P802.1Qcz Congestion Isolation

Resolution of Comments on Project Authorization Request (PAR) and Criteria for Standards. Development (CSD)

802.3 Comment on PAR 5.5

Comment:

5.5, Need – line 8 change to read "existing IEEE 802 higher-layer congestion management.

> Response:

Accept in Principal

- -The sentence was trying to be inclusive of both IEEE 802 and IETF (aka higher-layer) existing congestion management schemes, however the 'and' made the sentence hard to parse. The proposal is to eliminate the "IEEE 802 and higher-layer" portion of the sentence.
- -The original sentence was, "The amendment will interoperate with existing IEEE 802 and higher-layer congestion management."
- -Propose to change to, "The amendment will interoperate with existing congestion management."

802.11 Comment on PAR 2.1

Comment:

2.1 the title seems to be incorrect. "Approved" "Draft" "Standard" which is it? The name is probably mangled.

Response:Accept

- -The mangle was caused by a bug in the PDF generation of the eTool
- -New PAR form was created to work around the bug

802.11 Comment on PAR 6.1.b

Comment:

6.1.b the sentence does not make sense. Suggested replacement: "The YANG Data Model will be assigned a Uniform Resource Name (URN) based on the Registration Authority (RA) URN tutorial and IEEE Std 802d."

> Response:

Accept

802.3 Comment on CSD 1.2.1

Comment:

1.2.1, a), 7th line, Broad Market – grammar, "congesting spreading" -> "congestion spreading".

> Response:

Accept

802.3 Comment on CSD 1.2.3

> Comment:

1.2.3, 2nd paragraph, 1st line, Distinct Identity – Change "specification for VLAN-aware" -> "specification for standards based VLAN-aware".

Response:Accept

802.3 Comment on CSD 1.2.5

Comment:

1.2.5, a), 4th line, Economic Feasibility – grammar, "that size" -> "the size".

> Response:

Accept