

# TR-114 VDSL2 Performance Test Plan

Issue: 3 Amendment 1
Issue Date: May 2017

#### **Notice**

The Broadband Forum is a non-profit corporation organized to create guidelines for broadband network system development and deployment. This Technical Report has been approved by members of the Forum. This Technical Report is subject to change. This Technical Report is copyrighted by the Broadband Forum, and all rights are reserved. Portions of this Technical Report may be copyrighted by Broadband Forum members.

#### **Intellectual Property**

Recipients of this Technical Report are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of this Technical Report, or use of any software code normatively referenced in this Technical Report, and to provide supporting documentation.

#### Terms of Use

#### 1. License

Broadband Forum hereby grants you the right, without charge, on a perpetual, non-exclusive and worldwide basis, to utilize the Technical Report for the purpose of developing, making, having made, using, marketing, importing, offering to sell or license, and selling or licensing, and to otherwise distribute, products complying with the Technical Report, in all cases subject to the conditions set forth in this notice and any relevant patent and other intellectual property rights of third parties (which may include members of Broadband Forum). This license grant does not include the right to sublicense, modify or create derivative works based upon the Technical Report except to the extent this Technical Report includes text implementable in computer code, in which case your right under this License to create and modify derivative works is limited to modifying and creating derivative works of such code. For the avoidance of doubt, except as qualified by the preceding sentence, products implementing this Technical Report are not deemed to be derivative works of the Technical Report.

#### 2. NO WARRANTIES

THIS TECHNICAL REPORT IS BEING OFFERED WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY WHATSOEVER, AND IN PARTICULAR, ANY WARRANTY OF NONINFRINGEMENT IS EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMED. ANY USE OF THIS TECHNICAL REPORT SHALL BE MADE ENTIRELY AT THE IMPLEMENTER'S OWN RISK, AND NEITHER THE BROADBAND FORUM, NOR ANY OF ITS MEMBERS OR SUBMITTERS, SHALL HAVE ANY LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO ANY IMPLEMENTER OR THIRD PARTY FOR ANY DAMAGES OF ANY NATURE WHATSOEVER, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS TECHNICAL REPORT.

#### 3. THIRD PARTY RIGHTS

Without limiting the generality of Section 2 above, BROADBAND FORUM ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY TO COMPILE, CONFIRM, UPDATE OR MAKE PUBLIC ANY THIRD PARTY ASSERTIONS OF PATENT OR OTHER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS THAT MIGHT NOW OR IN THE FUTURE BE INFRINGED BY AN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TECHNICAL REPORT IN ITS CURRENT, OR IN ANY FUTURE FORM. IF ANY SUCH RIGHTS ARE DESCRIBED ON THE TECHNICAL REPORT, BROADBAND FORUM TAKES NO POSITION AS TO THE VALIDITY OR

# INVALIDITY OF SUCH ASSERTIONS, OR THAT ALL SUCH ASSERTIONS THAT HAVE OR MAY BE MADE ARE SO LISTED.

The text of this notice must be included in all copies of this Technical Report.

#### **TR Issue History**

Issue Number	Approval Date	<b>Publication Date</b>	Issue Editor	Changes	
1	November	November 2009	Arlynn Wilson,	Original	
	2009		ADTRAN		
2	26 November	17 December	Aleksandra	See Executive	
	2012	2012	Kozarev, Lantiq	Summary	
3	13 March 2017	1 May 2017	Aleksandra	See Executive	
		-	Kozarev, Intel	Summary	
3 Amendment 1	8 May 2017	9 June 2017	Aleksandra	See Executive	
			Kozarev, Intel	Summary	

Comments or questions about this Broadband Forum Technical Report should be directed to help@broadband-forum.org.

Aleksandra Kozarev **Editor** Intel

**Physical Layer Transmission Work** 

Area

Les Brown

Huawei Technologies

**Project Stream** 

Leaders

Lincoln Lavoie Aleksandra Kozarev **UNH-IOL** Intel

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

KEC	UTIVE SUMMARY	6
P	URPOSE AND SCOPE	7
2.1	Conventions	8
2.2		
2.3		
2.4	ABBREVIATIONS	9
T	ECHNICAL REPORT IMPACT	10
3.1	ENERGY EFFICIENCY	10
3.2		
3.3		
U	PDATE TO SECTION B.1.1 PASS/FAIL CRITERIA FOR ANNEX B TESTING	11
_	UPDATE TO SECTION B.15.2 RATE ADAPTIVE PERFORMANCE TESTS FOR BA17ADE DPBO AND UPBO, RETRANSMISSION ENABLED	12
	P 1.1 1.2 R 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 T 3.1 3.2 3.3	PURPOSE AND SCOPE  1.1 PURPOSE 1.2 SCOPE  REFERENCES AND TERMINOLOGY  2.1 CONVENTIONS.  2.2 REFERENCES 2.3 DEFINITIONS  2.4 ABBREVIATIONS.  TECHNICAL REPORT IMPACT.  3.1 ENERGY EFFICIENCY.  3.2 SECURITY.  3.3 PRIVACY.  UPDATE TO SECTION B.1.1 PASS/FAIL CRITERIA FOR ANNEX B TESTING.  UPDATE TO SECTION B.1.5.2 RATE ADAPTIVE PERFORMANCE TESTS FOR BA17ADE

# **List of Figures**

No table of figures entries found.

#### **List of Tables**

No table of figures entries found.

# **Executive Summary**

See Executive Summary/TR-114 Issue 3.

Content of TR-114 Issue 3 Amendment 1 is as follows:

- 1. Update to SECTION B.1.1 in TR-114 Issue 3
- 2. Update to SECTION B.15.2 in TR-114 Issue 3

# 1 Purpose and Scope

### 1.1 Purpose

See Purpose/TR-114 Issue 3.

### 1.2 Scope

See Scope/TR-114 Issue 3.

Performance tests applicable to BA17ade\_D&UPBO band profile, retransmission enabled Class 2 implementations.

#### 2 References and Terminology

#### 2.1 Conventions

In this Technical Report, several words are used to signify the requirements of the specification. These words are always capitalized. More information can be found be in RFC 2119 [2].

This word, or the term "REQUIRED", means that the definition is an **SHALL** absolute requirement of the specification. This phrase means that the definition is an absolute prohibition of the SHALL NOT specification. This word, or the adjective "RECOMMENDED", means that there SHOULD could exist valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore this item, but the full implications need to be understood and carefully weighed before choosing a different course. This phrase, or the phrase "NOT RECOMMENDED" means that there SHOULD NOT could exist valid reasons in particular circumstances when the particular behavior is acceptable or even useful, but the full implications need to be understood and the case carefully weighed before implementing any behavior described with this label. This word, or the adjective "OPTIONAL", means that this item is one **MAY** 

of an allowed set of alternatives. An implementation that does not include this entire SHALL be prepared to interconcrete with another

include this option SHALL be prepared to inter-operate with another

implementation that does include the option.

#### 2.2 References

The following references are of relevance to this Technical Report. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All references are subject to revision; users of this Technical Report are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the references listed below.

A list of currently valid Broadband Forum Technical Reports is published at <a href="https://www.broadband-forum.org">www.broadband-forum.org</a>.

See References/TR-115i3.

Document		Title	Source	Year	
[1]	TR-114 Issue 3	VDSL2 Performance Test Plan	BBF	2017	
[2]	RFC 2119	Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels	IETF	1997	

#### 2.3 Definitions

See Definitions/TR-114 Issue 3.

#### 2.4 Abbreviations

See Abbreviations/TR-114 Issue 3.

# 3 Technical Report Impact

### 3.1 Energy Efficiency

TR-114 Issue 3 Amendment 1 has no impact on Energy Efficiency.

### 3.2 Security

TR-114 Issue 3 Amendment 1 has no impact on Security.

# 3.3 Privacy

Any issues regarding privacy are not affected by TR-114 Issue 3 Amendment 1.

# 4 UPDATE TO SECTION B.1.1 Pass/fail criteria for Annex B testing

In section B.1.1 in TR-114 Issue 3 modify Table 70 (Data rate pass/fail requirements for rate adaptive testing) as follows:

Table 70: Data rate pass/fail requirements for rate adaptive testing

Section number	Number of test cases	10% limit		
B.3	20	2		
B.5	20	2		
B.6	16	2		
B.7	16	2		
B.9	16	2		
B.15.1	10	1		
<u>B.15.2 (Class 2)</u>	<u>10</u>	1		
Section number	Number of test cases (per table)	10% limit (per table)		
B.4	6	1		
B.8	6	1		

# 5 UPDATE TO SECTION B.15.2 Rate adaptive performance tests for BA17ade with DPBO and UPBO, retransmission enabled

Modify section B.15.2 in TR-114 Issue 3 as follows:

# B.15.2 Rate adaptive performance tests for BA17ade with DPBO and UPBO, retransmission enabled

The tests with retransmission-enabled profiles are designed to be passed by implementations with a MAXDELAYOCTET-split parameter (MDOSPLIT) set to 80%.

Table 120: Class 1 Performance tests with BA17ade\_D&UPBO\_RA\_R-17/2/41\_150\_150 is for further study.

Table 121: Class 2 Performance tests with BA17ade\_D&UPBO\_RA\_R-17/2/41\_150\_150 is for further study.

<u>10 individual tests – 9 SHALL be passed</u>

Table 121: Class 2 Performance tests with BA17ade D&UPBO RA R-17/2/41 150 150

	BA17ade D&UPBO RA R-17/2/41 150 150									
7	<u>Downstream</u>				<u>Upstream</u>					
th op	Actual net data rate			ગ		Actual net data rate		rate 28)	in, IB)	
	<u>(kbps)</u>			rat 08)	E Jij.	<u>(kbps)</u>				
Loop Length (m, TP100 loop)	Expected	Measured	Pass/Fail	Actual data rate (ETR) (kbps)	Noise Margin, Reported (dB)	Expected	Measured	Pass/Fail	Actual data rate (ETR) (kbps)	Noise Margin, Reported (dB)
<u>150</u>	<u>58700</u>					20200				
<u>450</u>	<u>44700</u>					<u>15400</u>				
<u>1050</u>	<u>25600</u>					<u>6000</u>				
<u>1200</u>	22200					<u>4900</u>				
<u>1500</u>	<u>15000</u>					<u>2500</u>				

End of Broadband Forum Technical Report TR-114