 24 Feb)  
Awaiting decision after the comment period ends (24 March)



# Production

## Tier 1

PGTE < 1950

67% RFCs pub <= 6 wks RET

## Tier 2

1950 < PGTE < 3072









50% RFCs pub <= 12 wks RET

## Tier 3

3072 < PGTE

possibly renegotiate expected RET

PGTE: Pages Gone To Edit

	2020				2021				2022
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1 (so far)
<b>Submissions</b>									
<b>Pages</b>	2423	929	1084	935	2601	1310	1513	930	1106
<b>Docs</b>	64	40	42	34	67	49	41	30	40
<b>PGTE</b>	2537	904	814	1134	2655	1403	2011	1163	963
<b>Publications</b>									
<b>Pages</b>	1383	890	1825	1114	2117	1859	1507	904	1181
<b>Docs</b>	71	34	53	52	89	61	54	36	33
<b>Docs met SLA</b>	7	9	0	17	49	48	40	33	
<b>SLA tier</b>	Tier 2	Tier 2*	Tier 1	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2*	Tier 2	Tier 2*	
<b>SLA</b>									


Tier 2\* indicates when Tier 2 is being applied in the “subsequent quarter” as per the SLA.

**Note:** Transition to a new RFC format in Sept. 2019 led to not meeting the SLA in 2020.

See <https://www.rfc-editor.org/reports> for more details.




## Types of Source File Submitted by Authors





# Queue Breakdown: pages by state





## Other Updates

### RFC Editor Future Program

- Tracking discussion
- Working through action items to make it operational, for example:
  - Set up mailing lists
  - Update system and scripts to handle Editorial Stream
  - Update content on web pages; e.g., remove mention of RSE and RSAG, point to new model docs
  - Archive RSE wiki; move relevant content
- Participating in RSCE recruitment search
- Reorganizing team to meet new workload

### RFC Production Center Tools Modernization Project

- Reviewing the software and processes that support the current workflow and documenting ideas for discussion with the Business Analyst / Project Manager



**Questions?**

Office hours in person and via Gather