Subject: Re: [Reconsideration Request] Urgent Reconsideration Request

Date: Friday, March 30, 2018 at 4:06:25 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: reconsideration@icann.org (sent by reconsider < reconsider-bounces@icann.org >)

To: Rob Golding
Attachments: ATT00001.txt

Dear Mr. Golding,

This will acknowledge our receipt of Reconsideration Request 18-3, filed on behalf of Astutium Ltd. Please note that Request 18-3 is now posted on ICANN's Reconsideration page at

https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/reconsideration-18-3-astutium-request-2018-03-30-en[icann.org]. As Request 18-3 was received by ICANN organization's business day of 30 March 2018, this will be deemed the effective date of submission. We note that your email forwarding Request 18-3 indicates that it is being submitted as an "Urgent Reconsideration Request". As such, it will be processed in accordance with the process set forth under Article 4, Sections 4.2(s) and Sections 4.2(t).

The documentation will be provided to the Board Accountability Mechanisms Committee for consideration. In the event that ICANN receives additional items that relate to Request 18-3, those items will be posted on the Reconsideration page as well, and we encourage you to check back regularly for updates. ICANN will also be sending you notifications when there are updates regarding Request 18-3. We typically provide notice if there are documents (other than those submitted by the requester) that are added to the page, and we will also provide you with notice when there is any Board Accountability Mechanisms Committee recommendation and ICANN Board's final decision.

Best regards,

ICANN 12025 Waterfront Dr., Suite 300 Los Angeles, California 90094

On 3/29/18, 6:22 PM, "reconsider on behalf of Rob Golding" < <a href="mailto:reconsider-bounces@icann.org">reconsider-bounces@icann.org</a> on behalf of Contact Information Redacted wrote:

```
Please find attached a completed Urgent Reconsideration Request
Regards
Rob
--
Rob Golding Contact Information Redacted Astutium Ltd
```

Rob Golding Contact Information Redacted Astutium Ltd Contact Information Redacted

```
* domains * hosting * websites * servers * vps * cloud * backups * consultancy *

---

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A_www.avast.com_antivirus&d=DwICAg&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=Yq8kkKRfvwbzb
5S6uc7Zd0P6CrV6n6oSQVrGL5EQebA&m=AK8YAr_SGTMhQsla4y1I0EzhTRQ440n87p0Z8u02lB4&s=dgQunWUnnI0sHvSE00F
goyM2_XvRg1XSjURUeHYWAo0&e=
```

**Subject:** [Reconsideration Request] Update on Reconsideration Request 18-3

Date: Tuesday, April 3, 2018 at 11:39:37 AM Pacific Daylight Time

**From:** Reconsideration (sent by reconsider <reconsider-bounces@icann.org>)

To: Rob Golding
CC: Reconsideration
Attachments: ATT00001.txt

Dear Mr. Golding,

ICANN's Board Accountability Mechanisms Committee (BAMC) is in receipt of your reconsideration request (Request 18-3), which you identified in your cover email as an urgent request. Request 18-3 seeks reconsideration of ICANN organization's decision to terminate Astutium Limited's Registrar Accreditation Agreement (RAA) with ICANN dated 5 October 2014. As is required under the Bylaws, upon receipt of Request 18-3, the BAMC undertook to evaluate this request to determine if it should be considered on an urgent basis. (See Bylaws, Article 4, Sections 4.2(s) & 4.2(t)[icann.org].)

In doing so, the BAMC noticed that the Request itself does not include a "discussion of why the matter is urgent for reconsideration" as required under Article 4, Section 4.2(s) of the Bylaws. Accordingly, rather than deciding not to treat the request urgently, the BAMC wanted to provide you with the opportunity to update Request 18-3 within two business days to provide the requisite explanation of why the matter is urgent for consideration.

That said, recognizing that the effective date of the termination of the RAA that you are challenging is 20 April 2018, and therefore may be the basis for the urgency, the BAMC will direct ICANN organization to postpone the effective date of termination for the time being while this matter is under consideration. Accordingly, if this is the only basis for urgency, Request 18-3 may not require urgent treatment, which would provide all parties additional time to consider the matter. Of course, if there is any other basis for urgency, please let us know within two business days and the BAMC will again undertake to evaluate whether the matter should be treated on an urgent basis as required under the Bylaws.

If we do not receive a revised request or hear from you otherwise within two business days, the BAMC will process Request 18-3 as a standard reconsideration request and direct that ICANN organization postpone the effective date of termination for the time being while this matter is under consideration.

Thank you and please let us know if you have any questions.

Best regards, ICANN 12025 Waterfront Drive, Suite 300 Los Angeles, CA 90094 **Subject:** [Reconsideration Request] Update on Reconsideration Request 18-3

Date: Wednesday, April 4, 2018 at 10:44:46 AM Pacific Daylight Time

**From:** Reconsideration (sent by reconsider < reconsider-bounces@icann.org>)

To: Rob Golding
CC: Reconsideration
Attachments: ATT00001.txt

Dear Mr. Golding,

Thank you for your prompt response. Pursuant to your request, we will process Request 18-3 under the standard Reconsideration process. We will retain the original submission date of 30 March 2018 as the effective date of submission.

Best regards, ICANN 12025 Waterfront Drive, Suite 300 Los Angeles, CA 90094

Hi

That said, recognizing that the effective date of the termination of the RAA that you are challenging is 20 April 2018, and therefore may be the basis for the urgency, the BAMC will direct ICANN organization to postpone the effective date of termination for the time being while this matter is under consideration.

Thank you.

Accordingly, if this is the only basis for urgency, Request 18 3 may not require urgent treatment, which would provide all parties additional time to consider the matter.

The specific reason for "urgent" was, as you correctly surmise, the effective date being less than 3 weeks away.

Under the circumstances you propose, I'm happy for it to be reclassified as "standard timeframe" it's no less \_important\_ (and possibly business mission critical) but not \_urgent\_ (in the sense the internet will break overnight if not resolved)

Thank you

Regards Rob Golding Astutium Ltd