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## 0 Introduction

After I was invited to participate in some research projects involving radar, it took me only a little while to realize that I would need to know how to compute Fourier transforms. They play a central role in signal processing generally and in the processing of radar signals in particular. Several friends who are expert in the use of Fourier transforms reassured me that the algorithms for computing them are "easy," so I was relaxed and confident when I began my casual study of the FFT.

The first book I consulted [10] gave a clear explanation of recursive decomposition (see $\S 5.1$ of this paper), which is widely advertised as the basic idea underlying the FFT algorithm, and then immediately presented an implementation in code that I found completely baffling. I searched other books in hopes of finding a less magical or more complete explanation, but I came across only one other numerical analysis text that discusses the topic at all [6] and found it also too rabbit-out-of-a-hat for my taste.

Surprised by my inability to grasp an allegedly simple computational technique, I turned more of my attention to the project and began this paper as a way of organizing my thoughts and discoveries. One virtue of this approach is that it has let me adopt a consistent notation, eliminating one source of confusion I experienced in switching between references. A textbook ${ }^{1}$ on digital signal processing [9] helped a great deal, but buried what I needed amid related topics and analyzed the FFT algorithm in too much detail for my purposes. Thinking that others might benefit from my experience in trying to master this material, I continued writing with the aim of explaining the topic in a way that is useful to me, and set the objective of understanding the algorithm well enough to be able to code my own implementation.

Having now achieved that objective, I can state categorically that I find nothing about this topic "easy" (except maybe typing the fft command into Matlab). Recursive decomposition is not enough to reduce the complexity of the DFT calculation from $N^{2}$ to $N \log _{2}(N)$, and the code I examined first can be understood only with reference to a signalflow graph formulation of the problem. Rearranging the input data into bit-reversed-index order is subtle and tricky, and numerous smaller details make understanding the innards of the calculation "hard," at least for me. Now I know why the topic is so seldom discussed in textbooks about numerical methods, and why it was never mentioned in any of the numerical methods courses I took!

I am hopeful that some readers really will find all of this easier than I do, and that they will tell me where I have gotten things wrong or given a complicated explanation when a simpler one would do. This is a work in progress, so corrections will be immediately useful and most welcome. I apologize to any who find my baby steps too small or the level of detail I have used too fine.

[^0]
## 1 The Fourier Transform

These notes adopt the convention ${ }^{2}$ that the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ of a real or complex scalar function $f(x)$ is the complex scalar function

$$
\mathcal{F}\{f(x)\}=F(\nu)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x
$$

where, here and throughout, $i=\sqrt{-1}$. In image-processing applications $f(x)$ is often referred to as a waveform or signal, the real scalar $x$ typically represents a distance (measured in, say, meters) and $\nu$ is spatial frequency (in cycles per meter). There are functions $f(x)$ for which this integral does not exist, but if the transform does exist then $f(x)$ can be recovered using the inverse operation,

$$
\mathcal{F}^{-1}\{F(\nu)\}=f(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(\nu) e^{-i 2 \pi \nu x} d \nu
$$

The complex value $F(\nu)$ has a magnitude and a phase that are defined like this.

$$
\begin{aligned}
|F(\nu)| & =\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}\{F(\nu)\}^{2}+\operatorname{Im}\{F(\nu)\}^{2}} \\
\phi\{F(\nu)\} & =\arctan \left(\frac{\operatorname{Im}\{F(\nu)\}}{\operatorname{Re}\{F(\nu)\}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Fourier transform is the limit of a sum of complex sinusoidal functions of $x$, so $|F(\nu)|$ is the energy in each frequency component of $f(x)$ per unit of frequency, and $\phi\{F(\nu)\}$ is the phase angle of each frequency component. Some functions have Fourier transforms that are identically zero outside a finite range of frequencies, while others contain components at all frequencies.

Here are some useful properties of the Fourier transform [3, page 472ff]. The symbol * denotes convolution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\{f(x-\alpha)\} & =e^{i 2 \pi \nu \alpha} \mathcal{F}\{f(x)\} \\
\mathcal{F}\left\{f_{1}(x)+f_{2}(x)\right\} & =\mathcal{F}\left\{f_{1}(x)\right\}+\mathcal{F}\left\{f_{2}(x)\right\} \\
\mathcal{F}\{\alpha f(x)\} & =\alpha \mathcal{F}\{f(x)\} \\
\mathcal{F}\{f(\alpha x)\} & =\frac{1}{\alpha} F\left(\frac{\nu}{\alpha}\right) \\
\mathcal{F}\left\{f_{1}(x) * f_{2}(x)\right\} & =\mathcal{F}\left\{f_{1}(x)\right\} \mathcal{F}\left\{f_{2}(x)\right\} \\
f(x) \text { even } & \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \text { real } \\
f(x) \text { odd } & \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \text { imaginary }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]The inverse transform has similar properties, leading to these additional relationships.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\left\{e^{-i 2 \pi \nu \alpha} f(x)\right\} & =F(\nu-\alpha) \\
\mathcal{F}\left\{f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x)\right\} & =F_{1}(\nu) * F_{2}(\nu) \\
f(x) \text { real } & \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}\{F(\nu)\} \text { even, } \operatorname{Im}\{F(\nu)\} \text { odd }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here are the Fourier transforms of a few functions that are important in signal processing. The sinc function is defined as $\operatorname{sinc}(x)=\sin (x) / x$.

| function • | Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}\{\bullet\}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $r(x ; a)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc\|}\frac{1}{a} & -\frac{a}{2} \leq x \leq+\frac{a}{2} \\ 0 & \text { elsewhere }\end{array}\right.$ | $\operatorname{sinc}(\pi a \nu)$ |
| $\operatorname{sinc}(x)$ | $r\left(\nu ; \frac{1}{\pi}\right)$ |
| $\delta(x)=\lim _{a \rightarrow 0} r(x ; a)$ | 1 |
| 1 | $\delta(\nu)$ |
| $\cos (x)$ | $\frac{1}{2} \delta\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \delta\left(\nu-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)$ |
| $\sin (x)$ | $i \frac{1}{2} \delta\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)-i \frac{1}{2} \delta\left(\nu-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)$ |

The rectangular pulse $r(x ; a)$ is pictured on the next page. As $a$ is decreased, the pulse described by $r(x ; a)$ gets narrower and taller, always with an area of 1 . In the table, the Dirac delta function or unit impulse $\delta(x)$ is defined as the limit of $r(x ; a)$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, so we are to imagine continuing the process shown in the picture until $\delta(x)$ is a pulse of zero width and infinite height. That makes it not really a function at all but a "distribution" or "singularity function" [3, pages 130-135] with the following properties [8, page 176].

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(x) & =0 \text { for } x \neq 0 \\
\int_{0^{-}}^{0^{+}} \delta(x) d x & =1 \\
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \delta(x-b) d x & =f(b)
\end{aligned}
$$



## 2 Evaluation by Calculus

When $f(x)$ is defined by a formula it might be possible to evaluate the Fourier transform integral in closed form. For example, consider the function on the left, which is graphed on the right.

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
3 x & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\
6-3 x & 1 \leq x \leq 2 \\
0 & \text { elsewhere } & 3 \\
2 & 1 \\
0 & 4 \\
0 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using the definition of the Fourier transform, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\{f(x)\} & =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} 3 x e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x+\int_{1}^{2}(6-3 x) e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x \\
& =3 \int_{0}^{1} x e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x+6 \int_{1}^{2} e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x-3 \int_{1}^{2} x e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Each of these integrals can be evaluated analytically as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} x e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x & =\frac{1}{(i 2 \pi \nu)^{2}}\left[e^{i 2 \pi \nu 1}(i 2 \pi \nu 1-1)-e^{i 2 \pi \nu 0}(i 2 \pi \nu 0-1)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{(i 2 \pi \nu)^{2}}\left[e^{i 2 \pi \nu}(i 2 \pi \nu-1)+1\right] \\
\int_{1}^{2} e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x & =\frac{1}{i 2 \pi \nu}\left[e^{i 2 \pi \nu 2}-e^{i 2 \pi \nu 1}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{(i 2 \pi \nu)^{2}}\left[e^{i 4 \pi \nu}(i 2 \pi \nu)-e^{i 2 \pi \nu}(i 2 \pi \nu)\right] \\
\int_{1}^{2} x e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x & =\frac{1}{(i 2 \pi \nu)^{2}}\left[e^{i 2 \pi \nu 2}(i 2 \pi \nu 2-1)-e^{i 2 \pi \nu 1}(i 2 \pi \nu 1-1)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{(i 2 \pi \nu)^{2}}\left[e^{i 4 \pi \nu}(i 4 \pi \nu-1)-e^{i 2 \pi \nu}(i 2 \pi \nu-1)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

These results are combined at the top of the next page.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\{f(x)\} & =\frac{1}{(i 2 \pi \nu)^{2}}\left[3 e^{i 2 \pi \nu}(i 2 \pi \nu-1)+3+6 e^{i 2 \pi \nu 2}(i 2 \pi \nu)-6 e^{i 2 \pi \nu}(i 2 \pi \nu)\right. \\
& =\frac{1}{(i 2 \pi \nu)^{2}}\left[3+e^{i 4 \pi \nu}(6(i 2 \pi \nu)-3(i 4 \pi \nu-1))+e^{i 2 \pi \nu}(-6(i 2 \pi \nu)+6(i 2 \pi \nu-1))\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{(i 2 \pi \nu)^{2}}\left[3+3 e^{i 4 \pi \nu}-6 e^{i 2 \pi \nu}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(-4 \pi^{2} \nu^{2}\right)}[3+3(\cos (4 \pi \nu)+i \sin (4 \pi \nu))-6(\cos (2 \pi \nu)+i \sin (2 \pi \nu))] \\
F(\nu) & =-\frac{3+3 \cos (4 \pi \nu)-6 \cos (2 \pi \nu)}{4 \pi^{2} \nu^{2}}-i \times \frac{3 \sin (4 \pi \nu)-6 \sin (2 \pi \nu)}{4 \pi^{2} \nu^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Both fractions are $0 / 0$ when $\nu=0$, but their values can be found using L'Hospital's rule as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Re}\{F(\nu)\} & =\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0}-\frac{-3 \sin (4 \pi \nu) 4 \pi+6 \sin (2 \pi \nu) 2 \pi}{8 \pi^{2} \nu} \\
& =\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0}-\frac{-3 \cos (4 \pi \nu)(4 \pi)^{2}+6 \cos (2 \pi \nu)(2 \pi)^{2}}{8 \pi^{2}} \\
& =-\frac{-3\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)+6\left(4 \pi^{2}\right)}{8 \pi^{2}}=-\frac{-24}{8}=3 \\
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Im}\{F(\nu)\} & =\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0}-\frac{3 \cos (4 \pi \nu) 4 \pi \nu-6 \cos (2 \pi \nu) 2 \pi \nu}{8 \pi^{2} \nu} \\
& =\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0}-\frac{-3 \sin (4 \pi \nu)(4 \pi)^{2}+6 \sin (2 \pi \nu)(2 \pi)^{2}}{8 \pi^{2}} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we find that the Fourier transform of the given pulse is

$$
F(\nu)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
3 & +i \times 0 \\
-\frac{3+3 \cos (4 \pi \nu)-6 \cos (2 \pi \nu)}{4 \pi^{2} \nu^{2}} & -i \times \frac{3 \sin (4 \pi \nu)-6 \sin (2 \pi \nu)}{4 \pi^{2} \nu^{2}}
\end{aligned} \begin{array}{l}
\text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The following page shows graphs of the real and imaginary parts of $F(\nu)$, and of its magnitude and phase, as functions of $\nu$.

I will use the pulse function that we have just transformed analytically to test the other methods discussed in this paper for computing the Fourier transform, all of which do so numerically.


## 3 Evaluation by Numerical Quadrature

If $f(x) e^{i 2 \pi \nu x}$ has no antiderivative, we might consider approximating the definite integral

$$
F(\nu)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x
$$

at each value of $\nu$ by some standard algorithm for numerical quadrature, such as Simpson's Rule [1, page 200]:

$$
\int_{a}^{b} g(x) d x \approx \frac{h}{3}[g(a)+4 g(a+h)+2 g(a+2 h)+4 g(a+3 h)+\cdots+4 g(b-h)+g(b)] .
$$

The next page lists a Fortran subprogram that uses Simpson's Rule to approximate the integral of a complex function $g(x)$. Of course we can also use it on functions $g(x)$ that $d o$ have closed-form integrals, and compare its results to those obtained analytically.

```
C
    FUNCTION ZIMPSN(G,A,B)
    This routine approximates the definite integral
    /-B
    ZIMPSN = _A_/ / g(x) dx
    using Simpson's 1/3 rule.
    variable meaning
    A------- ------- lower limit of integration
    B upper limit of integration
    DCMPLX Fortran function returns COMPLEX*16 for two REAL*8s
    DFLOAT Fortran function returns REAL*8 for INTEGER*4
    G function subprogram returns integrand value
    H step width
    L index on terms
    MOD Fortran function for remainder of INTEGER*4 division
    N number of steps
    SUM sum of coordinates in Simpson's formula
    X variable of integration at a point in the sum
    Y value of integrand function at X
    formal parameters
    COMPLEX*16 ZIMPSN
    EXTERNAL G
    REAL*8 A,B
C
C local variables
    REAL*8 H,X
    COMPLEX*16 Y,G,SUM
    INTEGER*4 N/100/
C
C ----------------------------------------------------------------------
C
C include the terms on the ends
    SUM=G(A)+G(B)
C
C find the step length
    H=(B-A)/DFLOAT (N)
C
C include the terms in the middle
    DO 2 L=1,N-1
        X=A+H*DFLOAT(L)
        Y=G(X)
        IF(MOD(L,2).EQ.O) THEN
            L is even
            SUM=SUM+(2.DO,0.DO)*Y
        ELSE
C
            L is odd
            SUM=SUM+(4.DO,0.DO)*Y
        ENDIF
    2 CONTINUE
    ZIMPSN=SUM*DCMPLX(H/3.DO,0.DO)
    RETURN
    END
```

Here is some code that invokes the integrator to approximate the Fourier transform of the pulse function we considered in $\S 2$.

```
        COMPLEX*16 F,ZIMPSN
        EXTERNAL G
        COMMON /DATA/ NU
        REAL*8 NU
C OPEN(UNIT=1,FILE='ft.real')
C OPEN(UNIT=2,FILE='ft.imag')
    DO 1 L=1,201
            NU=0.04D0*DFLOAT(L-101)
            F=ZIMPSN(G,0.DO,2.DO)
            WRITE (1,901) NU,DREAL(F)
            WRITE(2,901) NU,DIMAG(F)
    901 FORMAT(2(1X,1PE13.6))
        1 CONTINUE
        STOP
        END
C
    FUNCTION G(X)
    COMPLEX*16 G,I/(0.DO,1.DO)/
    REAL*8 X
    REAL*8 TWOPI/6.2831853071795865DO/
    COMMON /DATA/ NU
    REAL*8 NU
    IF(X.LT.O.DO) THEN
            G=(0.D0,0.DO)
            RETURN
    ENDIF
    IF(X.GE.0.DO .AND. X.LT.1.DO) THEN
        G=DCMPLX(3.DO*X,0.DO)*CDEXP(I*DCMPLX (TWOPI*NU*X))
        RETURN
    ENDIF
    IF(X.GE.1.DO .AND. X.LT.2.DO) THEN
        G=DCMPLX(6.DO-3.DO*X,0.DO)*CDEXP(I*DCMPLX(TWOPI*NU*X))
        RETURN
    ENDIF
    IF(X.GE.2.DO) THEN
            G=(0.DO,0.DO)
            RETURN
    ENDIF
    END
```

The function ZIMPSN invokes the function $G$ to compute the integrand at different values of X. Because $g(x)=f(x) e^{i 2 \pi \nu x}$ also depends on $\nu$, the value of NU at which the transform is being evaluated is passed from the main program to $G$ through common block /DATA/.

When the main and subprograms are compiled together and run, the output is two files containing the numerical integrator's approximations to $\operatorname{Re}\{F(\nu)\})$ and $\operatorname{Im}\{F(\nu)\})$ at the 201 values of $\nu$ produced by the main program. When these points are plotted on top of the true curves for $\operatorname{Re}\{F(\nu)\}$ and $\operatorname{Im}\{F(\nu)\}$ displayed in $\S 1$, we get the graphs at the top of the next page.


The numerical approximations can be seen to agree quite well with the analytic results we found earlier. When $f(x)$ can be described by formulas (here coded into the function G ) a numerical integrator such as ZIMPSN can obtain values of the Fourier transform integrand at arbitrary points $x$, and that makes it possible to evaluate $\mathcal{F}\{f(x)\}$ accurately.

Unfortunately, in image-processing applications $f(x)$ is seldom described by formulas, so its value might not be available at every $x$ where an integrator like ZIMPSN would like to know it. Also, it is sometimes necessary to compute the transform in nearly real time, and that might rule out extravagant floating-point calculations like those we have used here.

## 4 Approximation by Discrete Fourier Transform

Often $f(x)$ is known only at uniformly-spaced discrete values of $x$. As mentioned in $\S 1$, $\mathcal{F}\{f(x-\alpha)\}=e^{i 2 \pi \nu \alpha} \mathcal{F}\{f(x)\}$, so we can assume the first discrete value of $x$ to be zero and afterwards multiply the transform by $e^{-i \nu \alpha}$ if necessary. Then $f(x)$ can be represented by the $N$ consecutive sampled function values $f_{k}=f\left(x_{k}\right)$, where $x_{k}=k \Delta_{x}$ and $k=0, \ldots, N-1$. The number $\Delta_{x}$ has the same units as $x$ and is called the sampling interval; its reciprocal is the sampling rate. If $x$ and $\Delta_{x}$ are measured in, say, meters, then the sampling rate $1 / \Delta_{x}$ is a spatial frequency measured in samples per meter. The graph below shows the pulse of $\S 2$ sampled at $N=8$ points, with $\Delta_{x}=(b-a) /(N-1)=3 \frac{1}{2} / 7=\frac{1}{2}$.


In radar applications the function to be transformed is typically assumed to be zero outside some fixed domain, such as $x \in[a, b]$ in the graph above, ${ }^{3}$ so increasing the number of samples makes them closer together rather than widening the domain. If we double the number of samples we make $\Delta_{x}=3 \frac{1}{2} / 15=\frac{7}{30}$ and increase the sampling rate from 2 to $\frac{30}{7}$.

### 4.1 The Forward DFT

The Fourier transform integral is the limit of a Riemann sum, so we can write $F(\nu)$ as

$$
F(\nu)=\int_{x=0}^{x=b} f(x) e^{i 2 \pi \nu x} d x=\lim _{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ \Delta x \rightarrow 0}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f_{k} e^{i 2 \pi \nu x_{k}} \Delta_{x} \quad \text { where } \quad \Delta_{x}=\frac{b}{N-1}
$$

and use the samples $f_{k}$ at the points $x_{k}$ in a finite sum to approximate the integral like this.

$$
F(\nu) \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f_{k} e^{i 2 \pi \nu x_{k}} \Delta_{x}=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} g\left(x_{k}\right) \Delta_{x} \quad \text { where } \quad g(x)=f(x) e^{i 2 \pi \nu x}
$$

The real part of the integrand function $g(x)$ is plotted below for four values of $\nu$, along with the rectangles of the finite-sum approximation for $N=8$.


[^2]When $\nu=0, g(x)=f(x) e^{i 2 \pi(0) x}=f(x)$. The rectangle-rule approximation happens to equal the exact area under the pulse, $\frac{1}{2} \times 2 \times 3=3$, and the formula yields

$$
F(0) \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{7} f_{k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(0+1 \frac{1}{2}+3+1 \frac{1}{2}+0+0+0+0\right)=3
$$

When $\nu=0.1$ symmetry suggests that the approximation is also exact, and adding up the rectangle areas gives about $1.4 \times 0.5+2.4 \times 0.5+0.8 \times 0.5=2.3$. Using the formula confirms that this rough graphical estimate is plausible.

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{i 2 \pi(0.1)(0.5)} & =\cos (0.1 \pi)+i \sin (0.1 \pi) \approx 0.95+0.31 i \\
e^{i 2 \pi(0.1)(1.0)} & =\cos (0.2 \pi)+i \sin (0.2 \pi) \approx 0.81+0.59 i \\
e^{i 2 \pi(0.1)(1.5)} & =\cos (0.3 \pi)+i \sin (0.3 \pi) \approx 0.59+0.81 i \\
F(0.1) & \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(1.5 e^{i 2 \pi(.05)}+3.0 e^{i 2 \pi(0.10)}+1.5 e^{i 2 \pi(0.15)}\right) \approx 2.37+1.72 i
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\nu=1.0$, it is not so easy to decide whether the area under the rectangles is equal to the area under the curve, but if they differ it is not by much. (The sample dots are referred to in $\S 4.5$.) When $\nu=2.0$, however, the rectangle-rule approximation clearly overestimates the net area under the curve, which is by inspection about zero.

In the formula

$$
F(\nu) \approx \Delta_{x} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f_{k} e^{i 2 \pi \nu x_{k}}
$$

it is the sum that is referred to as the discrete Fourier transform or DFT, so it is the DFT multiplied by $\Delta_{x}$ that we have been using to approximate the continuous Fourier transform $F(\nu)$. The sum can be calculated for any value of $\nu$, but it is convenient in defining the inverse DFT to evaluate the forward DFT

$$
F_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f_{k} e^{i 2 \pi \nu_{n} x_{k}}
$$

at uniformly-spaced frequencies $\nu_{n}=n /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)$, where $n=0 \ldots N-1$. Sometimes that whole set of $F_{n}$ is referred to loosely as the DFT of the set of samples $f_{k}$. The sequence $f_{0} \ldots f_{8}=[0,1.5,3,1.5,0,0,0,0]$ that we considered above is said to have the DFT $F_{0} \ldots F_{8}=[6+0 i, 0+5.12 i,-3+0 i, 0-.879 i, 0+0 i, 0+.879 i,-3+0 i, 0-5.12 i]$.

Using

$$
\nu_{n} x_{k}=\frac{n}{N \Delta_{x}} \times k \Delta_{x}=\frac{n k}{N}
$$

we can rewrite the definition of the DFT as

$$
F_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f_{k} e^{i 2 \pi n k / N} \quad n=0 \ldots N-1
$$

In $\S 2$ and $\S 3$ we plotted $F(\nu)$ for negative as well as positive values of $\nu$, but this set of $F_{n}$ includes values only at zero and positive frequencies. Notice, however, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{i 2 \pi k(N-n) / N} & =e^{i 2 \pi k} \times e^{-i 2 \pi k n / N} \\
& =[\cos (2 \pi k)+i \sin (2 \pi k)] \times e^{-i 2 \pi k n / N} \\
& =e^{i 2 \pi k(-n) / N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $F_{n}$ is periodic in $n$ with period $N$ and $F_{N-n}=F_{-n}$ so we can get values of the transform at negative frequencies from those we find at positive ones. For example, if $N=8$ then $F_{-4}=F_{+4}$ and $F_{-3}=F_{+5}$ and $F_{-2}=F_{+6}$ and $F_{-1}=F_{+7}$. For the moment we will view the results of the DFT calculation as being in order for the $\nu$ values in the top sequence listed below.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
F_{0} & F_{1} & F_{2} & F_{3} & F_{4} & F_{5} & F_{6} & F_{7} \\
0 & \frac{+1}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{+2}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{+3}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{+4}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{+5}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{+6}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{+7}{8 \Delta_{x}} \\
0 & \frac{+1}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{+2}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{+3}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{ \pm 4}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{-3}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{-2}{8 \Delta_{x}} & \frac{-1}{8 \Delta_{x}} \\
F_{0} & F_{1} & F_{2} & F_{3} & F_{ \pm 4} & F_{-3} & F_{-2} & F_{-1}
\end{array}
$$

However, in some settings it is useful to think of some of the $F_{n}$ as corresponding to negative frequencies, and then the results are in the order of the bottom sequence.

### 4.2 The Inverse DFT

The real part of the unshifted 8-point DFT of our pulse waveform is graphed at the top of the next page. We can use the points $\left(\nu_{n}, F_{n}\right)$ in a Riemann sum to approximate the inverse Fourier transform integral, like this.

$$
f(x)=\int_{\nu=-\infty}^{\nu=+\infty} F(\nu) e^{-i 2 \pi \nu x} d \nu \approx \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(\Delta_{x} F_{n}\right) e^{-i 2 \pi \nu_{n} x} \Delta_{\nu}=\Delta_{x} \Delta_{\nu} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F_{n} e^{-i 2 \pi \nu_{n} x}
$$

where $\Delta_{\nu}$ is the spacing in frequency between the points of the transform.
But $\Delta_{\nu}=1 /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)$, so $\quad f_{k}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F_{n} e^{-i 2 \pi \nu_{n} x_{k}} \quad k=0 \ldots N-1$
or, again using the fact that $\nu_{n} x_{k}=n k / N, f_{k}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F_{n} e^{-i 2 \pi n k / N} \quad k=0 \ldots N-1$.


This is the inverse DFT. Unlike the direct DFT, the inverse recovers the given sampled data without further scaling and exactly. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{0}= & \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=0}^{7} F_{n} \\
= & \frac{1}{8}[(6+0-3+0+0+0-3+0)+i(0+5.12+0-.879+0+.879+0-5.12)] \\
= & 0 \\
f_{1}= & \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n=0}^{7} F_{n} e^{-i 2 \pi n / 8} \\
= & \frac{1}{8}\left[F_{0}+F_{1} e^{-i \pi / 4}+F_{2} e^{-i \pi / 2}+F_{3} e^{-i \pi 3 / 4}+F_{4} e^{-i \pi}+F_{5} e^{-i \pi 5 / 4}+F_{6} e^{-i \pi 3 / 2}+F_{7} e^{-i \pi 7 / 4}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{8}[6+5.12 i(.707-.707 i)+(-3)(-i)+(-.879 i)(-.707-.707 i)+(.879 i)(-.707+.707 i) \\
& \quad \quad \quad(-3)(i)+(-5.12 i)(.707+.707 i)] \\
= & \frac{1}{8}[12+0 i]=1.5
\end{aligned}
$$

It is just because of algebra that the inverse transform restores the original sequence exactly, but the effect is that the discretization error introduced by the Riemann-sum approximation in the forward transform is undone. Of course, if the samples were taken far enough apart to overlook interesting features of $f(x)$, those features will be absent from even a perfect reconstruction of the original samples. For example, if the dots in the picture of our triangular pulse had actually been connected by curves rather than by straight line segments, we could not tell that from the recovered sample points. Picking the points introduces a sampling error that depends on $f(x)$.

If we let the complex number $W=e^{i 2 \pi / N}$, the formulas above can be rewritten as

$$
F_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} W^{n k} f_{k} \quad f_{k}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W^{-n k} F_{n}
$$

After the powers of $W$ are computed once, it takes on the order of $N^{2}$ complex multiplications and additions to compute either the direct or inverse transform for each set of data $f_{k}$ or $F_{n}$.

### 4.3 Trigonometric Interpolation

Another way to think about the DFT is that it prescribes a trigonometric function interpolating the given sequence of data values $[5, \S 12.1][6, \S 6.12]$. For example, the sequence of $N=8$ values $f_{0} \ldots f_{7}=[1,-1,1,-1,1,-1,1,-1]$ is plotted as points with spacing $\Delta_{x}=\frac{1}{2}$ in the graph below, and the points are interpolated by the curve that is drawn through them.


We can describe the sinusoidal interpolant as the real part of a finite sum of complex exponentials having certain fixed frequencies $\nu_{n}$, like this.

$$
\hat{f}(x)=\operatorname{Re}\{s(x)\} \quad \text { where } \quad s(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{7} c_{n} e^{-i 2 \pi \nu_{n} x}
$$

To make the interpolant $\hat{f}(x)$ pass thru the given data points, we need only solve the algebraic equations $s\left(x_{k}\right)=f_{k}, k=0 \ldots 7$ for the unknown weights $c_{n}$. Using the fact that $\nu_{n} x_{k}=n k / N$ and the shorthand notation $W=e^{i 2 \pi / N}$ we can write

$$
s\left(x_{k}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{7} c_{n} e^{-i 2 \pi n k / N}=\sum_{n=0}^{7} c_{n} W^{-n k}
$$

and then the equations we must solve are these.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c_{0}+c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}+c_{4}+c_{5}+c_{6}+c_{7}=1 \\
c_{0}+c_{1} W^{-1}+c_{2} W^{-2}+c_{3} W^{-3}+c_{4} W^{-4}+c_{5} W^{-5}+c_{6} W^{-6}+c_{7} W^{-7}=-1 \\
c_{0}+c_{1} W^{-2}+c_{2} W^{-4}+c_{3} W^{-6}+c_{4} W^{-8}+c_{5} W^{-10}+c_{6} W^{-12}+c_{7} W^{-14}=1 \\
c_{0}+c_{1} W^{-3}+c_{2} W^{-6}+c_{3} W^{-9}+c_{4} W^{-12}+c_{5} W^{-15}+c_{6} W^{-18}+c_{7} W^{-21}=-1 \\
c_{0}+c_{1} W^{-4}+c_{2} W^{-8}+c_{3} W^{-12}+c_{4} W^{-16}+c_{5} W^{-20}+c_{6} W^{-24}+c_{7} W^{-28}=1 \\
c_{0}+c_{1} W^{-5}+c_{2} W^{-10}+c_{3} W^{-15}+c_{4} W^{-20}+c_{5} W^{-25}+c_{6} W^{-30}+c_{7} W^{-35}=-1 \\
c_{0}+c_{1} W^{-6}+c_{2} W^{-12}+c_{3} W^{-18}+c_{4} W^{-24}+c_{5} W^{-30}+c_{6} W^{-36}+c_{7} W^{-42}=1 \\
c_{0}+c_{1} W^{-7}+c_{2} W^{-14}+c_{3} W^{-21}+c_{4} W^{-28}+c_{5} W^{-35}+c_{6} W^{-42}+c_{7} W^{-49}=-1
\end{array}
$$

In matrix form this linear system is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & W^{-1} & W^{-2} & W^{-3} & W^{-4} & W^{-5} & W^{-6} & W^{-7} \\
1 & W^{-2} & W^{-4} & W^{-6} & W^{-8} & W^{-10} & W^{-12} & W^{-14} \\
1 & W^{-3} & W^{-6} & W^{-9} & W^{-12} & W^{-15} & W^{-18} & W^{-21} \\
1 & W^{-4} & W^{-8} & W^{-12} & W^{-16} & W^{-20} & W^{-24} & W^{-28} \\
1 & W^{-5} & W^{-10} & W^{-15} & W^{-20} & W^{-25} & W^{-30} & W^{-35} \\
1 & W^{-6} & W^{-12} & W^{-18} & W^{-24} & W^{-30} & W^{-36} & W^{-42} \\
1 & W^{-7} & W^{-14} & W^{-21} & W^{-28} & W^{-35} & W^{-42} & W^{-49}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{0} \\
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
c_{4} \\
c_{5} \\
c_{6} \\
c_{7}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
-1 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

which looks daunting. Fortunately the properties of $W$ allow the inverse of the coefficient matrix to be written in the following simple form.

$$
\frac{1}{8}\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & W^{+1} & W^{+2} & W^{+3} & W^{+4} & W^{+5} & W^{+6} & W^{+7} \\
1 & W^{+2} & W^{+4} & W^{+6} & W^{+8} & W^{+10} & W^{+12} & W^{+14} \\
1 & W^{+3} & W^{+6} & W^{+9} & W^{+12} & W^{+15} & W^{+18} & W^{+21} \\
1 & W^{+4} & W^{+8} & W^{+12} & W^{+16} & W^{+20} & W^{+24} & W^{+28} \\
1 & W^{+5} & W^{+10} & W^{+15} & W^{+20} & W^{+25} & W^{+30} & W^{+35} \\
1 & W^{+6} & W^{+12} & W^{+18} & W^{+24} & W^{+30} & W^{+36} & W^{+42} \\
1 & W^{+7} & W^{+14} & W^{+21} & W^{+28} & W^{+35} & W^{+42} & W^{+49}
\end{array}\right]
$$

It is easy to show that the dot product of any column of the first matrix with the same row of the second yields 1, and the dot product of any column of first matrix with a different row of the second yields 0 . For example, multiplying the second row of the coefficient matrix by the third column of its inverse should yield zero as the $(2,3)$ element of the identity.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2,3} & =\frac{1}{8}\left(1+W+W^{2}+W^{3}+W^{4}+W^{5}+W^{6}+W^{7}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{8}\left(1+e^{i 2 \pi / 8}+e^{i 2 \pi 2 / 8}+e^{i 2 \pi 3 / 8}+e^{i 2 \pi 4 / 8}+e^{i 2 \pi 5 / 8}+e^{i 2 \pi 6 / 8}+e^{i 2 \pi 7 / 8}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

But $e^{i 2 \pi / 8}=-e^{i 2 \pi 5 / 8}$ and $e^{i 2 \pi 2 / 8}=-e^{i 2 \pi 6 / 8}$ and $e^{i 2 \pi 3 / 8}=-e^{i 2 \pi 7 / 8}$, so

$$
I_{2,3}=\frac{1}{8}\left(1+e^{i 2 \pi 4 / 8}\right)=\frac{1}{8}\left(1+e^{i \pi}\right)=0
$$

as expected. With the inverse of the coefficient matrix in hand, the weights $c_{k}$ can be obtained by a matrix multiplication.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{0} \\
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3} \\
c_{4} \\
c_{5} \\
c_{6} \\
c_{7}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{8}\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & W^{+1} & W^{+2} & W^{+3} & W^{+4} & W^{+5} & W^{+6} & W^{+7} \\
1 & W^{+2} & W^{+4} & W^{+6} & W^{+8} & W^{+10} & W^{+12} & W^{+14} \\
1 & W^{+3} & W^{+6} & W^{+9} & W^{+12} & W^{+15} & W^{+18} & W^{+21} \\
1 & W^{+4} & W^{+8} & W^{+12} & W^{+16} & W^{+20} & W^{+24} & W^{+28} \\
1 & W^{+5} & W^{+10} & W^{+15} & W^{+20} & W^{+25} & W^{+30} & W^{+35} \\
1 & W^{+6} & W^{+12} & W^{+18} & W^{+24} & W^{+30} & W^{+36} & W^{+42} \\
1 & W^{+7} & W^{+14} & W^{+21} & W^{+28} & W^{+35} & W^{+42} & W^{+49}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
-1 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
1 \\
-1 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

In other words,

$$
c_{n}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} W^{n k} f_{k}
$$

But the sum is just the definition of the forward DFT! What we have found is

$$
\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{DFT}\{[1,-1,1,-1,1,-1,1,-1]\}=\frac{1}{8}[0,0,0,0,8,0,0,0]
$$

and the weights $c_{n}$ in our definition of the sinusoidal interpolant are just the values $F_{n}$ of the forward transform scaled by the factor $1 / N$. The $F_{n}$ found by the forward DFT are thus scaled amplitudes of the corresponding frequency components $\nu_{n}=n /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)$ in the signal whose samples are the $f_{k}$. In this example the only frequency component that is nonzero is $\nu_{4}=1$, so $s(x)=e^{-i 2 \pi(1) x}=\cos (2 \pi x)-i \sin (2 \pi x)$ and the sinusoid that interpolates the $f_{k}$ is $\hat{f}(x)=\cos (2 \pi x)$. This is the curve drawn through the points in the graph above.

### 4.4 Errors in the Approximation

In $\S 4.1$ (on page 15) we examined some rectangles used in the DFT to approximate the area under the Fourier transform integrand function $g(x)$ for our pulse waveform. The Riemann sum turned out to be exact when $\nu=0$, but with only $N=8$ samples that result might be dumb luck rather than a property of the method. When $\nu=1.0$ the approximation was plausible, but when $\nu=2.0$ it was useless. How good is the DFT approximation at the frequencies of the $F_{n}$ if we use values of $N$ larger than 8 ?

The program on the next page uses the definition of $F_{n}$ to evaluate the DFT for a userchosen number of points. In reading the program it will be helpful to know that NN represents $N$ in the analysis, N represents $n$, FF represents $F_{n}$, and F represents $f_{k}$. Subprogram FOFX, listed below, returns values of $f(x)$ for the triangular pulse of $\S 2$.

```
C FUNCTION FOFX(XK)
C This routine returns the value of the Section 2 pulse.
C
    REAL*8 FOFX,XK
C
C --------------------------------------------------------------------------
    IF(XK.LE.O.DO) THEN
    FOFX=0.DO
    ENDIF
    IF(XK.GT.O.DO .AND. XK.LE.1.DO) THEN
        FOFX=3.DO*XK
    ENDIF
    IF(XK.GT.1.DO .AND. XK.LE.2.DO) THEN
        FOFX=6.DO-3.DO*XK
    ENDIF
    IF(XK.GT.2.DO) THEN
        FOFX=0.DO
    ENDIF
    RETURN
    END
```

```
C
C This program computes the discrete Fourier transform of
C the pulse waveform using a given number of samples.
C variable meaning
C CDEXP 
C DCMPLX Fortran function returns COMPLEX*16 for two REAL*8s
C DFLOAT Fortran function returns REAL*8 for INTEGER*4
C DIMAG Fortran function returns imag part of a COMPLEX*16
C DREAL Fortran function returns real part of COMPLEX*16
C F the input f_k's as a COMPLEX*16s
C FF a point F_n in the transform
C FOFX function returns f(x) as a REAL*8
C I I, the square root of -1
C K index on input values
C N index on transform values
C NN number of samples
C NU freqency corresponding to a transform value
C PI the circle constant
C W exp(i*2*pi/N)
C XK a sample value of }\textrm{x}\mathrm{ as a REAL*8
C
    REAL*8 DELTA,FOFX,NU,PI/3.1415926535897932DO/,XK
    COMPLEX*16 F(0:63),FF,I/(0.D0,1.DO)/,W
C
C -----------------------------------------------------------------------
C find out how many points to use
    READ *,NN
    DELTA=3.5DO/DFLOAT(NN-1)
C
C sample f(x)
    DO 1 K=0,NN-1
            XK=DELTA*DFLOAT (K)
            F(K)=DCMPLX(FOFX(XK),0.DO)
        1 CONTINUE
C
C find the complex constant whose powers appear in the series
        W=CDEXP(I*DCMPLX(2.DO*PI/DFLOAT(NN),0.DO))
C
C compute each F_n from the DFT series
    DO 2 N=0,NN-1
            FF=(0.DO,0.DO)
            DO 3 K=0,NN-1
                FF=FF+W**(N*K)*F(K)
    3 CONTINUE
            NU=DFLOAT(N)/(DELTA*DFLOAT(NN))
            WRITE (1,901) NU,DREAL(FF)
            WRITE (2,901) NU,DIMAG(FF)
            WRITE(2,901) NU,DIMAG
901
    2 CONTINUE
        STOP
        END
```

Using this program to calculate the DFT of the pulse for several values of $N$ yields the results pictured at the bottom of the page. Each graph plots values of $\Delta_{x} F_{n}$ as points, along with the exact transform curve we found in $\S 2$. Only the real parts of $F(\nu)$ and $\Delta_{x} F_{n}$ are shown, but the agreement of their imaginary parts for different values of $N$ follows a similar pattern.

The $F_{n}$ values are spaced apart in frequency by $\Delta_{\nu}=1 /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)$, and $\Delta_{x}=b /(N-1)$ so

$$
\Delta_{\nu}=\frac{1}{N\left(\frac{b}{N-1}\right)}=\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right) .
$$

In our example this quantity increases from 0.25 to about 0.28 as $N$ increases from 8 to 64 , so the points have almost the same spacing in all four graphs. To decrease it we would need to increase the limit of integration $b$.


When $N=8$, the points for $n=0,1,3,4,5$, and 7 fall near the curve but those for $n=2$ $(\nu=0.5)$ and $6(\nu=1.5)$ do not; they are hard to see at all, because they are buried in the horizontal axis. When $N=16$ the points fall close to the curve until about $n=10$ ( $\nu \approx 2.6$ ) and the last two are noticeably wrong (the $n=15$ point is slightly outside the range of the graph, at $\nu \approx 4.02$ ). Increasing $N$ further yields graphs in which the points
accurately approximate $F(\nu)$ over the entire frequency range shown. The critical frequency values $\nu_{c}$ listed in the graph legends are discussed in $\S 4.5$ below.

More samples yield narrower rectangles in the Riemann sum and more accurate approximations to the Fourier integral. The truncation error of rectangle-rule quadrature is proportional to the first power of $\Delta_{x}$ [4, equation 5.30 b$]$ so it might seem that the error in the DFT approximation of the Fourier transform integral would also be first order in $\Delta_{x}$ [10, page 577]. However, while increasing $N$ decreases $\Delta_{x}$ it also changes the summand function $f_{k} e^{i 2 \pi n k / N}$ (as is evident in the graphs of $g(x)$ presented in $\S 4.1$ ) so the story is not so simple. ${ }^{4}$

For our $\S 2$ pulse waveform it is possible at least to study the error in the DFT approximation experimentally. By evaluating the DFT and the exact formula for the transform we can compute the absolute error over a given set $V$ of frequencies as

$$
E(N)=\max _{\nu_{n} \in V}\left|\Delta_{x} \operatorname{Re}\left\{F_{n}\right\}-\operatorname{Re}\left\{F\left(\nu_{n}\right)\right\}\right| .
$$

For frequencies $\nu_{n}=n /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)$ between zero and 4 (the range in the graphs on the previous page) and $N=2^{3} \ldots 2^{17}$ we get the graph below.


Least-squares regression on these points yields

$$
\log _{10}\{E(N)\}=1.8-0.68 \log _{2}(N)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =10^{1.8-0.68 \log _{2}(N)}=\left(10^{1.8}\right)\left(10^{-0.68}\right)^{\log _{2}(N)} \\
& \approx 63\left(2^{-2.26}\right)^{\log _{2}(N)}=63\left(2^{\log _{2}(N)}\right)^{-2.26} \\
& \approx 63 / N^{2.26}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^3]For our example $\Delta_{x}=3.5 /(N-1) \approx 3.5 / N$, so $N \approx 3.5 / \Delta_{x}$ and

$$
E \approx\left(63 / 3.5^{2.26}\right) \Delta_{x}^{2.26} \approx 3.7 \Delta_{x}^{2.26}
$$

For low enough frequencies, $E \propto \Delta_{x}^{2.26}$ rather than to $\Delta_{x}^{1}$, so the DFT approximation seems quite a bit better than we expected from the properties of rectangle-rule quadrature.

Increasing $N$ decreases both sampling error, which results from ignoring values of $f(x)$ between the $x_{k}$, and truncation error, which results from approximating the Fourier integral by a Riemann sum. ${ }^{5}$ In addition to those errors, DFT calculations are of course also subject to ordinary roundoff errors such as those resulting from cancellation and underflow $[7, \S 4.3$ ]. Roundoff errors accumulate as floating-point arithmetic is performed, so if the $E(N)$ graph were extended to large enough values of $N$ the total error might begin to go back up as increasing roundoff became more important than decreasing truncation error. However, for our example it appears that roundoff is not a serious worry, and the inherent stability of numerical quadrature [4, page 284] suggests that might be true of DFT calculations generally.

We have just seen that if we pay attention to $F_{n}$ values only up to $\nu=4$, the DFT approximation quickly gets better as $N$ increases. But in the graphs of $\operatorname{Re}\{F\}$ on page 23, we noticed for small values of $N$ that the error in the DFT approximation got bigger (though in an irregular fashion) as $\nu$ increased. How good is the DFT approximation at different frequencies for a fixed large value of $N$ ? To investigate that question we can think of the error as a function of $\nu_{\max }$, the highest frequency of the $F_{n}$ we use, and find
where

$$
E\left(\nu_{\max }\right)=\max _{\nu_{n} \in V}\left|\Delta_{x} \operatorname{Re}\left\{F_{n}\right\}-\operatorname{Re}\left\{F\left(\nu_{n}\right)\right\}\right|
$$

$$
V=\left\{0 \ldots \nu_{\max }\right\}
$$

and $\nu_{\max }$ runs from 0 to $(N-1) /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)$. The graph on the next page shows $E(\nu)$ for several different fixed values of $N$. To allow these curves to be compared on a single graph, the horizontal axis shows the fraction of the $F_{n}$ values considered in computing $E(\nu)$. For example, when $N=128$ the DFT yields $F_{0} \ldots F_{127}$ corresponding to frequency components from $\nu=0$ to $\nu=36$. If we pay attention to only the frequency components between $\nu=0$ and $\nu=4$, say, we are using $\frac{1}{9} \approx 0.11$ of the $F_{n}$ values. The dot marks that point on the curve for $N=128$, where the $\log$ of $E\left(\nu_{\max }\right)$ is -3.5 ; this is the same error we found earlier at $N=128$ when we plotted the $\log$ of $E(N)$ for $\nu \in[0,4]$.

[^4]

From this graph we can see that the error of the DFT approximation is much bigger in the $F_{n}$ corresponding to high frequencies than it is in those corresponding to low ones. Although the big errors that we noticed in the $\operatorname{Re}(F)$ graphs at high frequencies appeared to go away as we increased $N$, that's only because we didn't look beyond $\nu=4$. In fact, the error in every DFT approximation becomes large at frequencies approaching $\nu_{N}=(N-1) /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)$ because of the phenomenon we first saw in the rectangle-rule pictures on page 15. The Fourier integrand $g(x)=f(x) e^{i 2 \pi \nu x}$ oscillates faster and faster as $\nu$ increases, and no matter how narrow we have made the Riemann sum rectangles (by choosing $N$ ) they end up being too wide before we reach the highest frequency in the transform (which is also determined by the $N$ we chose). For each value of $N$ there is a frequency beyond which the DFT approximation is useless.

Each of the curves above is a roughly straight line with shallow slope ${ }^{6}$ for the first half of the $F_{n}$ values, corresponding to results that are accurate and get only slightly worse as the frequency increases. Halfway to the highest frequency in each transform, near the critical frequency $\nu_{c}=\frac{1}{2} / \Delta_{x} \approx \frac{1}{2} \nu_{N}$, the error curves turn up sharply.

The critical frequency is $\frac{1}{2} / \Delta_{x}$ because that allows 2 samples per cycle of the Fourier integrand $g(x)$ [10, page 494]. One of the rectangle-rule pictures on page 15 is for the critical frequency $\nu_{c}=\frac{1}{2} / 0.5=1$, and as noted there the Riemann sum still provides a plausible estimate of the area under the $g(x)$ curve. Dots mark the samples so you can convince yourself that there are 2 of them per cycle (e.g., rise from zero, fall through zero, and rise back to zero) of that integrand. For an integrand at a higher frequency, such as the one shown in the graph for $\nu=2 \nu_{c}=2$ the Riemann sum approximation is grossly wrong because the 8 samples we used are too few to capture the ups and downs in $g(x)$. This is a sort of sampling error, in which interesting things happen to the function being sampled, in this case $g(x)$, in between measurements.

What fraction of the $F_{n}$ values we can use depends on how much error we can tolerate in

[^5]the DFT approximation. To get more accuracy we must throw away more high-frequency $F_{n}$ values and be content with a narrower frequency range, or increase $N$ so that the frequency range of the transform is wider to begin with. Doing either of those things is called oversampling. The $\operatorname{Re}\{F\}$ graphs on page 23 illustrate the effect of oversampling; increasing $N$ increases $\nu_{c}$ and moves the frequency window of interest (there $\nu \in[0,4]$ ) farther to the left of the knee in the error curve, which improves accuracy.

### 4.5 Aliasing

In $\S 4.1$ we wrote the forward DFT as

$$
F_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f_{k} e^{i 2 \pi n k / N}
$$

for $n=0 \ldots N-1$, each result corresponding to a frequency $\nu_{n}=n /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)$. However, the sum can be calculated for any integer $n$. Another way of understanding the growth of error in the DFT approximation as $\nu_{n}$ approaches $\nu_{N}=(N-1) /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)$, the highest frequency in the transform, is to examine $F_{n}$ values for frequencies higher than that. The graph below shows $\Delta_{x} F_{n}$ for our pulse waveform when $N=64$ as $n$ continues on past the vertical line drawn at $n=63$ (the point representing $F_{63}$ is buried in that line).


The $F_{n}$ values repeat every $N$ points (unlike the continuous transform $F(\nu)$, which is drawn as a solid curve). As mentioned in §4.1, $F_{n}$ is periodic in $n$ with period $N$ and we can find $F_{n}$ at negative frequencies from those at positive frequencies by using the fact that $F_{-n}=F_{N-n}$. Because of this periodicity, the $F_{n}$ values near $\nu_{N}$ are also values of the transform at small negative frequencies. In the graph above we can see that $F_{59}$ thru $F_{63}$ are the same as $F_{64}$ through $F_{68}$ or $F_{-5}$ through $F_{-1}$. It is as if the transform values $F_{-5}$ through $F_{-1}$ adopted the false identities or aliases $F_{59}$ through $F_{63}$. This phenomenon is therefore known as aliasing. At the critical frequency $\nu_{c}$, the first cycle of the DFT (the one that is useful for approximating $F(\nu)$ ) starts running into the left-hand part of the second cycle (the part that would be that repetition's negative frequency components if the repetition were centered at zero frequency). In this way the signal's power outside of the frequency range $\left[-\nu_{c},+\nu_{c}\right]$ gets moved by the sampling process into that frequency range [10, page 495].

In the $N=64$ transform of our pulse, most of the action seems to be over long before the DFT repeats, so we could just ignore the high-frequency $F_{n}$ and use the others in approximating $F(\nu)$. Using fewer samples changes the DFT and reduces its period, as shown in the graphs below.



Continuing to reduce $N$ will eventually make the big negative-frequency components of the DFT's second cycle overlap (and add to) the big positive-frequency components that we want! The gory details of this collision are shown below with an enlarged frequency scale.



Even with as few as 8 samples the DFT is of some use in approximating $F(\nu)$ below $\nu_{c} \approx \frac{1}{2} \nu_{N}$, but when $N=4$ essentially the whole transform is destroyed by aliasing.

For the pulse of $\S 2, F(\nu)$ gets small at high frequencies but there is no value of $\nu$ above which it remains precisely zero. We must therefore expect that there is no value of $n$ above which $F_{n}$ remains precisely zero, so the effects of aliasing extend forever to both positive and negative frequencies. Thus every $F_{n}$ for this pulse, including those corresponding to frequencies less than $\nu=\nu_{c}$, can be regarded as contaminated to some extent by aliasing. Many real signals have this property, so aliasing is often a useful way of understanding the errors in the DFT approximation.

It is because our pulse $f(x)$ is of finite duration that its transform $F(\nu)$ spans all frequencies $[3, \S 9.4]$. A signal that is not of finite duration can be bandwidth limited, so that its Fourier transform is identically zero outside some finite range of frequencies. In that case, according to the sampling theorem [10, page 494], $f(x)$ can be exactly reconstructed
from its samples if the sampling rate is high enough so that the highest frequency in the signal does not exceed the critical frequency. Then there will be no aliasing, and we can think of representing the finite area under the transform by a Riemann sum. The Fourier transform of the sinc function $f(x)=\sin (x) / x$ is a rectangular pulse; thus each rectangle in the Riemann sum is the transform of a sinc function, and the waveform $f(x)$ must be exactly a weighted sum of sinc functions [9, equation 1.32]. This ideal result sheds some additional light on aliasing and is useful as an aid to thinking about the DFT of signals that are approximately bandwidth-limited, even though in practice we could never receive a signal of infinite duration.

## 5 DFT Evaluation by Fast Fourier Transform

The DFT is so useful that people have been working for many years on ways to speed up its computation. The current state of the art is the fast Fourier transform or FFT.

Although zero subscripts can be used in modern programming languages (including Classical Fortran but not including Matlab) the FFT code I studied is typical of vintage-1965 programs in numbering the data $x_{k}$, function values $f_{k}$, frequencies $\nu_{n}$, and transform values $F_{n}$ starting with 1 , so that $k$ and $n$ both run from 1 to $N$ rather than from 0 to $N-1$. Rewriting the formulas for the DFT and its inverse to follow this convention we get

$$
F_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} W^{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{k} \quad f_{k}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} W^{-(n-1)(k-1)} F_{n}
$$

### 5.1 Recursive Decomposition

The sum for $F_{n}$ can be separated into two parts, one composed of the odd-numbered terms and the other composed of the even-numbered terms. Using $W=e^{i 2 \pi / N}$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n} & =\sum_{k=1}^{N} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(k-1) / N} f_{k} \\
& =e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(0) / N} f_{1}+e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(1) / N} f_{2}+e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(2) / N} f_{3}+e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(3) / N} f_{4}+\cdots \\
& =\underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{N / 2} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1) 2(k-1) / N} f_{2 k-1}}_{\text {odd terms }}+\underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{N / 2} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(2 k-1) / N} f_{2 k}}_{\text {even terms }} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{N / 2} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1) 2(k-1) / N} f_{2 k-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{N / 2} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(1+2 k-2) / N} f_{2 k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{N / 2} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(k-1) 2 / N} f_{2 k-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{N / 2} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(1) / N} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(2 k-2) / N} f_{2 k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{N / 2} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(k-1) /(N / 2)} f_{2 k-1}+e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(1) / N} \sum_{k=1}^{N / 2} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(k-1) /(N / 2)} f_{2 k} \\
F_{n} & =F_{n}^{o}+W^{(n-1)} F_{n}^{e} \quad n=1 \ldots N .
\end{aligned}
$$

We could find $F_{n}$ if we knew $F_{n}^{o}$, the transform of just the odd-numbered $f_{k}$ 's in the data, and $F_{n}^{e}$, the transform of just the even-numbered ones. Similarly, we could find $F_{n}^{o}$ if we knew $F_{n}^{o o}$ and $F_{n}^{o e}$, and we could find $F_{n}^{e}$ if we knew $F_{n}^{e o}$ and $F_{n}^{e e}$. If we continue applying this idea, bisecting each subset of the data into odd-ordered and even-ordered terms at each step, the calculations that we are promising to do when we unwind the recursion form a binary tree. Assuming that $N$ is a power of 2 (not just any multiple as we assumed earlier),
the recursive subdivision ends with each leaf node being a single data element from the list to be transformed. The transform of a single number is the number itself.

$$
F_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{1} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(k-1) / 1} f=f
$$

To understand the recursive process it is helpful to consider an example, so suppose we start with 8 data values $f_{k}$ for $k=1 \ldots 8$. Then, for $n=1 \ldots 8$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
F_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{8} W_{8}^{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{k}=F_{n}^{o}+W_{8}^{(n-1)} F_{n}^{e} \text { where } W_{8}=e^{i 2 \pi / 8} \\
F_{n}^{o}=\sum_{k=1}^{8 / 2} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(k-1) /(8 / 2)} f_{2 k-1}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} W_{4}^{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{2 k-1} \\
F_{n}^{e}=\sum_{k=1}^{8 / 2} e^{i 2 \pi(n-1)(k-1) /(8 / 2)} f_{2 k}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} W_{4}^{(n-1)(k-1)} f_{2 k}
\end{array}\right\} \text { where } W_{4}=e^{i 2 \pi / 4}
$$

For example, when $n=2$ we have from the formulas above

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{2}^{o} & =W_{4}^{0} f_{1}+W_{4}^{1} f_{3}+W_{4}^{2} f_{5}+W_{4}^{3} f_{7} \\
F_{2}^{e} & =W_{4}^{0} f_{2}+W_{4}^{1} f_{4}+W_{4}^{2} f_{6}+W_{4}^{3} f_{8} \\
F_{2} & =W_{4}^{0} f_{1}+W_{4}^{1} f_{3}+W_{4}^{2} f_{5}+W_{4}^{3} f_{7}+W_{8}\left(W_{4}^{0} f_{2}+W_{4}^{1} f_{4}+W_{4}^{2} f_{6}+W_{4}^{3} f_{8}\right) \\
& =W_{4}^{0} f_{1}+W_{8} W_{4}^{0} f_{2}+W_{4}^{1} f_{3}+W_{8} W_{4}^{1} f_{4}+W_{4}^{2} f_{5}+W_{8} W_{4}^{2} f_{6}+W_{4}^{3} f_{7}+W_{8} W_{4}^{3} f_{8} \\
& =f_{1}+W_{8} f_{2}+W_{8}^{2} f_{3}+W_{8}^{3} f_{4}+W_{8}^{4} f_{5}+W_{8}^{5} f_{6}+W_{8}^{6} f_{7}+W_{8}^{7} f_{8} \\
& =\sum_{k-1}^{8} W_{8}^{(k-1)} f_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where line five uses the fact that $W_{4}=e^{i 2 \pi / 4}=\left(e^{i 2 \pi / 8}\right)^{2}=W_{8}^{2}$. The binary tree of calculations for this example is drawn on the next page and shows the recursive decomposition for any single value of $n$. For example, when $n=7$ we have from the binary tree

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{7} & =\left(\left(f_{1}+W_{2}^{6} f_{5}\right)+W_{4}^{6}\left(f_{3}+W_{2}^{6} f_{7}\right)\right)+W_{8}^{6}\left(\left(f_{2}+W_{2}^{6} f_{6}\right)+W_{4}^{6}\left(f_{4}+W_{2}^{6} f_{8}\right)\right) \\
& =f_{1}+W_{2}^{6} f_{5}+W_{4}^{6} f_{3}+W_{4}^{6} W_{2}^{6} f_{7}+W_{8}^{6} f_{2}+W_{8}^{6} W_{2}^{6} f_{6}+W_{8}^{6} W_{4}^{6} f_{4}+W_{8}^{6} W_{4}^{6} W_{2}^{6} f_{8} \\
& =f_{1}+W_{8}^{6} f_{2}+W_{8}^{12} f_{3}+W_{8}^{18} f_{4}+W_{8}^{24} f_{5}+W_{8}^{30} f_{6}+W_{8}^{36} f_{7}+W_{8}^{42} f_{8} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{8} W_{8}^{6(k-1)} f_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where line three uses the observation that $W_{2}=e^{i 2 \pi / 2}=\left(e^{i 2 \pi / 4}\right)^{2}=W_{4}^{2}$.


If the tree were represented explicitly using a linked list, each $F_{n}$ might be found by performing a depth-first traversal sweeping from left to right, along the way doing the indicated calculation at each node and saving the result. If there are $N$ data values the tree has

$$
1+2+4+\cdots+N / 2=\sum_{m=1}^{\log _{2}(N)} 2^{m-1}=\frac{2^{\log _{2}(N)}-1}{2-1}=N-1
$$

nodes at which a calculation must be done, so the work of doing $N$ traversals to find the whole DFT scales as $N^{2}$ (just like the naïve evaluation of the DFT discussed in §4). The storage needed for intermediate values ( $F_{n}^{o}, F_{n}^{e}$, and so on) could be reused for each new $n$, so it scales as $N$, and because all of the $f_{k}$ are used in finding each $F_{n}$ the input data cannot be overwritten so another $N$ locations would be needed to store the results.

### 5.2 Signal Flow Graphs

Fortunately, the special structure of the recursive decomposition can be exploited to speed things up. Each traversal of the binary tree for a given $n$ involves many calculations that are also needed for other values of $n$. To take advantage of this fact we need a representation of the process that reveals in a single picture how the input data get transformed into all $N$ output values $F_{n}$. Following [9, pages 294-297] I will use signal-flow graphs like the one shown on the next page.


Here the input signals $f_{1}$ and $f_{5}$ are thought of as flowing from their nodes of origin on the left to the result nodes $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ on the right. On its way to $R_{2}, f_{5}$ gets multiplied by the gain $W_{2}$; unmarked links have a gain of 1 . Links are connected only where there is a - dot; if they simply cross that does not interfere with either signal. At each result node the incoming signals are added. Both $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ correspond to the $F_{n}^{o o}$ node in the binary tree, but for different values of $n$. From the definition of $W$ we find $W_{2}=e^{i 2 \pi / 2}=e^{i \pi}=-1$. Thus for odd values of $n$ (even powers of $W_{2}$ ) $W_{2}^{(n-1)}=+1$ and $F_{n}^{o o}=R_{1}$, while for even values of $n$ (odd powers of $W_{2}$ ) $W_{2}^{(n-1)}=-1$ and $F_{n}^{o o}=R_{2}$.

A signal-flow graph is given on the next page for the whole computation of $F_{1}$ thru $F_{8}$. To see how it specifies the calculation, consider finding $F_{7}$ as we did using the binary tree. In the signal-flow graph the node labeled $F_{7}$ receives inputs of $R_{3,3}$ and $W_{8}^{6} \times R_{3,7}$. Those nodes receive inputs from others, which in turn receive inputs from others, forming the tree drawn in darker links. Traversing that tree we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{7} & =W_{8}^{6} R_{3,7}+R_{3,3} \\
& =W_{8}^{6}\left(W_{4}^{2} R_{2,7}+R_{2,5}\right)+W_{4}^{2} R_{2,3}+R_{2,1} \\
& =W_{8}^{6}\left(W_{4}^{2}\left(W_{2}^{0} f_{8}+f_{4}\right)+W_{2}^{0} f_{6}+f_{2}\right)+W_{4}^{2}\left(W_{2}^{0} f_{7}+f_{3}\right)+W_{2}^{0} f_{5}+f_{1} \\
& =f_{1}+W_{8}^{6} f_{2}+W_{4}^{2} f_{3}+W_{8}^{6} W_{4}^{2} f_{4}+W_{2}^{0} f_{5}+W_{8}^{6} W_{2}^{0} f_{6}+W_{4}^{2} W_{2}^{0} f_{7}+W_{8}^{6} W_{4}^{2} W_{2}^{0} f_{8} \\
& =f_{1}+W_{8}^{6} f_{2}+W_{8}^{4} f_{3}+W_{8}^{10} f_{4}+f_{5}+W_{8}^{6} f_{6}+W_{8}^{4} f_{7}+W_{8}^{10} f_{8} \\
& =f_{1}+W_{8}^{6} f_{2}+W_{8}^{12} f_{3}+W_{8}^{18} f_{4}+W_{8}^{24} f_{5}+W_{8}^{30} f_{6}+W_{8}^{36} f_{7}+W_{8}^{42} f_{8} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{8} W_{8}^{6(k-1)} f_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the fifth line we used $W_{4}^{2}=e^{2 i 2 \pi / 4}=e^{4 i 2 \pi / 8}=W_{8}^{4}$, and in the sixth $W_{8}^{8}=e^{8 i 2 \pi / 8}=$ $\left(e^{i \pi}\right)^{2}=(-1)^{2}=1$. The tree drawn in darker links in the signal-flow graph is just the binary tree when $n=7$; the other instances of the binary tree are in the signal-flow graph too, each rooted at the node where its $F_{n}$ is delivered. This way of organizing the calculation is advantageous in several important ways.

First, we can find all the $F_{n}$ 's in parallel, and without traversing any trees. Starting with the given values of the $f_{k}$ we can find $R_{2,1}$ thru $R_{2,8}$ in one stage of the calculation. I will number the stages $m=1,2,3$ from left to right. Once the stage- 1 calculation is complete we can use the $R_{2, p}$ values to find $R_{3,1}$ thru $R_{3,8}$ in stage 2. Finally, using those values we can find $F_{1}$ thru $F_{8}$ in stage 3. Stage 1 is a stack of $N / 2$ 2-point FFTs like the one diagrammed in the little network flow graph above; each has 2 inputs corresponding to leaf nodes of the binary tree and 2 outputs corresponding to the possible values of $W_{2}^{n-1}$ (above we saw that

they are -1 and +1 ). Stage 2 is a stack of $N / 44$-point FFTs, each with 4 inputs that are outputs from the first stage and 4 outputs corresponding to the possible values of $W_{4}^{n-1}$ (which happen to be $1, i,-1$, and $-i$ ). Stage 3 is a single 8 -point FFT (that is, a "stack" of $N / 8=18$-point FFTs) whose inputs are outputs from the second stage and whose outputs correspond to the 8 possible values of $W_{8}^{n-1}$. The number of stages is $\log _{2}(N)$, and since each stage has $N$ nodes at which a calculation is required the work now scales as $N \log _{2}(N)$ rather than as $N^{2}$. This might seem a technical detail, but in practice it is what makes evaluating the DFT computationally tractable for large values of $N$. The table on the top of the next page compares $\log _{2}(N)$ to $N^{2}$ for $N$ equal to some small powers of 2 .

The second big advantage of organizing the calculation according to the signal-flow graph is that the input data for each stage are not needed by subsequent stages, so we can overwrite them with results. This means we can perform the whole calculation in-place, without needing any extra storage for the intermediate values $R_{m, p}$ or outputs $F_{n}$.

| $N$ | $N \log _{2}(N)$ | $N^{2}$ | $\left(N \log _{2}(N)\right) /\left(N^{2}\right)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.500000 |
| 4 | 8 | 16 | 0.500000 |
| 8 | 24 | 64 | 0.375000 |
| 16 | 64 | 256 | 0.250000 |
| 32 | 160 | 1024 | 0.156250 |
| 64 | 384 | 4096 | 0.093750 |
| 128 | 896 | 16384 | 0.054688 |
| 256 | 2048 | 65536 | 0.031250 |
| 512 | 4608 | 262144 | 0.017578 |
| 1024 | 10240 | 1048576 | 0.009766 |
| 2048 | 22528 | 4194304 | 0.005371 |
| 4096 | 49152 | 16777216 | 0.002930 |
| 8192 | 106496 | 67108864 | 0.001587 |
| 16384 | 229376 | 268435456 | 0.000854 |
| 32768 | 491520 | 1073741824 | 0.000458 |

### 5.3 The Butterfly Calculation

In each stage, the calculation that we repeat $N / 2$ times (using different numbers) is the same, as illustrated by the representative examples drawn on the next page with heavy lines. Here I have expressed all of the link gains as powers of $W_{8}$. In the stage- 1 example, to find $R_{2,1}$ and $R_{2,2}$ we use $f_{1}$ and $f_{5}$; both inputs are needed to find each result, and neither is needed anywhere else in the calculation. Similarly in stage 2 we need $R_{2,5}$ and $R_{2,7}$ only to find $R_{3,5}$ and $R_{3,7}$, and in stage 3 we need $R_{3,2}$ and $R_{3,6}$ to find $F_{2}$ and $F_{6}$. Each such calculation involves lines from the signal-flow graph that form the shape of a butterfly, like this.


In the stage- 1 example we have $m=1, p=1$, and $q=2$ with $R_{m, p}=f_{1}$ and $R_{m, q}=f_{5}$. The gain $W_{N}^{s}=W_{8}^{0}$, so $s=0$. In the stage- 2 example, $m=2, p=5, q=7$, and again $s=0$. In the stage- 3 example, $m=3, p=2, q=6$, and $s=2$. Each butterfly calculation can be expressed like this.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{m+1, q}=R_{m, p}+W_{N}^{s+N / 2} R_{m, q} \\
& R_{m+1, p}=R_{m, p}+W_{N}^{s} R_{m, q}
\end{aligned}
$$

But $W_{N}^{N / 2}=e^{(N / 2) i 2 \pi / N}=e^{i \pi}=-1$, so $W_{N}^{s+N / 2}=-W_{N}^{s}$ and the calculation reduces to this.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{m+1, q}=R_{m, p}-W_{N}^{s} R_{m, q} \\
& R_{m+1, p}=R_{m, p}+W_{N}^{s} R_{m, q}
\end{aligned}
$$



Thus, the final virtue of the signal-flow formulation is that the butterfly calculation consists simply of adding and subtracting the same product, so it is easy to program and fast to perform.

Doing the calculation in-place means that $R_{m+1, q}$ overwrites $R_{m, q}$, so a temporary variable is needed to remember the product. In pseudocode, what we need to do is this.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { temp } & \leftarrow W_{N}^{s} R_{q} \\
R_{q} & \leftarrow R_{p}-\text { temp } \\
R_{p} & \leftarrow R_{p}+\text { temp }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is important to update $R_{p}$ last, because its original value is needed in finding $R_{q}$. As we work from left to right in the signal-flow graph from stage 1 thru stage $\log _{2}(N)$, we perform
$N / 2$ butterfly calculations in each stage working from top to bottom. When we begin, the vector $R$ contains the $f_{k}$; it gets updated in the successive stages and contains the $F_{n}$ when the calculation is finished.

The program on the next page reads a sequence of 8 input values $f_{k}$ (in the order they have from top to bottom in the signal-flow graph, or from left to right in the binary tree of $\S 5.1$ ) and prints out the resulting $F_{n}$. The lines of the listing are numbered so that I can refer to them in describing the code. Experiments confirm that this program produces output identical (modulo roundoff) to that from the naïve DFT implementation of $\S 4$. The pseudocode above translates directly into Fortran 56-58 but those three statements are surrounded by code for indexing the appropriate elements of $R$ and for computing the gains $W_{N}^{s}$. These operations can be related easily to the signal-flow graph, but they involve a lot of arithmetic. In the next Section we will consider less-obvious coding solutions that save on that work and allow for problems of arbitrary size.

The recursive decomposition of the DFT calculation that we have considered in this Section is called decimation ${ }^{7}$ in time, because it forms subsequences of the input sequence $f_{k}=f\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $x$ often represents time (though in image-processing applications it more commonly represents distance). The calculation can also be recursively decomposed by forming subsequences of the output sequence $F_{n}$, and that is called decimation in frequency. As discussed in $[9, \S 6.3]$, to each decimation-in-time algorithm there corresponds a decimation-in-frequency algorithm obtained by interchanging the input and output sequences and reversing the directions of flow in the signal-flow graph. Decimation in frequency is more difficult to understand and provides no advantage in efficiency, so decimation in time seems to be more commonly used.

[^6]

## 6 FFT Implementation

The Fourier transform $F(\nu)$ is in general a complex function of the real scalar $\nu$, so the $F_{n}$ found by the DFT are complex numbers and the data structure used throughout the signalflow graph calculation must accommodate numbers that have both a real and an imaginary part. Although complex data types are native to both Classical Fortran and Matlab (though not to C) the code I studied is typical of vintage-1965 programs in representing complex numbers by adjacent real values. Thus we find the $f_{k}$ stored in a real vector of length $2 N$ elements, like this.

| $\operatorname{Re}\left(f_{1}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{1}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Re}\left(f_{2}\right)$ | $\operatorname{Im}\left(f_{2}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATA(1) | DATA(2) | $\operatorname{DATA}(3)$ | DATA (4) |  |

Gains $W$ and other complex quantities are similarly represented by pairs of real values. To compute the product of two complex numbers $a+b i$ and $c+d i$ represented in this way, one calculates the real and imaginary parts of the product separately like this.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\{(a+b i) \times(c+d i)\}=a c-b d \\
& \operatorname{Im}\{(a+b i) \times(c+d i)\}=a d+b c
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.1 The Butterfly Calculation Revisited

There are not many ways to code the butterfly calculation itself, but one conspicuous inefficiency in the code of $\S 5.3$ is that it computes the same powers of $W_{N}$ over and over. Some work could be avoided if, within each stage, the butterflies using each power of $W_{N}$ were all evaluated before the next power was found. More work could be avoided by using multiplications, rather than complex exponentiations, to find the successive powers of $W_{N}$. A final improvement would be to compute the various indices by multiplications and additions rather than by integer exponentiations.

### 6.1.1 A Typical Butterfly Algorithm

The Fortran program listed on the following two pages incorporates those improvements. This code is inspired by the butterfly part of the four1 subroutine from [10, page 501], but I have altered the order of statements, made everything double precision, used the coding style described in [7], and changed the names of several variables. Experiments confirm that this program produces output identical to that from the naïve DFT implementation of $\S 4$.

Powers of W. Referring to the first signal-flow graph (on page 34) we see that the gains there are powers of $W_{2}$ in the first stage, powers of $W_{4}$ in the second stage, and powers of $W_{8}$ in the third. In the program the variable LMAX takes on $47,59,77$ the values 2 , 4 , and 8 to determine the $W$ that is used in each stage. The real and imaginary parts of $W$ can then be found from

| 1 C |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 C | This program implements the signal flow graph calculation |
| 3 C | with some obvious improvements. Refer to the first signal |
| 4 C | flow graph. |
| 5 C |  |
| 6 C | variable meaning |
| 7 C |  |
| 8 C | DATA the f's, then intermediate results, finally F's |
| 9 C | DCMPLX Fortran function returns COMPLEX*16 for two REAL*8s |
| 10 C | DFLOAT Fortran function returns REAL*8 for INTEGER*4 |
| 11 C | DSIN Fortran function returns sine of a REAL*8 |
| 12 C | $\mathrm{K} \quad$ index on input $f$ values |
| 13 C | $\mathrm{L} \quad$ index on powers of W |
| 14 C | LMAX in the current stage, W=e^(i * TWOPI/LMAX) |
| 15 C | $N$ number of data values |
| 16 C | NN number of (real, complex) data pairs |
| 17 C | $P$ odd index of (real,imag) at top of a butterfly |
| 18 C | PSTEP nodes between butterflies using the same power of W |
| 19 C | Q odd index of (real,imag) at bottom of a butterfly |
| 20 C | SIGNAL $f$ values read in |
| 21 C | TEMPI imaginary part of WRq |
| 22 C | TEMPR real part of WRq |
| 23 C | THETA angle corresponding to $\mathrm{W}^{\wedge}$ s |
| 24 C | TWOPI twice the circle constant |
| 25 C | WI imaginary part of W |
| 26 C | WPI imaginary part of W multiplier |
| 27 C | WPR real part of W multiplier |
| 28 C | WR real part of W |
| 29 C | WTEMP saved value of WR |
| 30 C |  |
| 31 | PARAMETER ( $\mathrm{NN}=8, \mathrm{~N}=2 * \mathrm{NN}$ ) |
| 32 | REAL*8 DATA (N) |
| 33 | REAL*8 SIGNAL (NN), TEMPI, TEMPR, THETA |
| 34 | REAL*8 TWOPI/6.2831853071795865D0/,WI,WPI,WPR,WR,WTEMP |
| 35 | INTEGER*4 P, PSTEP,Q |
| 36 C |  |
| 37 C |  |
| 38 C |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
W & =e^{i 2 \pi / \text { LMAX }}=\cos (2 \pi / \text { LMAX })+i \sin (2 \pi / \text { LMAX }) \\
\operatorname{Re}(W) & =\cos (2 \pi / \text { LMAX }) \\
\operatorname{Im}(W) & =\sin (2 \pi / \text { LMAX })
\end{aligned}
$$

The code sets $\theta$ to $2 \pi /$ LMAX 54 and WPI to $\sin \theta 56$ so WPI is the imaginary part of $W_{\text {LMAX }}$, but for the real part of the $W$ multiplier it uses 55

$$
\mathrm{WPR}=-2 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta\right)=-2\left( \pm \sqrt{\frac{1-\cos (\theta)}{2}}\right)^{2}=-|1-\cos (\theta)|=\cos (\theta)-1
$$

When the next power of $W$ is calculated $73-75$ the real and imaginary parts of the product are adjusted to account for the extra -1 in WPR, like this.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{WR} & \leftarrow \mathrm{WR} * \mathrm{WPR}-\mathrm{WI} * \mathrm{WPI}+\mathrm{WR} \\
& =\mathrm{WR} \times(\cos (\theta)-1)-\mathrm{WI} \times \sin (\theta)+\mathrm{WR} \\
& =\mathrm{WR} \cos (\theta)-\mathrm{WR}-\mathrm{WI} \sin (\theta)+\mathrm{WR} \\
& =\mathrm{WR} \cos (\theta)-\mathrm{WI} \sin (\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{WI} & \leftarrow \mathrm{WI} * \mathrm{WPR}+\mathrm{WR} * \mathrm{WPI}+\mathrm{WI} \\
& =\mathrm{WI} \times(\cos (\theta)-1)+\mathrm{WR} \times \sin (\theta)+\mathrm{WI} \\
& =\mathrm{WI} \cos (\theta)-\mathrm{WI}+\mathrm{WR} \sin (\theta)+\mathrm{WI} \\
& =\mathrm{WI} \cos (\theta)+\mathrm{WR} \sin (\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\mathrm{WR} \cos (\theta)-\mathrm{WR}-\mathrm{WI} \sin (\theta)+\mathrm{WR} \quad=\mathrm{WI} \cos (\theta)-\mathrm{WI}+\mathrm{WR} \sin (\theta)+\mathrm{WI}
$$

The new value of the complex gain represented by WR and WI is then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{WR} \cos (\theta)-\mathrm{WI} \sin (\theta))+i(\mathrm{WI} \cos (\theta)+\mathrm{WR} \sin (\theta)) & = \\
(\mathrm{WR}+i \mathrm{WI}) \times(\cos (\theta)+i \sin (\theta)) & = \\
W_{\mathrm{LMAX}}^{s-1} \times e^{i \theta} & = \\
W_{\mathrm{LMAX}}^{s-1} \times e^{i 2 \pi / \mathrm{LMAX}} & = \\
W_{\mathrm{LMAX}}^{s-1} \times W_{\mathrm{LMAX}} & =W_{\mathrm{LMAX}}^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
    get the input f values
    READ (5,*) SIGNAL
    DO 1 K=1,NN
        DATA (2*K-1)=SIGNAL (K)
            DATA(2*K ) =0.DO
    1 CONTINUE
    consider each stage
    LMAX=2
    5 IF(LMAX.GE.N) GO TO 2
        set W`0=1
        WR=1.D0
        WI=0.DO
        compute the W for this stage
        THETA=TWOPI/DFLOAT(LMAX)
        WPR=-2.DO*(DSIN(0.5D0*THETA))**2
        WPI=DSIN(THETA)
        consider each power of W used in this stage
        PSTEP=2*LMAX
        DO 3 L=1,LMAX,2
            do the butterflies with this power of W in all groups
            DO 4 P=L,N,PSTEP
                Q=P+LMAX
                    TEMPR=WR*DATA(Q)-WI*DATA(Q+1)
                    TEMPI=WR*DATA(Q+1)+WI*DATA(Q)
                    DATA(Q)=DATA(P)-TEMPR
                    DATA (Q+1) =DATA (P+1)-TEMPI
                    DATA(P) =DATA (P) +TEMPR
                DATA (P+1)=DATA (P+1)+TEMPI
    4 CONTINUE
            find the next power of W as W*WP
            WTEMP=WR
            WR=WR*WPR-WI*WPI+WR
            WI=WI*WPR+WTEMP*WPI+WI
    3 CONTINUE
            LMAX=PSTEP
        GO TO 5
        report the transform values
2 WRITE(6,901) DATA
901 FORMAT(1PE13.6,1X,1PE13.6)
    STOP
    END
```

Indexing. There is no explicit index on the stages; when LMAX.GE.N we know that we are done, and the loop 48-78 starting with the test and ending with GO TO 5 is free [7, page 275]. In our example, $\mathrm{N}=2 \times N=2 * \mathrm{NN}=16$ and LMAX takes on the values 2 for stage 1,4 for stage 2,8 for stage 3 , and finally 16 which makes the test 48 succeed to end the process with a branch to 81 statement 2 (LMAX never does get to be greater than $\mathbb{N}$ ).

In each stage, $W_{\text {LMAX }}$ is set 50-51 to $W_{\text {LMAX }}^{0}=1+0 i$ for the first pass thru the 3 loop $60-76$. In the first stage, that is the only value to consider so the 3 loop does only one pass. (LMAX is 2 and the DO increment is 2, so L takes on only the value 1 [7, page 81].) At the end of the 3 loop, LMAX is doubled 77 for the next stage.

In the first stage LMAX=2 47 so PSTEP is 459 . This is the number of data values (twice the number of nodes vertically in the signal-flow graph) between the tops of adjacent butterflies. In the first stage the 4 loop is performed for $P$ values of $1,5,9$, and $13(13+4=17$ would exceed the loop limit of N which is 1631 . Recall from the introduction to this Section that each data item occupies two locations in DATA, so node $R_{2,1}$ in the signal-flow graph corresponds to DATA (1) and DATA (2), $R_{2,2}$ to DATA (3) and DATA(4), and so on. The top of the second butterfly, $R_{2,3}$ thus has its real value at DATA(5), so that is the second value of P produced by the 4 loop. The data element corresponding to the bottom node of the butterfly is $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{LMAX} 63$. In the first stage, for example, when P is 1 we find 63 that $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{LMAX}=1+2=3$ which is the real half of the data element corresponding to the bottom of the butterfly. In the butterfly calculation $64-69$ DATA $(P+1)$ and DATA $(Q+1)$ are the imaginary parts of the numbers whose real parts are stored at DATA (P) and DATA(Q). The doubling of LMAX 77 and PSTEP 59 as the 5 loop progresses from stage to stage ensures that the foregoing logic works in each stage subsequent to the first.

### 6.1.2 A Little Improvement

Instead of using WPR $=-2 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \theta\right) 55$ and including the terms +WR 74 and +WI 75 in computing the next value of $W_{\text {LMAX }}$, it would be more straightforward ${ }^{8}$ to use $\mathrm{WPR}=\cos (\theta)$ and omit the corrections. This change saves some floating-point arithmetic, makes the code easier to understand and explain, and produces the same results we got before.

### 6.2 Input Data Rearrangement

A consequence of the recursive decomposition described in $\S 5.1$ is that the input values need to be arranged in DATA in a particular order. For our example with $N=8$ data values $f_{k}, k=1 \ldots 8$, they must be in the order $f_{1}, f_{5}, f_{3}, f_{7}, f_{2}, f_{6}, f_{4}, f_{8}$ as shown in the binary tree on page 32 and the signal-flow graph on pages 34 and 36 . This ordering is of the indices, and has nothing to do with the data values, so it would be misleading to call it sorting.

[^7]If the indices went from 0 to $N-1$ instead (as we originally had them in $\S 4$ ) each index value would be one less so the corresponding order would be $f_{0}, f_{4}, f_{2}, f_{6}, f_{1}, f_{5}, f_{3}, f_{7}$. These indices and their binary values are listed below in the first and second columns. The third column is the binary value with the order of its bits reversed.

| 0 | 000 | 000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 100 | 001 |
| 2 | 010 | 010 |
| 6 | 110 | 011 |
| 1 | 001 | 100 |
| 5 | 101 | 101 |
| 3 | 011 | 110 |
| 7 | 111 | 111 |

When the data are arranged in ascending order according to the bit-reversed values of their original indices, they are in just the order we need! As explained in [10, page 499], this "is because the successive subdivisions of the data into even and odd are tests of successive loworder (least significant) bits" of $N$. If we can somehow arrange indices by their bit-reversed values, we can arrange data indexed from 0 to $N-1$ into the right order. How might such an arrangement of indices be accomplished? Is there some way to make it work for our data, which we decided for other reasons to index from 1 to $N$ instead? What about arranging real-imaginary number pairs stored in consecutive elements of DATA? To see how the basic idea can be used in the presence of these complications we need some background in bit manipulation.

### 6.2.1 Bit-Reversed-Index Order

A decimal integer is represented in the computer (e.g., as a FORTRAN INTEGER*4 variable [7, page 55$]$ ) by a string of 32 bits like this one.


The sign bit (marked $s$ ) is zero for positive values, and the other bit positions correspond to powers of 2 in the positional notation for the number, so the integer represented is $16+2+1=$ $19_{10}=10011_{2}$. The binary representation of 20 is the bits for $16+4=10100_{2}$. Lining these numbers up suggests an algorithm for finding the next binary value. ${ }^{9}$ There are many methods by which a list of indices might be arranged in ascending bit-reversed-index order, but the obscure algorithm I am about to describe is fast and widely used.

```
10011
20 10100
```

We can change the top bitstring into the bottom one by finding its least-significant or rightmost 0 (in the $4=2^{2}$ spot), changing it to a 1 , and making the bits to the right of it (they

[^8]are both 1) into 0s. This always works, because
$$
\sum_{l=1}^{L} 2^{l-1}+1=2^{L}
$$

In our case there are $L=2$ bits in the rightmost string of 1 s, so the identity yields $2^{0}+2^{1}+1=$ $2^{2}=4$ and this is the same result we would get by addition: $011_{2}+1_{2}=100_{2}=4$.

Similarly, to find the number that comes after a given number in the order they would have if their bits were reversed (without actually reversing the bits) we can find the most significant or leftmost 0 in the given number, change it to a 1 , and make the 1 s to the left of it into 0 s . The most significant 0 of 10011 is in the $2^{3}$ bit position. Changing that bit to a 1 and making the 1 to the left of it into 0 we get $01011=11$. Thus, when the numbers in a list are represented by 5 bits (i.e., they range from 0 thru 31) the number that follows 19 in bit-reversed ascending order is 11 .

The FFT example we studied previously had 8 data values, but it will be easier to see how the process just described can be used to rearrange data into bit-reversed-index order if we consider indices larger than 8 . Suppose now that we have $N=16$ real-imaginary pairs of values in which the individual numbers are indexed $1,2 \ldots 32$. Then each pair can be identified by the odd index value that names the real number of the pair, so in examining the pairs we need consider only the index values $1,3, \ldots, 31$. These numbers have the decimal and binary values shown below, in natural order, on the left. Because they are odd they all end with a 1 bit, which we can ignore in determining the bit-reversed ascending order of the numbers, shown on the right along with the decimal numbers represented by their unreversed bits.

| 1 | 00001 | 10000 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 00011 | 10001 | 17 |
| 5 | 00101 | 10010 | 9 |
| 7 | 00111 | 10011 | 25 |
| 9 | 01001 | 10100 | 5 |
| 11 | 01011 | 10101 | 21 |
| 13 | 01101 | 10110 | 13 |
| 15 | 01111 | 10111 | 29 |
| 17 | 10001 | 11000 | 3 |
| 19 | 10011 | 11001 | 19 |
| 21 | 10101 | 11010 | 11 |
| 23 | 10111 | 11011 | 27 |
| 25 | 11001 | 11100 | 7 |
| 27 | 11011 | 11101 | 23 |
| 29 | 11101 | 11110 | 15 |
| 31 | 11111 | 11111 | 31 |

If the data pairs are rearranged into the order shown on the right, their original indices will be ascending in bit-reversed order. Each step in this rearrangement can be accomplished by exchanging one data pair for another, and that puts both pairs in their right places. For example, the data pair whose real value has original index 17 or 10001 can be exchanged with the one whose real value has original index 3 or 00011, and that puts both data pairs where they belong in the new arrangement. If we ignore the final 1 in each number of the
left-hand list and reverse its remaining bits, we get the trailing bits of its partner in ascending bit-reversed order. Of course, once data pair 3 has been exchanged for data pair 17, we must not exchange 17 for 3 again later in the process.

### 6.2.2 A Typical Bit-Reversal Algorithm

How can we generate the sequence $1,17,9, \ldots$ ? The little Fortran program below does the job, its output reproducing the first and last columns of the table above. This code is inspired by the bit-reversal logic of the four1 subroutine listed in [10, page 501], revised to change some variable names and to conform with the coding style used in [7].

```
NN=2*16
J=1
DO 1 I=1,NN,2
    PRINT *,I,J
    MSZ=NN/2
3 IF((MSZ.LT.2) .OR. (J.LE.MSZ)) GO TO 2
            J=J-MSZ
            MSZ=MSZ/2
    GO TO 3
    J=J+MSZ
1 CONTINUE
STOP
END
```

Here NN stores the total number of data values in the list (twice the number of data pairs), I is a member of the list that is arranged in increasing order (as on the left above), and J is the corresponding index when the numbers are arranged in ascending bit-reversed order (as on the right above). To understand how the algorithm works it is necessary to keep track of the bit pattern in the auxiliary variable MSZ, which means "most significant zero."

For each I, MSZ begins as NN/2 which for our example is 16 or the bit pattern 10000. (The bit pattern for MSZ will always contain a single 1 if NN is a power of 2). Suppose that I has just been incremented to 15 so that J contains, according to the table above, 29 (printed opposite 15 but actually found in the previous pass thru the 1 loop). In that case the test fails because $\mathrm{MSZ}=16>2$ and $\mathrm{J}=29>\mathrm{MSZ}=16$, and control enters the 3 loop. The subtraction $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{MSZ}$ computes 11101-10000, removing the leftmost 1 from the bit pattern for J. Then MSZ is divided by 2, which shifts the 1 bit it contains one place to the right yielding 01000 . Now J is 01101 or 13 , but MSZ is now only 8 so the test fails again. The subtraction 01101-01000 again removes the leftmost 1 bit from J, leaving J equal to 00101 or 5 , and MSZ is divided again yielding 00100 or 4 . The test fails yet again, and the subtraction 00101-00100 removes the leading 1 again, yielding 00001 for J. MSZ gets divided again to 00010 or 2 . This time the test succeeds because $\mathrm{J}=1<\mathrm{MSZ}=2$, so the branch is taken to statement 2 and J gets incremented by MSZ producing 00001+00010=00011 or 3 (which does indeed follow 29 in the list above). The 3 loop and the statement following it perform the task of finding the next J in ascending bit-reversed order.

The 3 loop found the most significant 0 in 11101, changing the 1 s to the left of it into Os along the way, and then the $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{J}+\mathrm{MSZ}$ changed that most significant 0 to a 1 . During the
process of zeroing out the 1s to the left of the (originally) most significant 0 in J , the 1 bit in MSZ also got shifted to the right, so J remained greater than MSZ until the final leading 1 in J got zeroed out. The next bit in J was then a 0 (the leading zero that we found), in the bit position where MSZ now had its 1 bit, so the test for (J.LE.MSZ) succeeded. When the process works that is how it stops, with J strictly less than MSZ.

### 6.2.3 A Little Improvement

For $\mathrm{I}=1$ we want $\mathrm{J}=1$, because that is also the first index in ascending bit-reversed order. Each I and its corresponding J are available at the top of the 1 loop, so each pass computes the value of J that will go with the next I . For $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{NN}-1$ we report $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{NN}-1$ (computed on the previous pass thru the 1 loop) because 11111 is its own bit reversal and comes last in ascending bit-reversed order. Unfortunately the flow of control then continues on down thru the body of the 1 loop, uselessly trying to calculate the value of the next J even though there won't be another I to go along with it. This time J starts out as the bit string 11111, in which there is no most significant 0 to find. J never gets to be less than MSZ, so if that were the only test then in the final pass thru the 1 loop the 3 loop would go on forever. But that is also the only time when MSZ gets divided down to 1 , so the 3 loop can be stopped by testing for (MSZ.LT.2). Thus the (MSZ.LT.2) test is needed only to make the 3 loop terminate on the occasion when the 1 loop is finishing its last pass. But if the (MSZ.LT.2) test were missing, the test (J.LE.MSZ) would still succeed, because when MSZ reaches 1 so does J. So the (MSZ.LT.2) test is actually not needed so long as the other test is (J.LE.MSZ) rather than (J.LT.MSZ). The code below produces the same output as the earlier version.

```
NN=2*16
J=1
DO 1 I=1,NN,2
    PRINT *,I,J
    MSZ=NN/2
3 IF(J.LE.MSZ) GO TO 2
            J=J-MSZ
            MSZ=MSZ/2
    GO TO 3
    J=J+MSZ
1 Continue
STOP
END
```


### 6.2.4 A Bigger Improvement

Why do the extra work of completing the final pass thru the 1 loop at all, when the result will just be thrown away? Instead, we can reorganize the calculation as shown in the left-hand program on the next page.

In an FFT code the indices generated by this algorithm must be used to rearrange the elements of DATA into bit-reversed-index order, so wherever we PRINT *, I, J in the program above we would actually do a swap. But because the first and last data elements start out in their bit-reversed locations they don't actually need to be moved. No swap need replace the first PRINT, and the 1 loop can skip the final data pair. Making these changes we get the
program on the right, where comments indicate the data swapping. The test for (J.GT.I) is to avoid swapping any pair of elements twice.

```
NN=2*16
    I=1
PRINT *,I,J
DO 1 I=3,NN-1,2
    MSZ=NN/2
3 IF(J.LT.MSZ) GO TO 2
3 IF(J.LT.MSZ)
3 IF(J.LT.MSZ)
    GO TO 3
2 l}\begin{array}{l}{\textrm{J}=\textrm{J}+\textrm{MSZ}}\\{\mathrm{ PRINT *,I,J}}
2 J=J+MSZ 
CONTINUE
STOP
END
    J=1
2
```

```
    NN=2*16
    J=1
DO 1 I=3,NN-3,2
3 IF(J.LT.MSZ) GO TO 2
            MSZ=MSZ/2
        GO TO 3
        J=J+MSZ
        IF(J.GT.I) THEN
C Swap DATA(J ) with DATA(I )
C Swap DATA(J ) with DATA(I )
        ENDIF
        MSZ=NN/2
        J=J-MSZ
C
1 CONTINUE
STOP
END
```


### 6.3 Other Considerations

The input rearrangement and butterfly algorithms are key to any subroutine for computing the FFT, but before we can complete such a code there are a few small details to consider.

### 6.3.1 Computing the Inverse Transform

The discussion of $\S 6.2 .1$ and the test program listed there assume that we want to calculate the direct transform, but by making only a small change to the code we can use it to find the inverse transform as well. Recall that the direct and inverse transforms are defined like this.

$$
F_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} W^{n k} f_{k} \quad f_{k}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} W^{-n k} F_{n}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(W^{-1}\right)^{n k} F_{n} .
$$

These calculations are the same except for the sign of the exponent and the scaling of the inverse transform by $1 / N$. The code that we settled on in $\S 6.1 .2$ for calculating the real and imaginary parts of $W$ looks like this.

```
THETA=TWOPI/DFLOAT(LMAX)
WPR=DCOS(THETA)
WPI=DSIN(THETA)
```

To change the sign of the exponent we need to instead compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{\mathrm{LMAX}}^{-1} & =\left(e^{i 2 \pi / \mathrm{LMAX}}\right)^{-1}=e^{-i 2 \pi / \mathrm{L} \operatorname{MAX}} \\
& =\cos (-2 \pi / \mathrm{LMAX})+i \sin (-2 \pi / \mathrm{LMAX}) \\
& =\cos (-\theta)+i \sin (-\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Introducing an integer variable INVDIR ${ }^{10}$ that is set to +1 for the direct transform or -1 for the inverse, the code segment for finding $W$ can be rewritten like this.

```
THETA=TWOPI/DFLOAT(INVDIR*LMAX)
WPR=DCOS(THETA)
WPI=DSIN(THETA)
```


### 6.3.2 Checking for Legal Values of $N$

The FFT algorithm that we have considered works only if $N$ is a positive power of 2 , which means that it has exactly one 1 bit. The program below checks that property to determine whether a given $N$ is suitable.

```
    INTEGER*4 MZRO/Z'80000000'/
C
get the integer to test
    5 READ (5,901,END=1) N
    901 FORMAT(Z8)
C
C find the positive power of 2 that N is, or that it is not one
    NBITS=N
    DO 2 NS=1,32
        IF(NBITS.LT.0) THEN
        IF(NBITS.NE.MZRO) GO TO 3
        IF(NS.EQ.1 .OR. NS.EQ.32) GO TO 3
        LGN=32-NS
        GO TO 4
        ENDIF
C
left bit is not a 1 yet; shift left
    NBITS=2*NBITS
    2 CONTINUE
    3 WRITE (6,902) N
902 FORMAT(I11,' is not a positive power of +2')
    GO TO 5
C
        report the power of 2 that N is
    4 WRITE (6,903) N,LGN
903 FORMAT(I11,' = 2^[',I2,']')
        GO TO 5
    1 STOP
        END
```

The 2 loop uses integer multiplication 18 to shift the bits of NBITS left one bit at a time until 10 the leading 1 is in the sign position; then it checks 11 whether all the other bits are zeros. If 12 that happens before the first shift, then N was negative and illegal; if it happens before the last shift, then N was 1 and illegal. If it happens after some intermediate number of shifts, then 13 we get $\log _{2}(N)$, called LGN in the program, for free. If it never happens (control falls through the bottom of the loop) then N was zero and illegal.

If an FFT routine is called repeatedly with the same value of N it is of course necessary to check that $N$ is legal only on the first call. In the test program of $\S 6.2 .1$ the 5 loop is

[^9]free and exits based on a test of LMAX. If we know LGN it should be possible to make this a bounded loop [7, §13.3.5] that explicitly steps through the stages of the signal-flow graph.

### 6.3.3 Output Ordering and Shift

Recall from $\S 4$ that because $F_{n}$ is periodic in $n$ with period $N$, we can if we wish think of some $F_{n}$ as corresponding to negative frequencies. There we assumed that $n$ runs from 0 to $N-1$, but ever since $\S 5$ we have numbered the results $F_{1}$ through $F_{N}$ instead. This makes it no longer true that $F_{-n}=F_{N-n}$, because the modulus arithmetic works only if zero is allowed as a remainder of integer division. However, if $N=8$ we can still think of the $F_{n}$ as being in order for either sequence of $\nu$ values listed below.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
F_{1} & F_{2} & F_{3} & F_{4} & F_{5} & F_{6} & F_{7} & F_{8} \\
0 & \frac{+1}{8 \Delta} & \frac{+2}{8 \Delta} & \frac{+3}{8 \Delta} & \frac{+4}{8 \Delta} & \frac{+5}{8 \Delta} & \frac{+6}{8 \Delta} & \frac{+7}{8 \Delta} \\
0 & \frac{+1}{8 \Delta} & \frac{+2}{8 \Delta} & \frac{+3}{8 \Delta} & \frac{ \pm 4}{8 \Delta} & \frac{-3}{8 \Delta} & \frac{-2}{8 \Delta} & \frac{-1}{8 \Delta} \\
F_{1} & F_{2} & F_{3} & F_{4} & F_{ \pm 5} & F_{-4} & F_{-3} & F_{-2}
\end{array}
$$

If we think of the $F_{n}$ as having been delivered in the order shown at the bottom above, we might like to rearrange them in increasing order of frequency like this.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\frac{-4}{8 \Delta} & \frac{-3}{8 \Delta} & \frac{-2}{8 \Delta} & \frac{-1}{8 \Delta} & 0 & \frac{+1}{8 \Delta} & \frac{+2}{8 \Delta} & \frac{+3}{8 \Delta} & \frac{+4}{8 \Delta} \\
F_{5} & F_{6} & F_{7} & F_{8} & F_{1} & F_{2} & F_{3} & F_{4} & F_{5}
\end{array}
$$

This operation is called a shift, because it amounts to a circular shift left by $N / 2$ elements. If we start with the top list of $F_{n}$ and exchange $F_{1}$ for $F_{5}$, they will both be in the right places for the bottom list; similarly for $F_{2}$ and $F_{6}$, for $F_{3}$ and $F_{7}$, and for $F_{4}$ and $F_{8}$. The 1 loop in the code below performs these four exchanges on a vector of integers to illustrate the idea. Because $F_{5}$ appears twice, the result vector has $N+1$ elements and the code copies $F_{5}$ into the $N+1$ st location before doing the exchanges.

```
    PARAMETER(NN=8)
    INTEGER*4 FF(NN+1)/1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,0/,TEMP
    WRITE(6,901) FF
901 FORMAT (9I2)
FF}(NN+1)=FF(1+NN/2
DO 1 N=1,NN/2
            123456780
            FF(N)=FF(N+NN/2) 5
            FF(N+NN/2)=TEMP
1 CONTINUE
WRITE (6,901) FF
STOP
END
```

When it is compiled and run the program produces the output on the right. In an FFT routine the results will of course be real-imaginary pairs rather than integers.

Our algorithm will do the arithmetic to invert a direct transform that has been shifted (ignoring its repeated $N+1$ st point) but it produces a result different from the inverse of the unshifted forward transform. In our $N=8$ example, with the $F_{n}$ rearranged by shifting we get for $f_{2}$ the sum on top instead of the usual DFT sum on the bottom.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N f_{2}=W^{0} F_{5}+W^{-1} F_{6}+W^{-2} F_{7}+W^{-3} F_{8}+W^{-4} F_{1}+W^{-5} F_{2}+W^{-6} F_{3}+W^{-7} F_{4} \\
& N f_{2}=W^{0} F_{1}+W^{-1} F_{2}+W^{-2} F_{3}+W^{-3} F_{4}+W^{-4} F_{5}+W^{-5} F_{6}+W^{-6} F_{7}+W^{-7} F_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

The results would be equal if the power of $W$ multiplying each $F_{n}$ in one sum evaluated to the same number as the power of $W$ multiplying the same $F_{n}$ in the other. However, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{-4} & =e^{-4 i 2 \pi / 8}=e^{-i \pi}=e^{i(-\pi)}=\cos (-\pi)+i \sin (-\pi)=-W^{0} \\
W^{-5} & =e^{-5 i 2 \pi / 8}=e^{-i 2 \pi / 8} e^{-i \pi}=-W^{-1} \\
W^{-6} & =e^{-6 i 2 \pi / 8}=e^{-2 i 2 \pi / 8} e^{-i \pi}=-W^{-2} \\
W^{-7} & =e^{-7 i 2 \pi / 8}=e^{-3 i 2 \pi / 8} e^{-i \pi}=-W^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $f_{2}$ comes out with the right magnitude but the wrong sign. On the other hand, when we compare the shifted and unshifted sums for $f_{3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N f_{3}=W^{0} F_{5}+W^{-2} F_{6}+W^{-4} F_{7}+W^{-6} F_{8}+W^{-8} F_{1}+W^{-10} F_{2}+W^{-12} F_{3}+W^{-14} F_{4} \\
& N f_{3}=W^{0} F_{1}+W^{-2} F_{2}+W^{-4} F_{3}+W^{-6} F_{4}+W^{-8} F_{5}+W^{-10} F_{6}+W^{-12} F_{7}+W^{-14} F_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{-8} & =e^{-8 i 2 \pi / 8}=e^{-i 2 \pi}=e^{i(-2 \pi)}=\cos (-2 \pi)+i \sin (-2 \pi)=W^{0} \\
W^{-10} & =e^{-10 i 2 \pi / 8}=e^{-2 i 2 \pi / 8}=W^{-2} \\
W^{-12} & =e^{-12 i 2 \pi / 8}=e^{-3 i 2 \pi / 8}=W^{-3} \\
W^{-14} & =e^{-14 i 2 \pi / 8}=e^{-4 i 2 \pi / 8}=W^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $f_{3}$ comes out right. For an $N$-point transform, the shifted and unshifted DFT sums for $f_{k}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N f_{k}=W^{0} F_{N / 2+1}+W^{-(k-1)} F_{N / 2+2}+W^{-2(k-1)} F_{N / 2+3} \cdots+W^{-(N / 2-1)(k-1)} F_{N}+W^{-(N / 2)(k-1)} F_{1} \quad \cdots \\
& N f_{k}=W^{0} F_{1} \quad+W^{-(k-1)} F_{2} \quad+W^{-2(k-1)} F_{3} \quad \cdots+W^{-(N / 2-1)(k-1)} F_{N / 2}+W^{-(N / 2)(k-1)} F_{N / 2+1} \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

so for the coefficients to agree we need

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{-(N / 2+n-1)(k-1)} & =W^{-(n-1)(k-1)} \\
W^{-(N / 2)(k-1)} W^{-(n-1)(k-1)} & =W^{-(n-1)(k-1)} \\
W^{-(N / 2)(k-1)} & =1 \\
e^{-(N / 2)(k-1) i 2 \pi / N} & =1 \\
e^{-i(k-1) \pi} & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

But $e^{i \theta}=\cos (\theta)+i \sin (\theta)=1$ when $\theta$ is an even multiple of $\pi$, so if $k-1$ is even the sums agree and if it is odd they differ by a sign.

Thus we can invert a direct transform that was shifted by treating it as though it were not and then changing the sign of each even-indexed result $f_{k}$.

### 6.3.4 Scaling, and Limits of Integration

As discussed in $\S 6.3 .1$ the signal-flow graph algorithm computes, if we make the proper choice of exponent sign, the sum for either the direct or the inverse DFT. The direct DFT is the sum when the sign is positive, but the inverse DFT is the sum when the sign is negative, multiplied by $1 / N$. It would be counterintuitive for any routine that computes the DFT to omit this scaling, because then using the routine to transform a sequence and invert the result would not recover the starting sequence. So this scaling should always be done.

Of course the DFT is not the Fourier transform, either. As discussed in §4.1, it is the DFT multiplied by $\Delta_{x}$ that approximates the continuous Fourier transform $F(\nu)$.

Thus, if the direct transform is being computed, we should be allowed to either scale the result by $\Delta_{x}$ or leave it alone. If the inverse transform is being computed, then if the input direct transform was scaled we must scale the inverse by $1 /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)=\Delta_{\nu}$ and if the direct transform was not scaled we must scale the inverse transform by $1 / N$.

The limits of integration used in the analysis of $\S 4$ do not enter into the numerical process of finding the sum for the forward or the inverse DFT, but they are needed for finding $\Delta_{x}$ and $\Delta_{\nu}$. In a more philosophical vein, the limits of integration determine the values of the $\nu_{n}$ that go along with the $F_{n}$ and of the $x_{k}$ that go along with the $f_{k}$, and that information is essential for interpreting the transform and its inverse. An FFT routine that permits scaling must provide for the limits of integration to be supplied along with the $f_{k}$ or $F_{n}$ input data, and must replace limits on $x$ with the corresponding limits on $\nu$ in producing the forward transform or the limits on $\nu$ with the corresponding limits on $x$ in producing the inverse.

We have assumed that the lower limit of integration for the forward transform is always zero, so only the upper limit of integration $b$ need be supplied. The lower limit on the inverse transform is also zero if there is no shift, and is equal to minus the upper limit if there is a shift. Providing only $b$ is thus sufficient in both directions.

If $x$ goes from 0 to $b$ and there are $N$ points, then $\Delta_{x}=b /(N-1)$. The frequency range of the forward transform is from 0 to $(N-1) /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)$ if unshifted, or from $-(N / 2) /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)=$ $-1 /\left(2 \Delta_{x}\right)$ to $+(N / 2) /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)=+1 /\left(2 \Delta_{x}\right)$ if shifted.

If $\nu$ goes from $a=0$ to $b=(N-1) /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)$, then there are $N$ points and

$$
\Delta_{\nu}=\frac{b}{N-1}=\frac{(N-1) /\left(N \Delta_{x}\right)}{N-1}=\frac{1}{N \Delta_{x}}
$$

so the $x$ range of the inverse transform is from 0 to $(N-1) /\left(N \Delta_{\nu}\right)=(N-1) \Delta_{x}$. If $\nu$ goes from $-b=-1 /\left(2 \Delta_{x}\right)$ to $b=+1 /\left(2 \Delta_{x}\right)$, then there are $N+1$ points and

$$
\Delta_{\nu}=\frac{b-(-b)}{N}=\frac{+1 /\left(2 \Delta_{x}\right)-\left(-1 /\left(2 \Delta_{x}\right)\right)}{N}=\frac{1}{N \Delta_{x}}
$$

once again, so the $x$ range of the inverse transform is still from 0 to $(N-1) /\left(N \Delta_{\nu}\right)$.

### 6.3.5 User Interface

Because a primary motivation for using FFT in the first place is speed, a case can be made for sacrificing safety and convenience to save computer time. This argues for trusting the user to give $N$ a sensible value, to scale the inverse transform by $1 / N$, to scale the forward transform by $\Delta_{x}$ if desired, and to frequency-shift the forward transform if desired. ${ }^{11}$ It is not always necessary to do the scalings at all, and scaling by $\Delta$ enlarges the parameter list (to pass the upper limit of integration $b$ ). Checking the parameters inside a routine adds code and takes cycles. Especially when FFT calls must be iterated in transforming data with more than one dimension, as discussed in $\S 7$, it should be possible to avoid repetitive or unnecessary work. This is true even though the convenience code accounts for only a small fraction of the cycles consumed when a problem is big enough so that execution time is a serious consideration. Scaling and shifting could be implemented in separate routines, rather than in the same routine that computes the DFT sums.

On the other hand, it is expecting quite a lot for simpleton users (such as me) to get subroutine parameters right on the first try, to code transform scalings outside the FFT routine whenever they are necessary, and to remember how to shift the output if that is desired. A library subprogram is supposed to encapsulate arcane technical details of that kind so the user can instead focus on solving the application problem. Implementing closelyrelated functionalities in separate routines increases cognitive load, coding effort, and the chance of making a mistake when they are all needed, and a routine for shifting and scaling could never justify its existence apart from being used with the DFT-sum calculator.

Given all of these considerations, I have included code for parameter checking, scaling, and shifting in the (single) subroutine of the next Section. However, as a concession to efficiency the routine checks that $N$ is legal only once, and it lets the user specify with parameter values whether the transform is to be scaled or shifted. This design results in the calling sequence given on the next page. The parameters that come first are inputs, and do not get changed by the routine; the parameters in the middle are both inputs and outputs; and the return code is an output whose input value is ignored.

A main program for exercising FFT is given on page 54, which you can modify to suit your needs (but please read the disclaimers and permissions tab of the website from which you downloaded this paper ${ }^{12}$ ). The FUNCTION subprogram FOFX, which returns $f(x)$ for our triangular pulse, was listed in $\S 4$. The PROMPT and QUERY routines are given in $[7, \S 10]$.

[^10]CALL FFT(N, INVDIR,SCALE,SHIFT, DATA,B, RC)

N INTEGER*4 number of samples, equal to a positive power of 2 shifting adds an ( $\mathrm{N}+1$ ) st point but leaves N unchanged
INVDIR INTEGER $* 4$ flag: $+1 \Rightarrow$ direct transform, $-1 \Rightarrow$ inverse transform
SCALE
LOGICAL*4 flag: .FALSE. $\Rightarrow$ no scaling by $\Delta$; TRUE. $\Rightarrow$ if INVDIR=+1 scale $F_{n}$ by $\Delta_{x}$ to approximate $F(\nu)$ if INVDIR=-1 assume the input $F_{n}$ values were scaled by $\Delta_{x}$
SHIFT LOGICAL*4 flag: .FALSE. $\Rightarrow$ no frequency shift; .TRUE. $\Rightarrow$ if INVDIR=+1 rearrange $F_{n}$ to center spectrum on $\nu=0$ and set DATA $(\mathrm{N}+1)$
if INVDIR=-1 assume the input $F_{n}$ values were rearranged but ignore DATA $(\mathrm{N}+1)$

DATA COMPLEX*16 vector of length $N(N+1$ if SHIFT=.TRUE.) on input, N values of $f_{k}$ or $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{N}+1\right.$ for SHIFT=.TRUE.) values of $F_{n}$ on output, $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{N}+1\right.$ for SHIFT=.TRUE.) values of $F_{n}$ or N values of $f_{k}$
B REAL*8 scalar, the upper limit of integration
if INVDIR $=+1$, B is a value of $x$ on input, a value of $\nu$ on output
if SHIFT=.FALSE. the spectrum goes from 0 to B
if SHIFT=.TRUE. the spectrum goes from -B to B
if INVDIR $=-1$, B is a value of $\nu$ on input, a value of $x$ on output
if SCALE=.FALSE., B is neither used nor changed
RC INTEGER*4 return code; 0 if all went well, otherwise the sum of
$1 \Rightarrow \mathrm{~N}$ is not a positive integer power of 2
$2 \Rightarrow$ INVDIR is not +1 or -1
$4 \Rightarrow \mathrm{~B} \leq 0$
if a parameter error occurs, DATA and B are left unchanged

```
C This program exercises FFT.
C
        REAL*8 B,DELTA,FOFX,NU,X
        COMPLEX*16 DATA(257)
        INTEGER*4 RC
        LOGICAL*4 SCALE,SHIFT,QUERY
C
C -------------------------------------------------------------------
C
C find out how many points to use
        CALL PROMPT('N=',2)
        READ *,N
        SCALE=QUERY('scale?',6)
        SHIFT=QUERY('shift?',6)
C
C sample f(x)
        B=3.5D0
        DELTA=B/DFLOAT(N-1)
        DO 1 K=1,N
            X=DELTA*DFLOAT(K-1)
            DATA (K)=DCMPLX(FOFX (X),0.DO)
        1 CONTINUE
C
C transform the input waveform
        INVDIR=+1
        CALL FFT(N,INVDIR,SCALE,SHIFT, DATA,B, RC)
        IF(SCALE) WRITE (6,901) B
    901 FORMAT('B=',1PE13.6)
C
C report the transform
        IF(.NOT.SHIFT) NLIM=N
        IF( SHIFT) NLIM=N+1
        DO 2 K=1,NLIM
            IF(.NOT.SHIFT) NU=DFLOAT(K-1)*B/DFLOAT(NLIM-1)
            IF( SHIFT) NU=-B+DFLOAT(K-1)*2.DO*B/DFLOAT(NLIM-1)
            WRITE(1,902) NU,DREAL(DATA(K))
            WRITE (2,902) NU,DIMAG(DATA(K))
            FORMAT(2(1X,1PE13.6))
        CONTINUE
C
C inverse transform the result
        INVDIR=-1
        CALL FFT(N,INVDIR,SCALE,SHIFT, DATA,B, RC)
        IF}(SCALE) WRITE (6,901) B
C
C report the recovered input waveform
        DO 3 K=1,N
            X=DFLOAT(K-1)*B/DFLOAT (N-1)
            WRITE (3,902) X,DREAL(DATA(K))
            WRITE(4,902) X,DIMAG(DATA(K))
    3 CONTINUE
        STOP
        END
```


### 6.4 A Library Routine

My implementation of the FFT algorithm is listed in the following pages. The routine begins with a standard preamble $[7, \S 12.3 .2$ ]. DATA is REAL*8 so its dimension is assumed twice that of the corresponding COMPLEX*16 vector in the calling routine. NPREV, the value of N on the previous call, is initialized at compile time 55 to the bit pattern for -0 (if $\mathrm{N}=-0$ on input NS=1 68 so the routine returns $R C=1$ ) and gets updated 76 each time $N$ changes between calls. MZRO has the same bit pattern for testing N , and it does not change.


```
58 C
59 C sanity-check the input parameters
6 0 ~ R C = 0
61 C the number of points must be a positive power of 2
62 IF(N.NE.NPREV) THEN
6 3 ~ C ~ t h i s ~ i s ~ a ~ n e w ~ N ; ~ c h e c k ~ i t ~ a n d ~ f i n d ~ i t s ~ l g
64 NBITS=N
65
6 6
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81 C
82 C the transform flag must denote either direct or inverse
83 IF(INVDIR.NE.+1 .AND. INVDIR.NE.-1) RC=RC+2
84 C
85 C
        the upper limit of integration was assumed positive
        IF(B.LE.O.DO) RC=RC+4
        IF(RC.NE.0) RETURN
        NN=2*N
        arrange the input sequence by ascending bit-reversed index
        J=1
        DO 5 I=3,NN-3,2
        MSZ=N
7 IF(J.LT.MSZ) GO TO 6
                J=J-MSZ
            MSZ=MSZ/2
        GO TO 7
6 J=J+MSZ
        IF(J.GT.I) THEN
            TEMPR=DATA(J)
            TEMPI=DATA (J+1)
            DATA(J)=DATA (I)
            DATA (J+1) =DATA (I+1)
            DATA(I)=TEMPR
            DATA (I+1)=TEMPI
        ENDIF
5 \text { CONTINUE}
```

The test whether N is a positive power of 2 proceeds as described in $\S 6.3 .2$, and if it fails 74 RC gets set to 1. In that case 78 NPREV is reset to MZRO so that the test will be performed again on the next call. If N passes the test, LGN saves $\log _{2}(\mathrm{~N}) 69$ and NPREV remembers N 76 so that the test can be skipped on the next call. INVDIR 83 and B 86 are checked for sensible values on each call. If any of the tests fail, RC is nonzero and the routine returns

87 without doing any calculations. Otherwise 88 NN is set to the total number of values, real and imaginary, in DATA. (If this call is to invert and the input sequence was shifted, it actually contains $\mathrm{N}+1$ complex $F_{n}$ values, so there are NN+2 numbers in DATA.)

Next 90-107, the input data are rearranged using the algorithm of $\S 6.2 .4$. Both real and imaginary parts must be swapped $100-105$.

```
108 C
109 C
110
1 1 1
12 C
113
1 1 4
115 C
118 WPR=DCOS(THETA)
119
120 C
121 C
122
123
124 C
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
```

116 C compute the W for this stage

```
116 C compute the W for this stage
117 THETA=TWOPI/DFLOAT(INVDIR*LMAX)
117 THETA=TWOPI/DFLOAT(INVDIR*LMAX)
```

use the butterfly algorithm to evaluate the signal flow graph

```
use the butterfly algorithm to evaluate the signal flow graph
LMAX=2
LMAX=2
DO 8 M=1,LGN
DO 8 M=1,LGN
        set W`0=1
        set W`0=1
        WR=1.DO
        WR=1.DO
        WI=0.DO
        WI=0.DO
        WPI=DSIN(THETA)
        WPI=DSIN(THETA)
        consider each power of W used in this stage
        consider each power of W used in this stage
        PSTEP=2*LMAX
        PSTEP=2*LMAX
        DO 9 L=1,LMAX,2
        DO 9 L=1,LMAX,2
            do the butterflies with this power of W in all groups
            do the butterflies with this power of W in all groups
                    DO 10 P=L,NN,PSTEP
                    DO 10 P=L,NN,PSTEP
                        Q=P+LMAX
                        Q=P+LMAX
                        TEMPR=WR*DATA(Q)-WI*DATA(Q+1)
                        TEMPR=WR*DATA(Q)-WI*DATA(Q+1)
                    TEMPI=WR*DATA(Q+1)+WI*DATA(Q)
                    TEMPI=WR*DATA(Q+1)+WI*DATA(Q)
                    DATA(Q)=DATA(P)-TEMPR
                    DATA(Q)=DATA(P)-TEMPR
                    DATA (Q+1)=DATA (P+1)-TEMPI
                    DATA (Q+1)=DATA (P+1)-TEMPI
                    DATA(P)=DATA (P)+TEMPR
                    DATA(P)=DATA (P)+TEMPR
                    DATA (P+1)=DATA (P+1)+TEMPI
                    DATA (P+1)=DATA (P+1)+TEMPI
    10 CONTINUE
    10 CONTINUE
            find the next power of W as W*WP
            find the next power of W as W*WP
            WTEMP=WR
            WTEMP=WR
            WR=WR*WPR-WI*WPI
            WR=WR*WPR-WI*WPI
            WI=WI*WPR+WTEMP*WPI
            WI=WI*WPR+WTEMP*WPI
9 CONTINUE
9 CONTINUE
        LMAX=PSTEP
        LMAX=PSTEP
    8 CONTINUE
    8 CONTINUE
    find sampling interval and new upper limit of integration
    find sampling interval and new upper limit of integration
    IF(SCALE) THEN
    IF(SCALE) THEN
        IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) THEN
        IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) THEN
            DELTA=B/DFLOAT(N-1)
            DELTA=B/DFLOAT(N-1)
            IF( SHIFT) B=DFLOAT(N/2)/(DELTA*DFLOAT(N))
            IF( SHIFT) B=DFLOAT(N/2)/(DELTA*DFLOAT(N))
            IF(.NOT.SHIFT) B=DFLOAT(N-1)/(DELTA*DFLOAT(N))
            IF(.NOT.SHIFT) B=DFLOAT(N-1)/(DELTA*DFLOAT(N))
        ELSE
        ELSE
            IF( SHIFT) DELTA=2.DO*B/DFLOAT(N)
            IF( SHIFT) DELTA=2.DO*B/DFLOAT(N)
            IF(.NOT.SHIFT) DELTA=B/DFLOAT(N-1)
            IF(.NOT.SHIFT) DELTA=B/DFLOAT(N-1)
            B=DFLOAT(N-1)/(DELTA*DFLOAT(N))
            B=DFLOAT(N-1)/(DELTA*DFLOAT(N))
        ENDIF
        ENDIF
        SF=DELTA
        SF=DELTA
    ELSE
    ELSE
        IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) SF=1.DO
        IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) SF=1.DO
        IF(INVDIR.EQ.-1) SF=1.DO/DFLOAT(N)
        IF(INVDIR.EQ.-1) SF=1.DO/DFLOAT(N)
        ENDIF
```

        ENDIF
    ```

With the data in ascending bit-reversed-index order the routine performs 109-141 the butterfly calculations described in \(\S 6.1 .1\), improved as described in \(\S 6.1 .2\). Since the number of stages, \(\log _{2}(N)\), is available 69 in the variable LGN, the 8 loop over stages is now a DO loop.

The next stanza \(143-158\) finds the scale factor SF by which the butterfly result must be multiplied. If scaling has not been selected \(156-157\) this is 1 for the forward transform or \(1 / N\) for the inverse; in that case the upper limit of integration B is ignored and DELTA, the sampling interval in \(x\) or \(\nu\), is not found. If scaling has been selected \(145-154\) DELTA and B are calculated as discussed in \(\S 6.3 .4\), and SF is set 154 to DELTA so that the result will be multiplied by \(\Delta_{x}\) if it is the forward transform or by \(\Delta_{\nu}\) if it is the inverse.
```

159 C
60 C scale the output values
161 IF(SF.NE.1.DO) THEN
DO 11 I=1,NN,2
DATA(I)=SF*DATA(I)
DATA (I+1) =SF*DATA (I+1)
11 CONTINUE
ENDIF
shift, or compensate for having shifted before
IF(SHIFT) THEN
IF(INVDIR.EQ.1) THEN
frequency-shift the forward transform
DATA (NN+1)=DATA (N+1)
DATA (NN+2)=DATA (N+2)
DO 12 I=1,N,2
TEMPR=DATA(I)
TEMPI=DATA(I+1)
DATA(I)=DATA(I+N)
DATA(I+1)=DATA (I+1+N)
DATA (I+N)=TEMPR
DATA (I+1+N)=TEMPI
12 CONTINUE
ELSE
fix up the inverse of the frequency-shifted transform
DO 13 I=3,NN-1,4
DATA(I)=-DATA (I)
DATA (I+1)=-DATA (I+1)
CONTINUE
ENDIF
ENDIF
RETURN
END

```

If the scale factor is \(1 \boxed{161}\), either because we found an unscaled forward transform or because DELTA turned out to be 1, the scaling loop is skipped. If scaling is required, the 11 loop \begin{tabular}{|c|c|cc}
\(162-165\) \\
multiplies the real and imaginary parts of each result by the SF calculated
\end{tabular} earlier.

Finally, if the forward transform just found is to be frequency-shifted or if the forward transform just inverted began as frequency-shifted, result elements are rearranged 171-181 or changed in sign \(183-187\) as described in \(\S 6.3 .3\).

\subsection*{6.5 FFT Performance}

On the first call with a correct value of N , finding what power of 2 it is takes in the worst case 31 tests 66, 31 integer multiplications 72, 3 additional tests \(67,68,75\), 1 integer subtract 69, 2 assignments 64,76 , and the overhead of the 2 loop. After the first call with a given value of N , the run-time penalty for testing correct input parameters amounts to 1 assignment 60 and 4 tests \(62,83,86,87\), each performed once per call. If SCALE=.FALSE., the runtime penalty for having the scaling capability built into the code amounts to two tests 144,161 each performed once per call. If SHIFT=.FALSE., the run-time penalty for having the shift capability built into the code amounts to a single test 169 performed once per call. For problems of even modest size all of this overhead is negligible compared to the work of computing the transform. For example, when \(N=256\) we use 120 swaps \(100-105\) ( 720 assignments) to rearrange the data and 1024 butterfly calculations \(111-141\) (4128 floatingpoint multiplies, 6168 floating-point adds or subtracts, 8 invocations of DSIN and DCOS, some integer arithmetic, and the overhead for several loops). To measure the CPU time spent on parameter checking, I used the program om the next page

The first set of initializations 3 3-5 are for the parameter-checking process \(\sqrt{19-46}\), which is copied verbatim from FFT 59-86. The loop over powers of \(216-17,47\) exercises the parameterchecking code 31 times for different values of N . The remainder of the code performs the CPU timing and reports the result.

TIMER is the CPU timing routine described in [7, §18.5.4]. It is enabled by setting TIMING=. TRUE. \(7,8,13\) and making an initialization call 14 . Then CPU time is directed to timing bin 115 and the algorithm is performed repeatedly by the 1 loop. At the conclusion of the code segment under study 48 time is redirected to the bin for non-algorithm time and 49 the timing process is concluded. Then the CPU time in bin 1 is written from BINCPU \(7,9,50-51\). This time erroneously includes the overhead of the 1 loop, but that is small enough to neglect.

TIMER works by reading the cycle counter of an Intel Pentium processor, which has a resolution of one clock cycle, but the time it measures is contaminated to some extent by the cycle consumption of concurrently-executing processes (such as UNIX \({ }^{\text {TM }}\) background processes \({ }^{13}\) ) and this introduces random variations in the measurements. Long calculations tend to monopolize the processor, which reduces the importance of this noise relative to the CPU time being measured, but the parameter-checking code sequence is fast so the cycle counts for it vary quite a bit. Running the program 50 times with the processor clocking 798 MHz yielded the measurements plotted in the following histogram.
```

1.96E+04 .
2.76E+04
3.56E+04
4.36E+04 .
5.16E+04

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{13}\) The experiments reported here were conducted on an IBM T43p laptop computer running Ubuntu Linux, and the programs were compiled without optimization using the gcc-4.3 version of gfortran with the following options: -g -ffixed-form -fdollar-ok -std=legacy -Wno-tabs -fno-automatic -fbounds-check -fno-range-check.
}
```

C This program times the checking of input parameters.
INTEGER*4 MZRO/Z'80000000'/,NPREV/Z'80000000'/
INTEGER*4 RC,INVDIR/1/,P
REAL*8 B/3.5DO/
COMMON /EXPT/ TIMING,NONALG,NBIN,TOPBIN,NTMEAS,TOH,BINCPU
LOGICAL*4 TIMING
INTEGER*4 TOPBIN,TOH,BINCPU (2,22)
C
C --------------------------------------------------------------------------
TIMING=.TRUE.
CALL TIMER(-1,1)
CALL TIMER(1,2)
DO 1 P=0,30
N=2**P
sanity-check the input parameters
RC=0
the number of points must be a positive power of 2
IF(N.NE.NPREV) THEN
this is a new N; check it and find its lg
NBITS=N
DO 2 NS=1,32
IF(NBITS.LT.0) THEN
IF(NBITS.NE.MZRO) GO TO 3
IF(NS.EQ.1 .OR. NS.EQ.32) GO TO 3
LGN=32-NS
GO TO 4
ENDIF
NBITS=2*NBITS
CONTINUE
2 CONTI
4 IF(RC.EQ.0) THEN
NPREV=N
ELSE
NPREV=MZRO
ENDIF
ENDIF
the transform flag must denote either direct or inverse
IF(INVDIR.NE.+1 .AND. INVDIR.NE.-1) RC=RC+2
the upper limit of integration was assumed positive
IF(B.LE.O.DO) RC=RC+4
1 CONTINUE
CALL TIMER(21,3)
CALL TIMER(0,4)
WRITE(6,901) BINCPU(1,1),BINCPU(2,1)
901 FORMAT('CPU time = ',I1,' seconds and ',I10,' nanoseconds')
STOP
END

```

Throwing out the smallest and largest observation, the remaining CPU time estimates for the 31 repetitions ranged from 28823 ns to 37529 ns , with a median value of 34962 ns . To check the parameters once (such as on the first call to FFT with a given N) thus takes about \(34962 / 31 \approx 1128\) nanoseconds or roughly one microsecond on an 800 MHz computer.

To assess the performance of the whole FFT implementation described in \(\S 6.4\), I first wrote a DFT implementation having a similar calling sequence. That library subroutine, named DFT, is listed on the next two pages. In this code NN 22 corresponds to the number of samples \(N\) in our analysis so that N 21 can represent the index \(n\). The samples and results are indexed internally starting with \(0 \boxed{33,38}\) in order to simplify the expression of the DFT algorithm, and their indices go up to NN rather than NN-1 to allow (as in FFT) for the repeated result that is returned when the output is shifted. This code uses the built-in ability of Fortran to do complex arithmetic, so the data arrays and several other variables are declared COMPLEX*16, and the variable I 18,41 is used for \(\sqrt{-1}\).

The executable code begins by sanity-checking input parameters 46-56 but instead of insisting that \(N\) be a power of 2 this routine only demands 49 that it be greater than 1. Next it copies the input sequence from DATA to the vector \(F 37-38,59-61\) because the naïve DFT algorithm does not work in-place. Then 64 it finds \(W=e^{i 2 \pi / N}\), making the sign of the exponent negative if INVDIR=-1 (we used the same approach in FFT 117 on page 58).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{1 C} \\
\hline 2 & Cod & by Michael Kupferschmid \\
\hline 3 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{C} \\
\hline 4 & & SUBROUTINE DFT(NN,INVDIR,SCALE,SHIFT, DATA,B, RC) \\
\hline 5 & & This routine returns in-place the direct or inverse discrete \\
\hline 6 & & Fourier transform of the sequence in DATA. \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{7 C} \\
\hline 8 & & variable meaning \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{9 C} \\
\hline 10 & C & B upper limit of integral for transform or inverse \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1 C} & CDEXP Fortran function returns exp (COMPLEX*16) \\
\hline 12 & C & DATA input sequence, then its transform or inverse \\
\hline 13 & C & DCMPLX Fortran function returns COMPLEX*16 for two REAL*8s \\
\hline 14 & C & DELTA sampling interval \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{C} & DFLOAT Fortran function returns REAL*8 for INTEGER*4 \\
\hline 16 & C & F the input f_k's as a COMPLEX*16s \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18} & C & FF a point F_n in the transform \\
\hline & 8 C & I \(\quad i\), the square root of -1 \\
\hline & 19 C & INVDIR +1 => direct transform, -1 => inverse transform \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{20 C} & \(\mathrm{K} \quad\) index on input values \\
\hline 21 & C & \(\mathrm{N} \quad\) index on transform values \\
\hline 22 & C & NN number of samples \\
\hline 23 & C & PI the circle constant \\
\hline 24 & C & RC return code; 0 => parameters make sense \\
\hline & C & SCALE T => scale output or assume that input is scaled \\
\hline 25 & C & SF scale factor \\
\hline 27 & C & SHIFT T => frequency-shift result \\
\hline 28 & C & TEMP temporary scalar used in shifting result \\
\hline 29 & C & W exp(i*2*pi/N) \\
\hline 30 & C & \\
\hline 31 & C & formal parameters \\
\hline & & LOGICAL*4 SCALE,SHIFT \\
\hline 32
33 & & COMPLEX*16 DATA (0:NN) \\
\hline 34 & & REAL*8 B \\
\hline 35 & & INTEGER*4 RC \\
\hline & C & \\
\hline 36
37 & C & automatic workspace \\
\hline 37 & & COMPLEX*16 F(0:NN) \\
\hline 39 & C & \\
\hline 40 & C & other local variables \\
\hline 41 & & COMPLEX*16 FF,I/(0.DO,1.DO)/,W,TEMP \\
\hline 42 & & REAL*8 DELTA,PI/3.1415926535897932D0/,SF \\
\hline 43 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{C} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{44} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{45 C} \\
\hline 46 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{C} & sanity-check the input parameters \\
\hline 47 & & \(\mathrm{RC}=0\) \\
\hline 48 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{C} & the number of points must be positive \\
\hline 49 & & IF (NN.LE.1) RC=1 \\
\hline 50 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{C} \\
\hline 51 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{C} & the transform flag must denote either direct or inverse \\
\hline 52 & & IF (INVDIR.NE.+1 . AND. INVDIR.NE.-1) RC=RC+2 \\
\hline 53 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{C} \\
\hline 54 & C & the upper limit of integration was assumed positive \\
\hline 55 & & IF (B.LE.O.DO) RC=RC+4 \\
\hline 56 & & IF (RC.NE.0) RETURN \\
\hline 57 & C & \\
\hline 58 & C & save the input sequence \\
\hline 59 & & DO \(1 \mathrm{~K}=0\), \(\mathrm{NN}-1\) \\
\hline 60 & & \(F(\mathrm{~K})=\) DATA \((\mathrm{K})\) \\
\hline 61 & & 1 CONTINUE \\
\hline 62 & C & \\
\hline 63 & C & find the complex constant whose powers appear in the series \\
\hline 64 & & W=CDEXP (I*DCMPLX (2.DO*PI/DFLOAT (INVDIR*NN) , 0.DO) ) \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

compute each F_n from the DFT series
DO 2 N=0,NN-1
FF=(0.DO,0.DO)
DO 3 K=0,NN-1
FF=FF+W**(N*K)*F(K)
3 CONTINUE
DATA(N)=FF
CONTINUE
find sampling interval and new upper limit of integration
IF(SCALE) THEN
IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) THEN
DELTA=B/DFLOAT (NN-1)
IF ( SHIFT) B=DFLOAT(NN/2)/(DELTA*DFLOAT (NN))
IF (.NOT.SHIFT) B=DFLOAT(NN-1)/(DELTA*DFLOAT(NN))
ELSE
IF( SHIFT) DELTA=2.DO*B/DFLOAT(NN)
IF(.NOT.SHIFT) DELTA=B/DFLOAT(NN-1)
B=DFLOAT (NN-1)/(DELTA*DFLOAT (NN))
ENDIF
SF=DELTA
ELSE
IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) SF=1.DO
IF(INVDIR.EQ.-1) SF=1.DO/DFLOAT(NN)
ENDIF
scale the output values
IF(SF.NE.1.DO) THEN
DO }11\textrm{N}=0,NN-
DATA(N)=SF*DATA(N)
11 CONTINUE
ENDIF
shift, or compensate for having shifted before
IF(SHIFT) THEN
IF(INVDIR.EQ.1) THEN
frequency-shift the forward transform
DATA (NN)=DATA (NN/2)
DO 12 N=0,NN/2-1
TEMP=DATA (N)
DATA (N)=DATA (N+NN/2)
DATA (N+NN/2) =TEMP
CONTINUE
ELSE
fix up the inverse of the frequency-shifted transform
DO 13 N=1,NN-1,2
DATA (N)=-DATA (N)
13 CONTINUE
ENDIF
ENDIF
RETURN
END

```

The core of the calculation is 66-73 the evaluation of the DFT series for each output frequency. This code segment is identical to that used in the program of \(\S 4.4\), except that instead of being written out the results are returned 72 in DATA. This DFT subroutine produces the same results as the \(\S 6.4 \mathrm{FFT}\) subroutine, within roundoff. \({ }^{14}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{14}\) As \(N\) ranges from \(2^{9}\) to \(2^{13}\) the discrepancy between DFT and FFT ranges from \(10^{-11}\) to \(10^{-9}\) for \(\nu<\nu_{c}\) and from \(10^{-9}\) to \(10^{-6}\) for \(\nu>\nu_{c}\). Neither DFT nor FFT is consistently more accurate when compared to the analytic transform.
}
```

1 C
C This program compares the CPU time used by FFT() and DFT().
REAL*8 DB,FB,DELTA,FOFX,X,TPV2R8,DLOG2
PARAMETER (NMAX=16384)
COMPLEX*16 DDATA(NMAX+1),FDATA(NMAX+1)
LOGICAL*4 SCALE/.FALSE./,SHIFT/.FALSE./
INTEGER*4 P,RC,INVDIR/1/
set up for timing
COMMON /EXPT/ TIMING,NONALG,NBIN,TOPBIN,NTMEAS,TOH,BINCPU
LOGICAL*4 TIMING
INTEGER*4 TOPBIN,TOH,BINCPU (2,22)
C
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
open output files
OPEN(UNIT=1,FILE='dfttimes')
OPEN(UNIT=2,FILE='ffttimes')
try different numbers of samples
DO 1 P=2,13
N=2**P
sample f(x)
DB=3.5D0
FB=3.5D0
DELTA=DB/DFLOAT(N-1)
DO 3 K=1,N
X=DELTA*DFLOAT (K-1)
DDATA(K)=DCMPLX(FOFX(X),0.DO)
FDATA(K)=DCMPLX(FOFX(X),0.DO)
3 CONTINUE
initiate to time the transforms
TIMING=.TRUE.
CALL TIMER(-1,1)
compute the discrete Fourier transform
CALL TIMER(1,2)
CALL DFT(N,INVDIR,SCALE,SHIFT, DDATA,DB, RC)
compute the fast Fourier transform
CALL TIMER (2,4)
CALL FFT(N,INVDIR,SCALE,SHIFT, FDATA,FB, RC)
report the times
CALL TIMER(NONALG,5)
CALL TIMER(0,6)
WRITE (1,901) P,DLOG2(TPV2R8(BINCPU (1,1) ,1000000000))
WRITE(2,901) P,DLOG2(TPV2R8(BINCPU(1,2),1000000000))
901 FORMAT(I6,1X,1PE13.6)
C
C let the processor cool off
CALL WAIT (60,60)
1 CONTINUE
STOP

```

Then I used the program above to exercise DFT and FFT for different numbers of samples and report the CPU times each routine consumed.

The use of TIMER here is similar to what we saw above, but now bin 1 accumulates the time used by DFT 40 and bin 2 is for FFT 44 . The measured times are stored as [second, nanosecond] two-part values \([7, \S 18.4]\) so TPV2R8 is used \(50-51\) to convert them to REAL*8 for output. The call to WAIT suspends execution of the program for 60 seconds every time another 60 seconds of CPU time has been consumed, but that does not affect the algorithm time measurements. When this program is run with the processor clocking 1995 MHz it produces the results plotted below. \({ }^{15}\)


In these graphs the + symbol denotes times \(t\) measured when the transforms are scaled and shifted, and the \(\times\) symbol times measured when they are not. Least-squares regression on the DFT points yields \(\log _{2}(t)=2.22 \log _{2}(N)-22.2\) so \(t \propto N^{2.22}\), which is slightly worse than the arithmetic complexity of \(N^{2}\) (this might be because a practical DFT code cannot use precomputed powers of W as suggested at the end of \(\S 4.2\) ). Least-squares regression on the final 7 FFT points yields \(\log _{2}(t)=1.10 \log _{2}(N)-22.6\) so \(t \propto N^{1.10}\). As we saw in \(\S 5.2\) the arithmetic complexity of the fast Fourier transform is \(N \log _{2}(N)\), so it is not surprising \({ }^{16}\) that we observe something slightly faster than \(N\). The breathtaking thing about these graphs is the difference between their (logarithmic) vertical scales; when \(N=2^{13}\), DFT takes about \(2^{6.32} \approx 80\) seconds but FFT is finished in just \(2^{-8.15} \approx 0.0035\), and even for very small problems FFT is much faster.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{15}\) The first point in each graph is omitted because TIMER reported the processor at 798 MHz ; then the automatic mechanism controlling processor frequency detected a compute-intensive job and increased the clock rate to 1995 MHz .
\({ }^{16}\) What is surprising is that the initial curve in this graph bends the wrong way for \(N \log _{2}(N)\). This might be an experimental artifact arising in the measurement of very brief times, but I will be grateful to anyone who provides me with a more convincing explanation.
}

Now we can calculate the impact on performance of the parameter checking that is done by FFT, which we estimated earlier takes \(1128 \times 10^{-9}\) seconds on a 798 MHz processor. At 1995 MHz the same number of cycles take \(451.2 \times 10^{-9}\) seconds, accounting for these percentages of execution time. Unless we need to find a large number of small transforms, the time spent checking parameters is negligible as claimed at the beginning of this Section.
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline\(N\) & FFT time, sec & overhead, \% \\
\hline \hline \(2^{3}\) & \(7.099014 \mathrm{E}-06\) & 6.36 \\
\(2^{4}\) & \(7.960994 \mathrm{E}-06\) & 5.67 \\
\(2^{5}\) & \(1.403404 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 3.22 \\
\(2^{6}\) & \(2.117395 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 2.13 \\
\(2^{7}\) & \(4.026400 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 1.12 \\
\(2^{8}\) & \(8.127099 \mathrm{E}-05\) & 0.56 \\
\(2^{9}\) & \(1.693716 \mathrm{E}-04\) & 0.27 \\
\(2^{10}\) & \(3.564531 \mathrm{E}-04\) & 0.13 \\
\(2^{11}\) & \(7.567425 \mathrm{E}-04\) & 0.06 \\
\(2^{12}\) & \(1.658639 \mathrm{E}-03\) & 0.03 \\
\(2^{13}\) & \(3.517685 \mathrm{E}-03\) & 0.01 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{7 FFTs in Two Dimensions}

In image processing the input signal is often a scalar function \(f(x, y)\) of two variables, such as the pulse pictured on the left. The top view on the bottom right shows the conditions defining the faces of the pyramid, which lead to the function definition on the top right.

\[
f(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{llr}
0 & (x, y) \text { outside pyramid base } & \\
6-3 y & y \geq x, y \geq 2-x & \text { I } \\
6-3 x & y \leq x, y \geq 2-x & \text { II } \\
3 y & y \leq x, y \leq 2-x & \text { III } \\
3 x & y \geq x, y \leq 2-x & \text { IV }
\end{array}\right.
\]


The integral we used in \(\S 1\) for the Fourier transform of a function of one variable generalizes to the case of two dimensions like this,
\[
\mathcal{F}\{f(x, y)\}=F\left(\nu_{x}, \nu_{y}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x, y) e^{i 2 \pi \nu_{x} x} e^{i 2 \pi \nu_{y} y} d x d y
\]
and the DFT definition of \(\S 4.1\) generalizes in a similar way. Sampling \(f(x, y)\) at points \(\left[\left(k_{x}-1\right) \Delta_{x},\left(k_{y}-1\right) \Delta_{y}\right]^{\top}, k_{x}=1, \ldots, N, k_{y}=1, \ldots, N\) yields an \(N \times N\) matrix of values \(f_{k_{x}, k_{y}}\) from which we can compute the two-dimensional DFT of the samples like this.
\[
F_{n_{x}, n_{y}}=\sum_{k_{y}=1}^{N} \sum_{k_{x}=1}^{N} f_{k_{x}, k_{y}} W^{\left(n_{x}-1\right)\left(k_{x}-1\right)} W^{\left(n_{y}-1\right)\left(k_{y}-1\right)}
\]

The outside sum doesn't depend on \(k_{x}\), so we can insert parentheses like this.
\[
F_{n_{x}, n_{y}}=\sum_{k_{y}=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{k_{x}=1}^{N} f_{k_{x}, k_{y}} W^{\left(n_{x}-1\right)\left(k_{x}-1\right)}\right) W^{\left(n_{y}-1\right)\left(k_{y}-1\right)}
\]

If we call the sum in parentheses \(G\left(n_{x}, k_{y}\right)\) then we can find the two-dimensional DFT by evaluating the following one-dimensional DFTs in sequence.
\[
\begin{aligned}
G_{n_{x}, k_{y}} & =\sum_{k_{x}=1}^{N} f_{k_{x}, k_{y}} W^{\left(n_{x}-1\right)\left(k_{x}-1\right)}
\end{aligned} n_{x}=1 \ldots N, \quad k_{y}=1 \ldots N .
\]

To find \(G\) we compute \(N\) one-dimensional transforms of the columns, and to find \(F\) we compute \(N\) one-dimensional transforms of the resulting rows. If we take the upper limit of integration to be \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) in each direction (as in the one-dimensional example of \(\S 4\) ) then sampling the pyramidal pulse pictured above with \(N=4\) yields the data matrix \(f\) on the left below. The one nonzero sample, at \(x=1 \frac{1}{6}, y=1 \frac{1}{6}\), is shown as a dot \(\bullet\) in the view looking down on the pyramid on the previous page, and has height \(f_{2,2}=2.5\). Following the procedure outlined above to compute the transform yields the result matrix \(F\) on the right. Each complex element is boxed with dark lines and contains the real part of the element in the upper square and the imaginary part in the lower square.



The axes bordering \(f\) give the coordinates of the samples in \(x-y\) space, and those bordering \(F\) give the coordinates of the transform elements in \(\nu_{x}-\nu_{y}\) space, to show that distance and
frequency increase with row or column index in the matrix (the origin of coordinates is at the top left of the picture). With \(N=4\) and \(b=3\) we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{x}=\frac{b}{N-1}=\frac{3.5}{3}=\frac{7}{6} \approx 1.167 \\
& \Delta_{\nu}=\frac{1}{N \Delta_{x}}=\frac{1}{4 \times \frac{7}{6}}=\frac{3}{14} \approx 0.214
\end{aligned}
\]

Although only \(f_{2,2}=(2.5+0 i)\) is nonzero, each element of the transform is \((2.5+0 i)\), \((-2.5+0 i),(0+2.5 i)\), or \((0-2.5 i)\). In general each can have both real and imaginary parts.

\subsection*{7.1 DFT by Nested Sums}

For simplicity I have assumed that the number of samples is the same in both directions, but they might be different. If \(\mathrm{N}_{-} \mathrm{x}\) is the number of samples in the \(x\) direction and \(\mathrm{N}_{-} \mathrm{y}\) is the number in the \(y\) direction, the algorithm described above for computing the two-dimensional DFT looks like this in pseudocode.
```

do k_y = 1 ... N_y
transform the k_y'th column of f into the k_y'th column of G
enddo
do n_x = 1 ... N_x
transform the n_x'th row of G into the n_x'th row of F
enddo

```

A library routine to perform this calculation should of course also provide the amenities we earlier built into the one-dimensional codes FFT and DFT. The DFT2 routine listed on the following pages is a generalization of DFT (see pages 63-64).

The calling sequence 4 now includes the dimensions LX and LY of the data matrix \(F\), the sizes NNX and NNY of the transform in both directions, and the limits of integration BX and BY in both directions. The data matrix F is now two-dimensional 43 and is accompanied by a workspace matrix \(G\) of the same size 48 . There are now two constants WX and WY 51,72-73, two sampling intervals DX and DY, and two scale factors SFX and SFY 52 . The parameter checking is similar to that in DFT, but now we also make sure \(67-68\) that the dimensions of \(F\) make sense for the numbers of rows and columns in use.

The pseudocode above is implemented by loops for transforming the columns \(75-82\) and rows 84-91, which evaluate the formulas given on page 69 in a straightforward way.

The scaling code \(93-134\) resembles that used in DFT, but if the result is to be frequencyshifted that is done in both directions. Frequency-shifting the transforms of the columns 139-147 adds a row, which must be included in frequency-shifting the transforms of the rows \(148-156\). The inverse of a forward transform that was shifted needs to be fixed up by changing the sign of every other term (they are the odd-numbered ones here because the indices run from 0). Doing this down each column and across each row results in a checkerboard pattern of sign changes that is performed by the nest of DO 10 loops \(158-162\).
```

    C
    Code by Michael Kupferschmid
    C
    SUBROUTINE DFT2(LX,LY,NNX,NNY,INVDIR,SCALE,SHIFT, F,BX,BY, RC)
    C This routine returns in-place the direct or inverse discrete
    C Fourier transform of the NX x NY array of numbers in the upper
    7 C left corner of F(LX,LY) by evaluating the nested sum.
    C
    9 C variable meaning
    10 C -------- -------
1 1 \mathrm { C } B X \quad limit of integration in the X direction
12 C BY limit of integration in the Y direction
3 CDEXP Fortran function returns exp(COMPLEX*16)
C DCMPLX Fortran function returns COMPLEX*16 for two REAL*8s
5 ~ C ~ D F L O A T ~ F o r t r a n ~ f u n c t i o n ~ r e t u r n s ~ R E A L * 8 ~ f o r ~ I N T E G E R * 4
DX sampling interval in Y
DY sampling interval in X
F input data, then its transform or inverse
G intermediate matrix
I i, the square root of -1
INVDIR +1 => direct transform, -1 => inverse transform
KX index on input rows
KY index on input columns
LX first dimension of F in calling routine
LY second dimension of F in calling routine
MOD Fortran function for remainder of INTEGER*4 division
NNX number of rows
NNY number of columns
NX index on output rows
NY index on output columns
PI the circle constant
RC return code; 0 => parameters make sense
SCALE T => scale output or assume that input is scaled
SFX scale factor in X
SFY scale factor in Y
SHIFT T => frequency-shift result
TEMP temporary used in shifting
WX exp(i*2*pi/NNX)
WY exp(i*2*pi/NNY)
formal parameters
LOGICAL*4 SCALE,SHIFT
COMPLEX*16 F(0:LX-1,0:LY-1)
REAL*8 BX,BY
INTEGER*4 RC
C
automatic workspace
COMPLEX*16 G(0:LX-1,0:LY-1)
other local variables
COMPLEX*16 I/(0.D0,1.DO)/,TEMP,WX,WY
REAL*8 DX,DY,PI/3.1415926535897932D0/,SFX,SFY
C
54 C -----------------------------------------------------------------------------
55 C

```
```

sanity-check the input parameters
RC=0
the numbers of points must be positive
IF(NNX.LE.1 .OR. NNY.LE.1) RC=1
the transform flag must denote either direct or inverse
IF(INVDIR.NE.+1 .AND. INVDIR.NE.-1) RC=RC+2
the upper limits of integration were assumed positive
IF(BX.LE.O.DO .OR. BY.LE.O.DO) RC=RC+4
the leading dimensions must be big enough
IF(LX-1.LT.NNX .OR. LY-1.LT.NNY) RC=RC+8
IF(RC.NE.O) RETURN
find the complex constant whose powers appear in the series
WX=CDEXP(I*DCMPLX(2.DO*PI/DFLOAT(INVDIR*NNX),0.DO))
WY=CDEXP(I*DCMPLX (2.DO*PI/DFLOAT(INVDIR*NNY),0.DO))
transform the columns
DO 1 KY=0,NNY-1
DO 1 NX=0,NNX-1
G(NX,KY)=(0.DO,O.DO)
DO 2 KX=0,NNX-1
G(NX,KY)=G(NX,KY)+F(KX,KY)*WX** (NX*KX)
2 CONTINUE
1 CONTINUE
transform the rows
DO 3 NX=0,NNX-1
DO 3 NY=0,NNY-1
F(NX,NY)=(0.DO,O.DO)
DO 4 KY=0,NNY-1
F(NX,NY) =F (NX,NY) +G(NX,KY)*WY**(NY*KY)
CONTINUE
4
3 CONTINUE
C

```
```

93 C find sampling interval and new upper limit of integration
IF(SCALE) THEN
IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) THEN
DX=BX/DFLOAT(NNX-1)
DY=BY/DFLOAT(NNY-1)
IF (SHIFT) THEN
BX=DFLOAT(NNX/2)/(DX*DFLOAT(NNX))
BY=DFLOAT(NNY/2)/(DY*DFLOAT(NNY))
ELSE
BX=DFLOAT(NNX-1)/(DX*DFLOAT(NNX))
BY=DFLOAT(NNY-1)/(DY*DFLOAT(NNY))
ENDIF
ELSE
IF(SHIFT) THEN
DX=2.DO*BX/DFLOAT(NNX)
DY=2.DO*BY/DFLOAT(NNY)
ELSE
DX=BX/DFLOAT(NNX-1)
DY=BY/DFLOAT(NNY-1)
ENDIF
BX=DFLOAT(NNX-1)/(DX*DFLOAT(NNX))
BY=DFLOAT(NNY-1)/(DY*DFLOAT (NNY))
ENDIF
SFX=DX
SFY=DY
ELSE
IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) THEN
SFX=1.DO
SFY=1.D0
ELSE
SFX=1.DO/DFLOAT(NNX)
SFY=1.DO/DFLOAT(NNY)
ENDIF
ENDIF
scale the output values
IF(SFX.NE.1.DO .OR. SFY.NE.1.DO) THEN
DO 5 NY=0,NNY-1
DO 5 NX=0,NNX-1
F(NX,NY)=DCMPLX(SFX*SFY,O.DO)*F(NX,NY)
5 CONTINUE
ENDIF

```
```

136 C shift, or compensate for having shifted before
137 IF(SHIFT) THEN
138
139 C
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
47 6
148 C
149
150
1 5 1
152
153
154
155 9
156 8
157
158 C
160
161
162 10
163
164
165
166

```

The program on the next page uses the FOFX2 routine listed below to calculate values of the function \(f(x, y)\), and then invokes DFT2 to compute the transform of our pyramidal pulse.
```

    FUNCTION FOFX2(X,Y)
    REAL*8 FOFX2,X,Y
    C
C return zero outside the pulse
FOFX2=0.D0
IF(X.LE.O.DO .OR. X.GE.2.DO) RETURN
IF(Y.LE.O.DO .OR. Y.GE.2.DO) RETURN
C
C return the height of the pyramid inside the pulse
IF(Y.LE.X) THEN
IF(Y .LE. 2.DO-X) THEN
C on face III
FOFX2=3.D0*Y
ELSE
on face II
FOFX2=6.D0-3.D0*X
ENDIF
ELSE
IF(Y .LE. 2.DO-X) THEN
on face IV
FOFX2=3.D0*X
ELSE
on face I
FOFX2=6.D0-3.D0*Y
ENDIF
ENDIF
RETURN
END

```
```

C
C This program exercises DFT2.
C
REAL*8 BX/3.5DO/,BY/3.5DO/,DNUX,DNUY,DX,DY,NUX,NUY,X,Y,FOFX2
PARAMETER(LX=1024,LY=1024)
COMPLEX*16 F(LX,LY)
INTEGER*4 RC
LOGICAL*4 SCALE,SHIFT,QUERY
C
C -------------------------------------------------------------------
C
C find out how many points to use
CALL PROMPT('NX=',3)
READ(5,*,END=1) NX
DX=BX/DFLOAT (NX-1)
DNUX=1.DO/(DX*DFLOAT(NX))
CALL PROMPT('NY=',3)
READ (5,*, END=1) NY
DY=BY/DFLOAT(NY-1)
DNUY=1.DO/(DY*DFLOAT(NY))
C
C sample f(x,y)
DO 1 KX=1,NX
X=DX*DFLOAT(KX-1)
DO 2 KY=1,NY
Y=DY*DFLOAT(KY-1)
F(KX,KY)=DCMPLX(FOFX2(X,Y),0.DO)
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
C find out about scaling and shifting
SCALE=QUERY('scale?',6)
SHIFT=QUERY('shift?',6)
C
C direct transform
CALL DFT2(LX,LY,NX,NY,+1,SCALE,SHIFT, F,BX,BY, RC)
C
C report the result
IF(SHIFT) THEN
KXMAX=NX+1
KYMAX=NY+1
ELSE
KXMAX=NX
KYMAX=NY
ENDIF
DO 3 KX=1,KXMAX
NUX=DNUX*DFLOAT(KX-1)
IF(SHIFT) NUX=NUX-O.5DO*DNUX*DFLOAT(NX)
DO 4 KY=1,KYMAX
NUY=DNUY*DFLOAT(KY-1)
IF(SHIFT) NUY=NUY-0.5DO*DNUY*DFLOAT(NY)
WRITE (2,901) NUX,NUY,F(KX,KY)
901 FORMAT(4(1X,1PE13.6))
CONTINUE
3 CONTINUE
STOP
END

```

When the three routines are compiled together and run, the result is a file of lines each containing one point \(\left[\nu_{x}, \nu_{y}, \operatorname{Re}\left(F_{n_{x}, n_{y}}\right), \operatorname{Im}\left(F_{n_{x}, n_{y}}\right)\right]\) in the transform. If the prompts are answered with \(\mathrm{NX}=4, \mathrm{NY}=4\), and no shifting or scaling, this output agrees with the \(F\) matrix pictured earlier.

If the prompts are answered with \(N X=128\), \(N Y=128\), and yes to shifting and scaling, the output file contains \(129 \times 129=16641\) points. Unshifted the transform would cover spatial frequencies from 0 to \((N-1) / b=127 / 3.5 \approx 36.285 \mathrm{~Hz}\), so frequency-shifted it covers frequencies from about -18 to about +18 Hz in each direction. To interpret this data we can show the undulations of \(\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{F})\) or \(\operatorname{Im}(\mathrm{F})\) with \(\nu_{x}\) and \(\nu_{y}\) in a raster plot. In the raster plot below, the gray scale of each pixel depends \({ }^{17}\) on the values of \(\operatorname{Re}(F)\) inside that pixel's boundaries. Because we frequency-shifted the transform, \(\left(\nu_{x}=0, \nu_{y}=0\right)\) is in the middle of the picture, and because we scaled it the values returned in matrix \(F\) are actually of \(\Delta_{x} \Delta_{y} F \approx \mathcal{F}(f(x, y))\).


The symmetry of the raster plot suggests that the behavior of the transform might also be visualized by plotting \(\operatorname{Re}(F)\) along the diagonals. The graph on the left at the top of the next page is the transform along the cut \(\nu_{x}=-\nu_{y}\); the one on the right is for the other diagonal, where \(\nu_{x}=\nu_{y}\).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{17}\) The values of \(\operatorname{Re}(F)\) span several orders of magnitude, so to enhance the contrast of the raster image I compressed the vertical scale of the data using a \(\log\) transformation of the form \(\left|\log _{a}(1+|\bullet|)\right| \times \operatorname{sgn}(\bullet)\), where \(a\) was chosen to map the \(\operatorname{Re}(F)\) that is largest in absolute value to +1 or -1 according to its sign. This scaling distorts the shape of the function but preserves its zeros.
}


The points + in these plots are values from \(F\) and the solid curve is the continuous transform. The double integral defining the continuous transform is tedious to evaluate by hand, but it was straightforward using the symbolic algebra package Maple. \({ }^{18}\) From the agreement of the points with the lines in these graphs, it appears the DFT provides a plausible approximation to the continuous transform, at least along those two cuts.

In two dimensions it is still expensive to calculate the DFT by adding up the sums in its definition. Now, if the number of samples in each direction is \(N\) we use \(N \times N^{2}\) operations in transforming the columns and \(N \times N^{2}\) more for the rows, or \(2 N^{3}\) altogether. If instead we use the FFT to transform each column and then each row, the complexity will be \(2 N^{2} \log _{2}(N)\), a big improvement. The ratios in the rightmost column below are the same as those in the table on page 35 for the one-dimensional FFT, so now as then we have a strong incentive to use the FFT.
\begin{tabular}{|r|r|r|c|}
\hline\(N\) & \(2 N^{2} \log _{2}(N)\) & \(2 N^{3}\) & \(\left(2 N^{2} \log _{2}(N)\right) /\left(2 N^{3}\right)\) \\
\hline 2 & 8 & 16 & 0.500000 \\
4 & 64 & 128 & 0.50000 \\
8 & 384 & 1024 & 0.375000 \\
16 & 2048 & 8192 & 0.250000 \\
32 & 10240 & 65536 & 0.156250 \\
64 & 49152 & 524288 & 0.093750 \\
128 & 229376 & 4194304 & 0.054688 \\
256 & 1048576 & 33554432 & 0.031250 \\
512 & 4718592 & 268435456 & 0.017578 \\
1024 & 20971520 & 2147483648 & 0.009766 \\
2048 & 92274688 & 17179869184 & 0.005371 \\
4096 & 402653184 & 137438953472 & 0.002930 \\
8192 & 1744830464 & 1099511627776 & 0.001587 \\
16384 & 7516192768 & 8796093022208 & 0.000854 \\
32768 & 32212254720 & 70368744177664 & 0.000458 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{18}\) After Maple had found the double integral in terms of complex exponentials, \(\mathrm{F}:=\mathrm{convert}(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{trig})\) followed by evalc \((\operatorname{Re}(F))\) and evalc \((\operatorname{Im}(F))\) yielded lengthy formulas for the real and imaginary parts as functions of \(\nu_{x}\) and \(\nu_{y}\). Reminiscent of \(\S 2\), these formulas are \(0 / 0\) indeterminate along the diagonals and axes of the raster plot, so I used Maple to apply L'Hospital's Rule. From the resulting definition of \(F\left(\nu_{x}, \nu_{y}\right)\), I wrote a Fortran program to compute the values plotted in the graphs.
}

\subsection*{7.2 FFT by Transposition}

We already have a library routine for computing one-dimensional FFTs, so the most obvious approach for two dimensions is to use it somehow for finding the transforms of the columns and rows in the matrix \(f\).

Fortran stores arrays in column-major order [7, §11.1], so if \(f\) is \(N \times N\) its elements are arranged in adjacent blocks of memory as shown below. Here each box represents two doublewords of memory, one for the real part of the array element and one for the imaginary part.


FORTRAN passes subprogram parameters by address [7, \(\S 6.2\) ], so to pass a matrix column for a vector parameter it is necessary only to supply the address of the first array element in the column. The first loop in the pseudocode (on page 70) for calculating the DFT can therefore be rendered into Fortran like this.
```

DO 1 KY=1,N
CALL FFT(N,INVDIR,SCALE,SHIFT, F(1,KY),B, RC)
1 CONTINUE

```

A Fortran variable is the name (which the compiler translates into the address) of a storage location in memory, so the address of the first element in, say, the second column of \(f\) is \(F(1,2)\). In the code above, when \(K Y=2\) the address of \(F(1,2)\) is passed for the address of the data vector that FFT will transform in-place.

Passing rows is harder, because the consecutive elements in each row of \(f\) are spread across the columns and are thus separated by \(N\) blocks in memory. For example, the first and second elements of the second row are \(f_{2,1}\) and \(f_{2,2}\), which are separated by \(N\) boxes in the picture. FFT assumes that consecutive elements of its input data vector are adjacent to one another, not separated by \(N\) boxes. But if we transpose \(f\) its rows become the columns of \(f^{\top}\), and then we can pass those. After that loop of FFT calls it is necessary to transpose again, to put the elements of the transform back into the same order as the input data.

These ideas are incorporated in the routine FFT2TR, which is listed on the following pages. The argument list 4 is identical to that of DFT2, so the two routines can be used interchangeably.

Most of the needed parameter checking is done by FFT each time it is called 66,84, and any errors that are detected in that way are acted upon when that routine returns 67-70,85-88 (see \(\S 6.5\) for a discussion of the overhead incidental to this repeated parameter checking). Because the transpositions are performed in-place, F must be big enough to hold either the data matrix or its transpose, and this is verified in the first parameter-checking stanza 51-56 of this routine. The second parameter-checking stanza \(58-62\) ensures that if the result is to be shifted there is enough room in \(F\) for the extra row and column. The new return code of \(R C=8\) means that \(F\) isn't big enough.

The in-place transpositions 64-71,82-89 work by exchanging off-diagonal elements across the diagonal, so only the one COMPLEX*16 temporary TEMP is needed. In each row of the matrix to be transposed, each element ( \(\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}\) ) before the diagonal is exchanged with its mirror image element ( \(J, I\) ) on the other side of the diagonal. For example, in the second row \(I=2\) and the single element to the left of the diagonal, at \(\mathrm{J}=1\), gets exchanged with element \((1,2)\), the first one to the right of the diagonal in the top row. This method moves the fewest elements possible.

Scaling the column transforms in FFT would change the frequency content of the row transforms, and scaling the row transforms in FFT would use the factor for one dimension instead of two. Thus, if scaling is done it must be after the two-dimensional transform is complete, and the calls to FFT pass .FALSE. for its SCALE parameter. The scaling part of the code \(99-141\) differs slightly from that in DFT2 because FFT always does the scaling by \(1 / N\) when it computes an inverse transform.

Frequency-shifting the columns in the first loop of calls to FFT would add a row that can't be included in the second loop of calls to FFT, so if shifting is done that must also be after the transform is complete. Thus the calls to FFT pass . FALSE. for its SHIFT parameter, and FFT2TR includes frequency-shifting code \(143-171\) like that used in DFT2.

To test the FFT2TR routine we can use the same program we used to test DFT2, changing only the name of the routine under test. When I compiled the resulting main program with FFT2TR and FOFX2, the program produced results identical within roundoff to those found by DFT2 for various sizes and shapes of input matrix and for all combinations of SCALE and SHIFT values.

```

        check that there is enough space in F for the transposes
        N=MAXO(NX,NY)
        IF(NX.LE.O .OR. NY.LE.O .OR. N.GT.LX .OR. N.GT.LY) THEN
        RC=8
        RETURN
    ENDIF
    check that there is enough space in F for the result
        IF(SHIFT .AND. (NX+1.GT.LX .OR. NY+1.GT.LY)) THEN
        RC=8
        RETURN
    ENDIF
    transform the columns
    DO 1 J=1,NY
        CALL FFT(NX,INVDIR,.FALSE.,.FALSE., F(1,J),BX, RCFFT)
        IF(RCFFT.NE.0) THEN
            RC=RCFFT
            RETURN
        ENDIF
    1 CONTINUE
        transpose in-place
        DO 2 I=1,N
        DO 3 J=1,I-1
            TEMP=F(I,J)
            F(I,J)=F(J,I)
            F(J,I)=TEMP
    3
        CONTINUE
    CONTINUE
    transform the rows
    DO 4 I=1,NX
        CALL FFT(NY,INVDIR,.FALSE.,.FALSE., F(1,I),BY, RCFFT)
        IF(RCFFT.NE.0) THEN
            RC=RCFFT
            RETURN
        ENDIF
    4 CONTINUE
    transpose in-place
    DO 5 I=1,N
        DO 6 J=1,I-1
            TEMP=F(I,J)
            F(I, J)=F(J,I)
            F(J,I)=TEMP
    6 CONTINUE
    CONTINUE
    ```
```

100 C
1 0 1
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
1 0 9
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
1 1 9
120
121
122
123
124 C
125
126
127
128
1 2 9 ~ C
1 3 0 ~ C
131
132
133
1 3 4 ~ C
135 C

```
find sampling intervals and new upper limits of integration
```

find sampling intervals and new upper limits of integration
IF(SCALE) THEN
IF(SCALE) THEN
IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) THEN
IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) THEN
DX=BX/DFLOAT (NX-1)
DX=BX/DFLOAT (NX-1)
DY=BY/DFLOAT(NY-1)
DY=BY/DFLOAT(NY-1)
IF(SHIFT) THEN
IF(SHIFT) THEN
BX=DFLOAT (NX/2)/(DX*DFLOAT (NX))
BX=DFLOAT (NX/2)/(DX*DFLOAT (NX))
BY=DFLOAT (NY/2)/(DY*DFLOAT (NY))
BY=DFLOAT (NY/2)/(DY*DFLOAT (NY))
ELSE
ELSE
BX=DFLOAT(NX-1)/(DX*DFLOAT (NX))
BX=DFLOAT(NX-1)/(DX*DFLOAT (NX))
BY=DFLOAT (NY-1)/(DY*DFLOAT (NY))
BY=DFLOAT (NY-1)/(DY*DFLOAT (NY))
ENDIF
ENDIF
SFX=DX
SFX=DX
SFY=DY
SFY=DY
ELSE
ELSE
IF(SHIFT) THEN
IF(SHIFT) THEN
DX=2.DO*BX/DFLOAT (NX)
DX=2.DO*BX/DFLOAT (NX)
DY=2.DO*BY/DFLOAT (NY)
DY=2.DO*BY/DFLOAT (NY)
ELSE
ELSE
DX=BX/DFLOAT (NX-1)
DX=BX/DFLOAT (NX-1)
DY=BY/DFLOAT (NY-1)
DY=BY/DFLOAT (NY-1)
ENDIF
ENDIF
BX=DFLOAT(NX-1)/(DX*DFLOAT(NX))
BX=DFLOAT(NX-1)/(DX*DFLOAT(NX))
BY=DFLOAT(NY-1)/(DY*DFLOAT(NY))
BY=DFLOAT(NY-1)/(DY*DFLOAT(NY))
scaling for inverse is done inside FFT
scaling for inverse is done inside FFT
SFX=DX*DFLOAT(NX)
SFX=DX*DFLOAT(NX)
SFY=DY*DFLOAT (NY)
SFY=DY*DFLOAT (NY)
ENDIF
ENDIF
ELSE
ELSE
forward transform is not to be scaled
forward transform is not to be scaled
scaling for inverse is done inside FFT
scaling for inverse is done inside FFT
SFX=1.D0
SFX=1.D0
SFY=1.D0
SFY=1.D0
ENDIF
ENDIF
scale result to match Fourier transform if desired
scale result to match Fourier transform if desired
IF(SFX.NE.1.DO .OR. SFY.NE.1.DO) THEN
IF(SFX.NE.1.DO .OR. SFY.NE.1.DO) THEN
DO }7\mathrm{ I=1,NX
DO }7\mathrm{ I=1,NX
DO }7\textrm{J}=1,N
DO }7\textrm{J}=1,N
F(I,J)=DCMPLX(SFX*SFY,0.DO)*F(I,J)
F(I,J)=DCMPLX(SFX*SFY,0.DO)*F(I,J)
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
ENDIF

```
    ENDIF
```

```
143 C shift, or compensate for having shifted before
144
145
146 C
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155 C
156
157
158
159
160
161
162 11
163 10
164
165 C
166
167
168
169
70
1 7 1
172
173
```

```
IF(SHIFT) THEN
```

IF(SHIFT) THEN
IF(INVDIR.EQ.1) THEN
IF(INVDIR.EQ.1) THEN
frequency-shift forward transforms of columns
frequency-shift forward transforms of columns
DO 8 J=1,NY
DO 8 J=1,NY
F(NX+1,J)=F(1+NX/2,J)
F(NX+1,J)=F(1+NX/2,J)
DO 9 I=1,NX/2
DO 9 I=1,NX/2
TEMP=F(I, J)
TEMP=F(I, J)
F(I,J)=F(I+NX/2,J)
F(I,J)=F(I+NX/2,J)
F(I+NX/2,J)=TEMP
F(I+NX/2,J)=TEMP
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
frequency-shift forward transforms of rows
frequency-shift forward transforms of rows
DO 10 I=1,NX+1
DO 10 I=1,NX+1
F(I,NY+1)=F(I,1+NY/2)
F(I,NY+1)=F(I,1+NY/2)
DO 11 J=1,NY/2
DO 11 J=1,NY/2
TEMP=F(I, J)
TEMP=F(I, J)
F(I,J)=F(I,J+NY/2)
F(I,J)=F(I,J+NY/2)
F(I,J+NY/2)=TEMP
F(I,J+NY/2)=TEMP
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
ELSE
ELSE
fix up the inverse of the frequency-shifted transform
fix up the inverse of the frequency-shifted transform
DO 12 J=1,NY
DO 12 J=1,NY
DO 12 I=1+MOD(J,2),NX,2
DO 12 I=1+MOD(J,2),NX,2
F(I,J)=-F(I,J)
F(I,J)=-F(I,J)
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
ENDIF
ENDIF
ENDIF
ENDIF
RETURN
RETURN
END

```
END
```


### 7.3 FFT by Strides

The transpositions in the FFT2TR routine of $\S 7.2$ take extra time, and they are needed only because FFT expects its input data to be in adjacent memory blocks (pairs of doublewords). Accessing data in that way within FFT is convenient, and because that order makes minimal use of the computer's memory hierarchy $[7, \S 15.2 .7]$ FFT is fast. But the transpositions must access data that are not consecutive in storage, and the work of doing them might be avoided simply by accessing non-consecutive data in computing a two-dimensional transform. Let's call the routine that does that FFT2ST.

As explained in $\S 7.2$, if $f$ is $N X \times N Y$ the column-major array layout separates successive elements of a row by NX memory blocks. The distance of NX blocks from $f_{1,1}$ to $f_{1,2}$ (or from $f_{2,1}$ to $f_{2,2}$, etc.) is called the stride in memory between the elements of a row (i.e., between columns). If the starting address of a two-dimensional array $F$ is passed for the vector DATA that we will use in FFT2ST, the elements of F will be arranged as shown in the picture on page 78, and to figure out where they are in DATA all we need to know is the stride NX. For example, the COMPLEX*16 data array might be $F(4,8)$, in which case its elements will have the indices in the REAL*8 vector DATA shown below. Each COMPLEX*16 element of $F$ is boxed with dark lines. Thus $F(1,1)$ consists of DATA (1), containing the real part of the number, and DATA (2) for the imaginary part.

$F=$| 1 | 9 | 17 | 25 | 33 | 41 | 49 | 57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 10 | 18 | 26 | 34 | 42 | 50 | 58 |
| 3 | 11 | 19 | 27 | 35 | 43 | 51 | 59 |
| 4 | 12 | 20 | 28 | 36 | 44 | 52 | 60 |
| 5 | 13 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 45 | 53 | 61 |
| 6 | 14 | 22 | 30 | 38 | 46 | 54 | 62 |
| 7 | 15 | 23 | 31 | 39 | 47 | 55 | 63 |
| 8 | 16 | 24 | 32 | 40 | 48 | 56 | 64 |

### 7.3.1 Input Data Rearrangement

Each column of F has elements that are contiguous in memory, so it is just a vector. We can put the first column into bit-reversed-index order using the algorithm from FFT, repeating each swap in the other columns to rearrange the rows of $F$. A similar process can then be used to put the columns into bit-reversed-index order, but the elements in each row are not
contiguous so in addressing them we must account for stride. The program at the top of the next page implements this strategy. It stores NX and NY in the vector NN.

The bit-reversed reorderings of 4 and 8 indices shown on the left below (recall $\S 6.2 .1$ ) show that in the F given above the middle pairs of rows will be swapped, the second column will be swapped with the fifth, and the fourth column will be swapped with the seventh. The program produces the output on the right below, which lists the indices of the doublewords in DATA that are swapped to rearrange the rows and columns in F. The first 8 lines swap the middle pair of rows, the next 4 swap the second column with the fifth, and the final 4 swap the fourth column with the seventh.


| $\begin{aligned} & (3,4) \\ & (11,12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { <--> } & (5,6) \\ \text { <-> } & (13,14) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(19,20)$ | <--> $(21,22)$ |
| $(27,28)$ | <--> $(29,30)$ |
| $(35,36)$ | <--> $(37,38)$ |
| $(43,44)$ | <--> $(45,46)$ |
| $(51,52)$ | <--> $(53,54)$ |
| $(59,60)$ | <--> $(61,62)$ |
| $(9,10)$ | <--> $(33,34)$ |
| $(11,12)$ | <--> $(35,36)$ |
| $(13,14)$ | <--> ( 37,38 ) |
| $(15,16)$ | <--> $(39,40)$ |
| $(25,26)$ | <--> $(49,50)$ |
| $(27,28)$ | <--> $(51,52)$ |
| $(29,30)$ | <--> $(53,54)$ |
| $(31,32)$ | <--> $(55,56)$ |

```
1 C This program figures out the rearrangement of rows and then
columns so the indices are in ascending bit-reversed order.
    INTEGER*4 NN(2)/4,8/,T
    step by rows two at a time to go down a column
    J=1
    DO 1 I=3,2*NN(1)-3,2
        MSZ=NN(1)
    3 IF(J.LT.MSZ) GO TO 2
        J=J-MSZ
        MSZ=MSZ/2
        GO TO 3
    2 J=J+MSZ
        IF(J.GT.I) THEN
            repeat row swaps for all columns
            DO 4 K=I,I+2*NN(1)*NN(2) -2*NN(1), 2*NN(1)
                    repeat the pair of row swaps in each column
                    T=J+(K-I)
                    WRITE (6,991) K,K+1,T,T+1
                    FORMAT('(',I2,',',I2,') <--> (',I2,',',I2,')')
            CONTINUE
        ENDIF
    CONTINUE
        step by columns to go across a row
        J=1
        DO 5 I= 1+2*NN(1),2*NN(1)*NN(2) -4*NN(1) +1, 2*NN(1)
        MSZ=NN(1)*NN(2)
    7 IF(J.LT.MSZ) GO TO 6
            J=J-MSZ
            MSZ=MSZ/2
        GO TO 7
        J=J+MSZ
        IF(J.GT.I) THEN
            repeat column swap for all rows
            DO 8 K=I,I+2*NN(1)-2,2
                    repeat the column swap in each pair of rows
                    T=J+(K-I)
                    WRITE (6,991) K,K+1,T,T+1
            CONTINUE
        ENDIF
    CONTINUE
        STOP
    END
```

Looking at the code reveals how the algorithm works in general. First consider the swapping of rows, which is carried out by the top stanza of executable statements. It processes the first column of F in the same way that the code we used in FFT processes its single column of data (compare 7 -15 here with $91-99$ on page 57 ). The number of elements in a column of $F$ is NN (1), so that variable plays the role that NN does in FFT. When the time comes to exchange rows I and $\mathrm{I}+1$ with rows J and $\mathrm{J}+1$ (as in $100-105$ on page 57 ) we now need to step across all the columns of F. To FFT2ST, F will look like one long vector DATA, so we must do the row exchanges by indexing into that vector. The indices this code uses for that purpose are K and T . In the first column of F , the first element to be exchanged is just I ,
so K starts 17 equal to I. In the second column of F , the element corresponding to element I in the first column is I plus the stride between columns; thus, the increment of the loop over $\mathrm{K} \boxed{17}$ is $2 * \mathrm{NN}(1)$. The last element in row I is $\mathrm{NN}(2)-1$ columns away at the index $\mathrm{I}+2 * \mathrm{NN}(1) * \mathrm{NN}(2)-2 * \mathrm{NN}(1)$, so that is the limit on K . In the first column of F , the element to exchange element K with is just element J , so 19 T starts out as J when K takes on its first value of I. In the next column of $F$, $T$ needs to be one stride farther along, which is just what happens when $K$ gets incremented by that amount.

Once the rows have been put into the right order, the second stanza of code rearranges the columns. To see how it works, imagine the algorithm from FFT applied to the first row of F. For our example I must take on index values in DATA that we find listed across the top row of F on page 84 . Those are $1,9,17,25,33,41,49$, and 57 , but since the first and last elements are always in the right places I needs to take on only the other values. There are $2 * \operatorname{NN}(1)$ doublewords in the first column of $F$, so the starting value of $I$ is $1+2 * \mathrm{NN}$ (1) 28 which for our example is 9 . The stride between columns is $2 * N N(1)$, so that is the increment of the loop on $I$. The final value of $I$ is the index in DATA of the top of the second-to-last column in F, so the upper limit of the I loop is $2 * \operatorname{NN}(1) * \mathrm{NN}(2)-4 * \mathrm{NN}(1)+1$, which is 49 in our example. Now the highest index to be considered in the reordering process is the number of columns to be reordered times the stride (in COMPLEX*16 values or doubleword-pairs) between them, or NN (1) $*$ NN (2), so MSZ begins with that value 29; the remainder of the algorithm $30-35$ is the same as before. When the time comes to exchange column I with column J we need to step down the rows of $F$. In the first row of $F$, the first element to be exchanged is just I, so K starts 37 equal to $I$. In the second row of $F$, the COMPLEX*16 element corresponding to I in the first row has its first doubleword at DATA (I+2) because the elements of F are in column-major order. Thus the increment of the K loop is 372 doublewords. The last COMPLEX*16 row in the column of F with I at its top is the one whose first doubleword is at index $\mathrm{I}+2 * \mathrm{NN}(1)-2$, so that is the limit of the K loop 37 . In our example, if $\mathrm{I}=33$ is the index in DATA at the top of the column, the index in DATA of the first doubleword of the bottom COMPLEX*16 in that column is $33+2 * 4-2=39$.

The code segments we have been discussing differ only in starting values, increments, and ending values of loops, and in their initial values of MSZ. The code at the top of the next page introduces variables to represent those quantities and sets them according to the dimension IDIM being processed, so that the same data rearrangement loops can be used for columns and rows. This program produces the same output as the earlier one. Although it is no shorter, it has the virtue that one instance of the data-rearrangement code is executed repeatedly in a loop over the dimensions. This will allow us to insert code for the butterfly calculation into that loop, in the place indicated, rather than having to repeat it after each stanza of the earlier program.

```
C This version merges the loops by setting the appropriate
C indexing parameters for each dimension.
    INTEGER*4 NN(2)/4,8/,T
    DO 1 IDIM=1,2
        IF(IDIM.EQ.1) THEN
            IST=3
            ILIM=2*NN (1)-3
            IINC=2
            KLIM=2*NN (1) *NN (2) - 2*NN (1)
            KINC=2*NN(1)
            MSZST=NN(1)
        ELSE
            IST=1+2*NN(1)
            ILIM=2*NN (1) *NN (2) -4*NN (1) +1
            IINC=2*NN(1)
            KLIM=2*NN (1)-2
            KINC=2
            MSZST=NN(1)*NN(2)
        ENDIF
        J=1
        DO 2 I=IST,ILIM,IINC
            MSZ=MSZST
            IF(J.LT.MSZ) GO TO 3
                    J=J-MSZ
                    MSZ=MSZ/2
            GO TO 4
            J=J+MSZ
            IF(J.GT.I) THEN
                    repeat for all rows or columns
                    DO 5 K=I,I+KLIM,KINC
                    T=J+(K-I)
                            WRITE (6,901) K, K+1,T,T+1
                    CONTINUE
                ENDIF
    CONTINUE
    FORMAT('(',I2,',',I2,') <--> (',I2,',',I2,')')
        [butterfly calculation for dimension IDIM will go here]
    CONTINUE
    STOP
    END
```


### 7.3.2 Butterfly Calculation

We can generalize the butterfly algorithm to two dimensions by taking the same approach we used for the data rearrangement algorithm. Thinking of the first column of F as a vector being processed by FFT, we can use the algorithm from that code and repeat each calculation across all the columns. Then, thinking of the first row of F as the vector being processed, we can revise the algorithm to take account of the stride between columns and repeat each calculation down all the rows.

The code segment at the top of the next page uses the butterfly algorithm from FFT for
going down the first column of F , and propagates the calculation across all the columns. The number of stages in the signal-flow graph, LGN, is $\log _{2}(\mathrm{NN}(1))$ and will be found in the process of checking whether NN(1) is a power of 2 . In FFT the limit on the P loop is $\mathrm{NN}=2 * \mathrm{~N}$, which corresponds to $2 * \mathrm{NN}$ (1) here, but the top of a butterfly could actually never be farther down the column than $2 * N N(1)-3$. I have omitted from the listing statements that are the same as in FFT.

```
C this code goes down the first column of F (IDIM=1)
    LMAX=2
    DO 1 M=1,LGN
        PSTEP=2*LMAX*1
        DO 2 L=1,LMAX,2
            DO 3 P}=(\textrm{L}-1)*1+1,2*NN(1)-3,PSTE
                Q=P+LMAX*1
                DO 4 K=P,P+2*NN(1)*NN(2) -2*NN (1), 2*NN (1)
                    T=Q+(K-P)
                        [butterfly calculation]
                CONTINUE
            CONTINUE
    CONTINUE
    LMAX=LMAX*2
CONTINUE
```

This code finds P and Q just like FFT does, but I have written the formulas for PSTEP and $P$ in a peculiar way, and I have separately doubled LMAX after the 2 loop rather than using PSTEP to save a multiplication as in FFT. These typographical oddities facilitate comparison with the code below for going across the first row of $F$. The butterfly calculation is repeated across the columns of F by the 4 loop, in which DATA(K) and DATA(T) participate in the butterfly. Elements in row P of F are separated by the column stride $2 * \mathrm{NN}(1)$, so that is the increment of $K$. The last element in row $P$ is NN (2) -1 columns away, so the limit on $K$ is $\mathrm{P}+2 * \mathrm{NN}(1) * \mathrm{NN}(2)-2 * \mathrm{NN}(1)$. In the first column $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{P}$ and the bottom of the butterfly is at $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{Q}$; as K increases by one column-stride with each iteration, so does T .

The code segment below modifies the butterfly algorithm of FFT to go across the first row of F , and repeats the calculation in all the rows.

```
C this code goes across the first row of F (IDIM=2)
    LMAX=2
    DO 1 M=1,LGN
    PSTEP=2*LMAX *NN(1)
    DO 2 L=1,LMAX,2
        DO 3 P=(L-1)*NN(1)+1,2*NN(1)*NN(2) -4*NN(1) +1,PSTEP
            Q=P+LMAX*NN(1)
            DO 4 K=P,P+2*NN(1)-2,2
                T=Q+(K-P)
                        [butterfly calculation]
            CONTINUE
        CONTINUE
    CONTINUE
    LMAX=LMAX*2
CONTINUE
```

Now LGN is the number of stages in the signal-flow graph for columns, $\log _{2}(\mathrm{NN}(2))$. The only other change that is needed in applying the butterfly algorithm to the first row of $F$ is that stride must be taken into account in finding $P$ and $Q$, the indices in DATA corresponding to the tops and bottoms of the butterflies.

In each stage the distance between the tops of the butterflies is now PSTEP=LMAX $* 2 * \operatorname{NN}$ (1). For example, in the signal-flow graph on page 34, in the first stage the butterflies involve adjacent samples and their tops are spaced apart by LMAX=2 samples; along the first row of F each sample heads a column, so the tops of the butterflies are spaced apart by LMAX=2 columns or LMAX $* 2 * \mathrm{NN}$ (1) elements in DATA. In the second stage the tops of the butterflies for each power of $W$ are spaced apart by LMAX=4 samples; along the first row of $F$ the tops of the butterflies are spaced apart by LMAX=4 columns or LMAX $* 2 * \operatorname{NN}(1)$ elements, and so on. This is the increment of the P loop.

In each stage we evaluate all the butterflies involving the zero'th power of the W for that stage ( $\mathrm{L}=1$ ), then all the butterflies with the first power of that $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{L}=3)$, and so on for all the powers of that W used in that stage. The first butterfly involving each power of W starts at index $(\mathrm{L}-1) * \mathrm{NN}(1)+1$ in DATA. For example, in the third stage of the signal-flow graph on page 34 , LMAX $=8$ so L takes on the values $1,3,5$, and 7 . When $\mathrm{L}=1$, the butterflies involve the zero'th power of $W$ and the top of the first one is at DATA(1). When $\mathrm{L}=3$, the butterflies involve the first power of W and the top of the first butterfly is the second sample. Along the first row of F the second sample is at the top of the second column, which has index $(3-1) * \mathrm{NN}(1)+1$ in DATA. When $\mathrm{L}=5$ the butterflies involve the second power of W and the top of the first butterfly is the third sample. Along the first row of F the third sample is at the top of the third column, which has index (5-1)*NN(1)+1 in DATA, and so on. Thus ( $\mathrm{L}-1$ ) $* \mathrm{NN}(1)+1$ is the starting value of the P loop.

The biggest $P$ can ever get is the index in DATA of the element at the top of the column second to last, or $2 * \mathrm{NN}(1) * \mathrm{NN}(2)-4 * \mathrm{NN}(1)+1$ (element $2 * 4 * 8-4 * 4+1=49$ in our example), so this is the limit of the P loop.

The bottom of each butterfly is LMAX/2 samples away from its top. In the first stage of the signal-flow graph, LMAX=2 and adjacent samples are involved in the butterflies; in the second stage $\operatorname{LMAX}=4$ and alternate samples are involved in the butterflies, and so on. Along the first row of F , the bottom of a butterfly is LMAX/2 columns, or (LMAX/2) $* 2 * \operatorname{NN}(1)$ elements in DATA, away from its top so $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{LMAX} * \mathrm{NN}$ (1).

The K loop propagates each butterfly calculation down all the rows. When we say that $P$ is the index in DATA of the top of a butterfly, we mean that DATA(P) is the real part of that element of F and that DATA $(\mathrm{P}+1)$ is the imaginary part. Because the data are in column-major order, the top of the corresponding butterfly in the second row of F will be at DATA $(P+2)$, and so on down the column, so the increment of the $K$ loop is 2 . The index of the last doubleword-pair in column P is $\mathrm{P}+2 * \mathrm{NN}(1)-2$, so that is the limit on K .

The code segments we have been discussing differ only in starting values, increments, and ending values of loops, and in the multiplicative factor appearing in the formula for Q . The code below introduces variables to represent those quantities and sets them according to the dimension IDIM being processed, so that the same butterfly loops can be used for columns and rows.

```
C This version merges the loops by setting the appropriate
C indexing parameters for each dimension.
C
    INTEGER*4 NN(2)/4,8/,PLIM,PSTEP,P,Q,S,T
C
        DO }1\mathrm{ IDIM=1,2
        IF(IDIM.EQ.1) THEN
            MLT=1
            PLIM=2*NN (1)-3
            KLIM=2*NN (1) *NN (2) -2*NN (1)
            KINC=2*NN(1)
            LGN=2
        ELSE
            MLT=NN(1)
            PLIM=2*NN(1) *NN(2) -4*NN(1)+1
            KLIM=2*NN(1)-2
            KINC=2
            LGN=3
        ENDIF
C
        LMAX=2
        DO 2 M=1,LGN
                PSTEP=2*LMAX*MLT
                        DO 3 L=1,LMAX,2
                DO 4 P=(L-1)*MLT+1,PLIM,PSTEP
                        Q=P+LMAX*MLT
                            DO 5 K=P,P+KLIM,KINC
                            T=Q+(K-P)
                            WRITE (6,901) K,K+1,T,T+1
                                CONTINUE
                CONTINUE
            CONTINUE
            LMAX=LMAX*2
        CONTINUE
        1 CONTINUE
901 FORMAT('(',I2,',',I2,') >< (',I2,',',I2,')')
        STOP
        END
```

When this program is run it produces the output shown to the right, which can be verified correct for our example by looking at the signal-flow graph on page 34 and the layout of DATA elements in $F$ shown on page 84. The first butterfly listed involves the signal in $F(1,1)$ (the real and imaginary parts of which are stored in DATA (1) and DATA (2)) and the signal in $\mathrm{F}(2,1)$ (stored in DATA (3) and DATA(4)). Then the same calculation is repeated across the columns of F . This pattern repeats for 3 more sets of 8 butterflies. Then, starting with $(1,2)><(9,10)$ and its propagation down 3 more rows, there are a total of 12 sets of 4 butterflies for the columns.

### 7.3.3 Underfull Data Arrays

So far in this Section I have assumed the two-dimensional ${ }^{19}$ COMPLEX*16 data matrix $F$ has exactly the right dimensions for the DFT we want to find. That way, when we pass its starting address for the one-dimensional REAL*8 DATA vector in FFT2ST, the data will be laid out in memory as described on page 78 , with no gaps. This might seem natural, but in practice demanding that F be precisely the right size will be an inconvenience to the user when it is necessary to calculate two-dimensional DFTs of several sizes in one program, or when the size of a DFT is specified interactively as the program is run. ${ }^{20}$ It would be handy if FFT2ST were smart enough to just skip over any unused doubleword-pairs at the ends of the columns. To make this possible we need only pass the leading dimension of F and use it in the indexing calculations for the stride between columns. ${ }^{21}$ The array below is declared COMPLEX*16 $\mathrm{F}(5,9)$, so its leading dimension is 5 .

F = | 1 | 11 | 21 | 31 | 41 | 51 | 61 | 71 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 12 | 22 | 32 | 42 | 52 | 62 | 72 |  |
| 3 | 13 | 23 | 33 | 43 | 53 | 63 | 73 |  |
| 4 | 14 | 24 | 34 | 44 | 54 | 64 | 74 |  |
| 5 | 15 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 55 | 65 | 75 |  |
| 6 | 16 | 26 | 36 | 46 | 56 | 66 | 76 |  |
| 7 | 17 | 27 | 37 | 47 | 57 | 67 | 77 |  |
| 8 | 18 | 28 | 38 | 48 | 58 | 68 | 78 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^11]But we are interested only in the upper-left 4 by 8 submatrix. The indices in DATA corresponding to the elements of $F$ are still column-major in the whole array, so they differ from those on page 84. The final program in $\S 7.3 .1$ and the final program in $\S 7.3 .2$ each include an IF-THEN-ELSE block that sets certain loop parameters depending on which dimension IDIM is being processed. The code on the next page combines the two programs, merging their parameter-setting segments.

INTEGER*4 NN(2)/4,8/,PLIM,PSTEP,P,Q,S,T,LD/5/
DO 1 IDIM=1,2
IF(IDIM.EQ.1) THEN
IST=3
IPLIM $=2 *$ NN (1) -3
IINC=2
KLIM $=2 *$ LD $* N N(2)-2 * L D$
KINC=2*LD
MSZST $=$ NN (1)
MLT=1
LGN=2
ELSE
IST $=1+2 *$ LD
IPLIM $=2 *$ LD $* N N(2)-4 * L D+1$
IINC $=2 *$ LD
KLIM $=2 *$ NN (1) -2
KINC=2
MSZST=LD*NN (2)
MLT=LD
LGN=3
ENDIF
C
$\mathrm{J}=1$
DO 2 I=IST, IPLIM, IINC
MSZ=MSZST
4 IF(J.LT.MSZ) GO TO 3
J=J-MSZ
MSZ $=\mathrm{MSZ} / 2$
GO TO 4
J=J+MSZ
IF(J.GT.I) THEN
C repeat for all rows or columns DO $5 \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{KLIM}, \mathrm{KINC}$
$\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{J}+(\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{I})$
$\operatorname{WRITE}(6,901) \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}+1, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~T}+1$
CONTINUE
ENDIF
CONTINUE
FORMAT('(',I2,',',I2,') <--> (',I2,',',I2,')')
C
LMAX=2
DO 6 M=1,LGN
PSTEP=LMAX $* 2 *$ MLT
DO 7 L=1,LMAX,2
DO $8 \mathrm{P}=(\mathrm{L}-1) * \mathrm{MLT}+1$, IPLIM, PSTEP $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{LMAX} * \mathrm{MLT}$ DO $9 \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{KLIM}$, KINC
$T=Q+(K-P)$
$\operatorname{WRITE}(6,902) \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}+1, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~T}+1$
CONTINUE CONTINUE
CONTINUE
LMAX=LMAX*2
CONTINUE
1 CONTINUE
902 FORMAT('(', I2,',', I2,') >< (',I2,',', I2,')')
C
STOP
END

The formulas for ILIM and PLIM are the same, so I have replaced both of those variables by IPLIM. I have also replaced some occurrences of NN(1) by the leading dimension LD, so that $F$ can have 5 rows as shown above (the fact that it also has an extra column does not matter). This program reports the same exchanges and butterflies that we found separately before, but now the indices in DATA come out right for the picture on page 92.

To see how LD enters into the indexing, consider first what happens when IDIM=1. Then we are thinking of the first column of F as the data vector to be transformed, and the presence of an unused memory block at the end of that column has no effect on either the rearrangement or the butterfly calculation. Only when those calculations are replicated across the other columns does the actual length of the columns in F matter, so only the parameters of the K loops are affected. The largest K value of interest as the first element of an exchange or the top of a butterfly, KLIM, is still NN(2)-1 columns away, but now each column is LD long so KLIM=2*LD*NN(2) $-2 *$ LD. The stride KINC between columns is now the actual column length of $2 *$ LD doublewords.

When IDIM $=2$, so that we are thinking of the first row of $F$ as the data vector to be transformed, it is the indexing across the columns that is affected. Now the top of the second column is at index $1+2 * \mathrm{LD}$ in DATA, so that is IST. The top of the second-to-last column is at $2 * \operatorname{LD} * \operatorname{NN}(2)-4 * \operatorname{LD}+1$, so that is IPLIM (it comes out to $2 * 5 * 8-4 * 5+1=61$ for our example). The increment between the tops of the columns, IINC, is now $2 *$ LD. The propagation of the calculations down the rows of F does not depend on the length of the columns, so the K loop parameters are unaffected, but the distance PSTEP between the tops of butterflies gets multiplied by the actual column stride $2 *$ LD as we progress from stage to stage in the signal-flow graph, so MLT=LD. In finding the columns to exchange it is still necessary to start with MSZ set to the number of columns to be rearranged times the stride in COMPLEX*16 data elements between them, so MSZST=LD*NN (2).

### 7.3.4 Library Subroutine

The indexing kernel on the previous page is embedded in the library subroutine FFT2ST that is listed on the next 6 pages (the DFT2 and FFT2TR routines are each less than $60 \%$ as long, but of course FFT2TR uses FFT to do most of its work). The calling sequence 4 is the same as that for DFT2 and FFT2TR, so this routine can be used in place of either of those, and it can be tested using the same driver if only the routine name is changed. Doing that produces results identical to those found using FFT2TR for the pulse of $\S 7.2$, because they are ultimately obtained by identical arithmetic operations.

The parameter checking 81-109 resembles that in FFT, but now both NX and NY must be powers of 2 , and an added test 118 ensures that F in the calling routine is big enough for the number of rows and columns in use. The scaling 207-247 is like that in DFT2, and so is the frequency-shifting 249-292 except that it must index into DATA to manipulate the COMPLEX*16 memory blocks of F one doubleword at a time.

Now we have three routines for computing the DFT of two-dimensional data, DFT2, FFT2TR, and FFT2ST. All are used in the same way and produce the same results, but we expect that FFT2TR and FFT2ST will both be faster than DFT2.

```
Code by Michael Kupferschmid
C
SUBROUTINE FFT2ST(LX,LY,NX,NY,INVDIR,SCALE,SHIFT,
; DATA,BX,BY, RC)
C This routine computes in-place the direct or inverse fast
7 C Fourier transform of the numbers in DATA, by using strides
C to address the appropriate elements. It assumes that DATA
9 ~ C ~ p o i n t s ~ t o ~ a n ~ L X ~ x ~ L Y ~ C O M P L E X * 1 6 ~ a r r a y ~ F ~ w h o s e ~ u p p e r - l e f t ~
O C corner contains the NX x NY matrix to be transformed.
11 C
2 C
13 C
C BX limit of integration in X
C BY limit of integration in Y
16 C DATA input array, then its transform or inverse
17 C DCOS Fortran function returns cosine of REAL*8
1 8 \mathrm { C } \text { DCMPLX Fortran function returns COMPLEX*16 for two REAL*8s}
19 C DFLOAT Fortran function returns REAL*8 for INTEGER*4
20 C DSIN
C DX
C DY
C C I
4 C
25 C
C
27 C
28 C
C C J
30 C K
C
32 C
KLIM
L
LGN
LMAX
LX
LY first dimension of F passed for DATA
M index on stages in the signal flow graph
MLT multiplier in formula for Q, P start and increment
MSZ bit position of most significant O in an index value
MSZST starting value of MSZ for this dimension
MZRO bit pattern 10000000000000000000000000000000
NBITS NN(IDIM) bit pattern shifted left
NN
    [NX,NY]
NNPREV values of NN on the previous call
NS
NX
NY
P
PSTEP
Q
RC
SCALE
SFX
SFY
scale factor in Y
SHIFT T => frequency-shift output or assume input shifted
T location in DATA of butterfly element Q
TEMPI temporary storage for real part of a DATA element
TEMPR temporary storage for imag part of a DATA element
THETA angle in W
TWOPI twice circle constant
WI imaginary part of W
WMI imaginary part of W for this stage
WMR real part of W for this stage
WR real part of W
WTEMP temporary in finding next power of W
```

```
67 C
68 C formal parameters
    LOGICAL*4 SCALE,SHIFT
    REAL*8 DATA(2*LX*LY),BX,BY
    INTEGER*4 RC
C
C
74
75
76
77
78 C
C
C
C
    sanity-check the input parameters
    RC=0
        each way the number of points must be a positive power of 2
        NN(1)=NX
        NN(2) = NY
        DO 1 IDIM=1,2
            IF(NN(IDIM).NE.NNPREV(IDIM)) THEN
                        this is a new NN; check it and find its log_2
                        NBITS=NN(IDIM)
                        DO 2 NS=1,32
                                    IF(NBITS.LT.0) THEN
                                    IF(NBITS.NE.MZRO) GO TO 3
                    IF(NS.EQ.1 .OR. NS.EQ.32) GO TO 3
                    LGN(IDIM)=32-NS
                            GO TO 1
                    ENDIF
                    NBITS}=2*NBITS
                CONTINUE
                RC=1
                ENDIF
    CONTINUE
    IF(RC.EQ.0) THEN
            they were both positive powers of 2; remember them
            NNPREV (1)=NX
            NNPREV (2) =NY
        ELSE
            NNPREV (1)=MZRO
            NNPREV (2)=MZRO
        ENDIF
    the transform flag must denote either direct or inverse
    IF(INVDIR.NE.+1 .AND. INVDIR.NE.-1) RC=RC+2
    the upper limit of integration was assumed positive
    IF(BX.LE.O.DO .OR. BY.LE.O.DO) RC=RC+4
    the adjustable dimensions must be big enough
        IF(LX.LT.NX .OR. LY.LT.NY) RC=RC+8
        IF(RC.NE.0) RETURN
```

| 121 | step over the two dimensions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122 |  | IDIM $=1,2$ |
| 123 C |  | set the parameters for this dimension |
| 124 |  | IF (IDIM.EQ.1) THEN |
| 125 C |  | going down the first column |
| 126 |  | IST=3 |
| 127 |  | IPLIM $=2 * N \mathrm{NX}-3$ |
| 128 |  | IINC=2 |
| 129 |  | KLIM $=2 *$ LX $*$ NY $-2 * L X$ |
| 130 |  | KINC $=2 *$ LX |
| 131 |  | MSZST $=$ NX |
| 132 |  | MLT $=1$ |
| 133 |  | ELSE |
| 134 C |  | going across the first row |
| 135 |  | IST $=1+2 *$ LX |
| 136 |  | IPLIM $=2 * L X * N Y-4 * L X+1$ |
| 137 |  | IINC=2*LX |
| 138 |  | KLIM $=2 * N X-2$ |
| 139 |  | KINC=2 |
| 140 |  | MSZST=LX*NY |
| 141 |  | MLT=LX |
| 142 |  | ENDIF |
| 143 C |  |  |
| 144 C |  | arrange input sequence by ascending bit-reversed index |
| 145 |  | J=1 |
| 146 |  | DO 6 I=IST,IPLIM,IINC |
| 147 |  | MSZ=MSZST |
| 148 | 8 | IF(J.LT.MSZ) GO TO 7 |
| 149 |  | J=J-MSZ |
| 150 |  | MSZ=MSZ/2 |
| 151 |  | GO TO 8 |
| 152 | 7 | J=J+MSZ |
| 153 |  | IF (J.GT.I) THEN |
| 154 C |  | repeat row swaps for all columns |
| 155 |  | DO 9 K=I,I+KLIM, KINC |
| 156 C |  | repeat the pair of row swaps in each column |
| 157 |  | $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{J}+$ (K-I) |
| 158 |  | TEMPR=DATA ( K ) |
| 159 |  | TEMPI=DATA $(\mathrm{K}+1)$ |
| 160 |  | DATA $(\mathrm{K})=$ DATA $(\mathrm{T})$ |
| 161 |  | DATA $(\mathrm{K}+1)=$ DATA $(\mathrm{T}+1)$ |
| 162 |  | DATA ( T ) $=$ TEMPR |
| 163 |  | DATA ( $\mathrm{T}+1$ ) $=$ TEMPI |
| 164 | 9 | CONTINUE |
| 165 |  | ENDIF |
| 166 | 6 | CONTINUE |

```
C use the butterfly algorithm to evaluate signal flow graph
LMAX=2
    DO 10 M=1,LGN(IDIM)
        set W`0=1
        WR=1.DO
        WI=0.DO
        compute the W for this stage
        THETA=TWOPI/DFLOAT(INVDIR*LMAX)
        WMR=DCOS(THETA)
        WMI=DSIN (THETA)
        consider each power of W used in this stage
        PSTEP=2*LMAX*MLT
        DO 11 L=1,LMAX,2
                butterflies for this power of W in all groups
                        DO 12 P=(L-1)*MLT+1,IPLIM,PSTEP
                Q=P+LMAX*MLT
                    repeat for all rows or columns
                        DO 13 K=P,P+KLIM,KINC
                            T=Q+(K-P)
                            TEMPR=WR*DATA(T)-WI*DATA(T+1)
                            TEMPI=WR*DATA(T+1)+WI*DATA(T)
                            DATA(T)=DATA(K)-TEMPR
                            DATA (T+1)=DATA (K+1)-TEMPI
                            DATA(K)=DATA(K)+TEMPR
                            DATA (K+1) =DATA (K+1) +TEMPI
                CONTINUE
            CONTINUE
                            find the next power of W as W*WP
                        WTEMP=WR
                WR=WR*WMR-WI*WMI
                WI=WI*WMR+WTEMP*WMI
            CONTINUE
            LMAX=LMAX*2
        CONTINUE
            CONTINUE
C
```

```
207 C
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
24
225
226
227
228
2 2 9
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241 C
242 C
```

    find sampling intervals and new upper limits of integration
    ```
    find sampling intervals and new upper limits of integration
    IF(SCALE) THEN
    IF(SCALE) THEN
        IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) THEN
        IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) THEN
            DX=BX/DFLOAT(NX-1)
            DX=BX/DFLOAT(NX-1)
            DY=BY/DFLOAT(NY-1)
            DY=BY/DFLOAT(NY-1)
            IF (SHIFT) THEN
            IF (SHIFT) THEN
                    BX=DFLOAT(NX/2)/(DX*DFLOAT(NX))
                    BX=DFLOAT(NX/2)/(DX*DFLOAT(NX))
                    BY=DFLOAT(NY/2)/(DY*DFLOAT(NY))
                    BY=DFLOAT(NY/2)/(DY*DFLOAT(NY))
            ELSE
            ELSE
                    BX=DFLOAT(NX-1)/(DX*DFLOAT(NX))
                    BX=DFLOAT(NX-1)/(DX*DFLOAT(NX))
                    BY=DFLOAT(NY-1)/(DY*DFLOAT(NY))
                    BY=DFLOAT(NY-1)/(DY*DFLOAT(NY))
            ENDIF
            ENDIF
        ELSE
        ELSE
            IF(SHIFT) THEN
            IF(SHIFT) THEN
                    DX=2.DO*BX/DFLOAT(NX)
                    DX=2.DO*BX/DFLOAT(NX)
                    DY=2.DO*BY/DFLOAT(NY)
                    DY=2.DO*BY/DFLOAT(NY)
            ELSE
            ELSE
                    DX=BX/DFLOAT (NX-1)
                    DX=BX/DFLOAT (NX-1)
                    DY=BY/DFLOAT(NY-1)
                    DY=BY/DFLOAT(NY-1)
            ENDIF
            ENDIF
            BX=DFLOAT(NX-1)/(DX*DFLOAT(NX))
            BX=DFLOAT(NX-1)/(DX*DFLOAT(NX))
            BY=DFLOAT(NY-1)/(DY*DFLOAT(NY))
            BY=DFLOAT(NY-1)/(DY*DFLOAT(NY))
        ENDIF
        ENDIF
        SFX=DX
        SFX=DX
        SFY=DY
        SFY=DY
    ELSE
    ELSE
        IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) THEN
        IF(INVDIR.EQ.+1) THEN
            SFX=1.DO
            SFX=1.DO
            SFY=1.D0
            SFY=1.D0
        ELSE
        ELSE
            SFX=1.DO/DFLOAT(NX)
            SFX=1.DO/DFLOAT(NX)
            SFY=1.DO/DFLOAT(NY)
            SFY=1.DO/DFLOAT(NY)
        ENDIF
        ENDIF
    ENDIF
    ENDIF
    scale the output values
    scale the output values
    IF(SFX.NE.1.DO .OR. SFY.NE.1.DO) THEN
    IF(SFX.NE.1.DO .OR. SFY.NE.1.DO) THEN
        DO 14 I=1,2*LX*LY
        DO 14 I=1,2*LX*LY
            DATA(I)=DCMPLX(SFX*SFY,0.DO)*DATA (I)
            DATA(I)=DCMPLX(SFX*SFY,0.DO)*DATA (I)
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
    ENDIF
```

    ENDIF
    ```
```

shift, or compensate for having shifted before
IF(SHIFT) THEN
IF(INVDIR.EQ.1) THEN
frequency-shift forward transforms of columns
DO 15 I=1,2*LX*NY-2*LX+1,2*LX
DATA(I+2*NX ) =DATA(I +NX )
DATA (I+2*NX+1)=DATA (I+NX+1)
DO 16 J=I,I+NX-1,2
TEMPR=DATA (J)
TEMPI=DATA(J+1)
DATA(J)=DATA (J+NX)
DATA (J+1)=DATA (J+1+NX)
DATA ( }\textrm{J}+\textrm{NX})=\mathrm{ TEMPR
DATA ( }\textrm{J}+1+\textrm{NX})=\mathrm{ TEMPI
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
frequency-shift forward transforms of rows
DO 17 I=1,2*(NX+1)-1,2
DATA(2*LX*NY+I )=DATA(I+LX*NY )
DATA (2*LX*NY+I +1) =DATA (I +LX *NY+1)
DO 18 J=I,I+LX*(NY-1),2*LX
TEMPR=DATA (J)
TEMPI=DATA(J+1)
DATA (J) =DATA (J+LX*NY)
DATA (J+1) =DATA (J+LX*NY+1)
DATA (J+LX*NY) =TEMPR
DATA (J+LX*NY+1)=TEMPI
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
ELSE
fix up the inverse of the frequency-shifted transform
DO 19 I= 2*LX+1, 2*LX*NY-NY+1,4*LX
DO 20 J=I,I+2*NX-2,2
DATA(J)=-DATA (J)
DATA(J+1)=-DATA (J+1)
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
DO 21 I=3,2*NX-1,4
DO 22 J=I,I+2*LX*(NY-1),2*LX
DATA(J)=-DATA(J)
DATA ( J +1) =-DATA ( J +1)
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
ENDIF
ENDIF
RETURN
END

```
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Many thanks to Dr. Matt Ferrara for pointing this book out to me.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ These definitions of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ agree (after name changes) with those of [9], [10], and many other books that discuss Fourier transforms in general. For good reasons specific to the radar application in particular, [2, page xvi] uses the opposite convention that the forward transform has the negative exponent. I regret any inconvenience arising from this difference, or from my use of $i$ rather than $j$ to represent $\sqrt{-1}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ In the signal-processing literature one often finds jargon such as " $f(x)$ is supported in $[a, b]$ " or " $f(x)$ has support on $[a, b]$ " which just means " $f(x)$ is zero outside the interval $[a, b]$."

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Following the approach used in $[4, \S 5.2-\S 5.3]$ to analyze the approximation, this effect intrudes at the step of summing the errors committed in each subinterval to obtain a formula for the composite error. I will be grateful to anyone who shows me how to complete that derivation and obtain a formula for the error in the DFT approximation as a function of $N$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ These errors are nonetheless different, because by using a higher-order quadrature (such as the trapezoid rule) we could reduce the truncation error without changing the sampling error.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ The curve for $N=2^{9}$ is flatter than the others, consistent with the small decrease in error between $N=2^{8}$ to $N=2^{9}$ seen in the $E(N)$ graph on page 24 . These are experimental data for one particular example, describing an algorithmic process in which circumstance plays a role, and apparently using $2^{9}$ samples just happens to work well for our $f(x)$ (the curve above is nearly flat up to the critical frequency). I have omitted the first two data points from each curve because in two cases those points just happen to fall far below the third, a chance effect that would obscure the real story.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ In ordinary usage to decimate means to reduce by one-tenth, but here it means to decompose thru successive divisions by two.

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ The author of four1 is identified in [10, page 500] as N. M. Brenner, but no work of his is cited in [10, page 504]. I can only speculate that he chose to use the half-angle formula because it affords some advantage in the control of roundoff error or in the size of a statically-linked program (by avoiding reference to the elementary function library routine for COS). I will be grateful to anyone who presents me with a convincing argument why Brenner's approach might be better for either of these or some other reason.

[^8]:    ${ }^{9}$ I am indebted to Dr. Daryn Ramsden for helping me to understand the algorithm implemented in [10, page 501]. The code presented below is somewhat different, but it implements the same idea and my explanation is therefore based on his.

[^9]:    ${ }^{10}$ The four1 routine [10, page 501] uses isign, but that is the name of a Fortran built-in function.

[^10]:    ${ }^{11}$ In [10, page 500,501$]$ all of these tasks are assigned to the user.
    ${ }^{12}$ You should also be aware that although the source code of four 1 is listed in [10] it is copyrighted and can be used legally only under license; see pages xvi-xvii of that book for stern legalese stating conditions and prices. Although I got ideas from [10], as mentioned repeatedly above, I think the code presented here is different enough not to infringe their copyright. The key algorithms in four1, for bit-reversedindex rearrangement and the butterfly calculation, are not original with [10] either; see [9, page 331] for one example. Many authors seem to have used the bit-reversed-index rearrangement, and as mentioned above there are few ways to code the butterfly calculation. A source-code alternative to four 1 is FFTPACK, which is available free from the netlib repository and is apparently public-domain, but it is uncommented and comes in many pieces so it is even more difficult to understand than four1. MATLAB is proprietary like four1, but its source code is not available even under license.

[^11]:    ${ }^{19}$ The fourn routine given in [10, pages 518-519] generates all the necessary index pairs for the exchanges and butterflies in another order, by taking a fundamentally different approach that generalizes to any number of dimensions. Although I am skeptical that FFTs in more than 2 dimensions are needed very often, I will be grateful to anyone who explains to me how and why the indexing scheme used in fourn works.
    ${ }^{20}$ Dynamic memory allocation $[7, \S 17.1 .2]$ could be used to mitigate this problem, but it is bad for performance and unavailable in the Classical Fortran we have been using.
    ${ }^{21}$ The DFT2 and FFT2TR routines receive and use the leading dimension (see $[7, \S 7.1 .2]$ ) of F so that $\mathrm{F}(1, \mathrm{KY})$ or $F(1, N X)$ will be the address of the top of a column even if the calling routine dimensions $F$ bigger than it needs to be. But by always dealing with F as a two-dimensional array or always passing a single column at a time to FFT, both routines avoid any need for explicit indexing calculations involving LX.

