P802.1ASdm-Rev/D0.2
Editor's Report

Proposed Comment Resolution for TG Ballot

Version 2

Geoffrey M. Garner
Huawei (Consultant)

gmgarner@alum.mit.edu

IEEE 802.1 TSN TG
2021.05.03

Ballot Statistics

❑Thanks to all who reviewed the document and commented

Category	All respondents	
	Total	Percent
Yes (voters)	8	40.00
No (voters)	12	60.00
Voting Yes or No	20	100
Abstain Time	3	
Abstain Expertise	9	
Abstain Total	12	37.5
Respondents	33	
Voting Members	32	100
Non-voting member commenters	1 (voted no)	
Number of Commenters	18	
Number of Comments	148	
TR	55	
T	6	
ER	67	
E	20	

Comments by Category - 1

❑In what follows, grouped comments are shown together in parentheses

❑Editorial Comments (Category E or ER) that are, in the judgement of the editor, trivial (33 comments)

▪All the following comments will be accepted or accepted in principle, and will not be discussed unless someone indicates a desire to discuss them (they will be left in proposed mode for an adequate time period for participants to review them)

•(6, 110), (7, 40, 111), 23, 24, 25, 29, 30, (31, 62, 119), 35, (36, 55, 76), (39, 78),41, 43, (51, 52, 53, 61, 63), 58, 59, 60, 74, 90, 105, 130, 145, 146,

❑The comments on the following pages will be discussed.

▪Note that many of the ones marked E or ER are nontrivial and must be discussed in detail (even though they are marked E or ER)

▪Note that the listed topics are not necessarily full descriptions of the comments; they are mainly to aid the editor in the comment resolution

Comments by Category - 2

❑ Comments to be discussed (113 comments):

- Why is the scope changing: (1, 73, 85)

- Why are maintenance items not in Cor1: 2

- Elimination of references to 60802: (3, 100)

- Event message terminology, event messages in HotStandbySystem state machine, and criteria for isCapable transition from TRUE to FALSE : (4, 12, 79, 80)

- syncReceiptTimeoutTime: (5, 71)

- Subclause organization: (8, 9, 21, 72, 136)

- Why must all available ports be asCapable for isCapable to be TRUE: 10

- Criteria for SYNCED and NOT_QUALITY: (11, 14, 17, 57, 64, 103)

- slaveP changing to/from -1 in HotStandbySystem state machine: 13

- Nomenclature – "HotStandby" instead of "60802": (15, 19, 42, 65, 68, 69, 70, 86, 87, 94, 99, 112, 121, 122, 124, 125, 126, 132)

- References to global variables in state machines, instead of managed objects: (16, 22, 67, 102)

- Use of italics for hot standby related names: 18, 66, 96, 104, 116, 123

Comments by Category - 3

❏ Comments to be discussed (Cont.):

- Reverting via "human being" vs "external entity" vs "higher-layer entity", etc.: (20, 26, 56, 133, 134, 141)

- Use of term "timescale": 33

- Clarification of description of HotStandbySystem entity: (37, 38, 118), 144

- Split functionality (should it be included): 44

- Description of actions caused by HotStandbySystem entity in 17.12: (45, 46, 47, 48, 84)

- MIB modifications: 49

- Editing instructions to change "domain number" to "domainNumber": 50

- Errata page link: 54

- Reference to figure 10-1: 75

- Use of PTP versus gPTP: (77, 97)

- Nomenclature: FAULT versus NOT_REDUNDANT: 81

- Description of holdover: (82, 107, 113)

- Action by HotStandbySystem entity when the system is a slave and in FAULT state: 83

- Addition of hotStandbySystemReset variable: 88

- Recovery of HotStandbySystem: 89

Comments by Category - 3

❑Comments to be discussed (Cont.):

- Addition of editor's notes to indicate changes and, where relevant, maintenance items: (91, 92, 93, 120, 129,131)

- "Seamless" terminology: (114, 115)

- Clarification of HotStandbyInstance: 117, 135, 137, 138, (139, 140), 142, 143

- Addition of "N/A [ ]" to PICS: 127

- Backward compatibility of 802.1ASdm with 802.1AS-2011: (128, 148)

- Miscellaneous: 27, 28, 32, 34, (98, 101), 106, (108, 109), 147

- Redrawing of figures for clarity: 95