

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 302
(A-24)

Introduced by: Private Practice Physicians Section

Subject: The Role of Maintenance of Certification

Referred to: Reference Committee C

- 1 Whereas, the principle of lifelong learning is fundamental to maintaining and enhancing the
2 quality of patient care delivered by physicians; and
3
- 4 Whereas, certified medical education (CME) already plays a pivotal role in facilitating lifelong
5 learning by offering opportunities for physicians to stay current with advances in medical
6 knowledge and technology; and
7
- 8 Whereas, specialty boards contend that the process of re-certification and maintenance of
9 certification (MOC) contributes to the enhancement of patient care quality by counteracting a
10 natural decline in medical knowledge and skills over time during active practice, although
11 existing evidence is at odds with this assertion and does not suggest that re-certification and
12 MOC significantly enhance the quality of care provided by physicians^(1,2); and
13
- 14 Whereas, the current landscape of board certification lacks sufficient competition which has
15 resulted in elevated costs for physicians seeking certification in their respective specialties,
16 competition policy experts noting the harms of consolidation in the market for certification,
17 spirited public debate amongst physicians about the value of MOC to both patients and
18 physicians, and the Department of Justice advocating for efforts to increase competition in the
19 market for physician board certification⁽³⁻⁶⁾; and
20
- 21 Whereas, the obligation of high-stakes testing as part of MOC is not a comprehensive or optimal
22 way to assess clinical knowledge or competence for physicians who have maintained active
23 clinical practice; and
24
- 25 Whereas, our American Medical Association has a responsibility to investigate issues that
26 impact physicians and their patients; therefore be it
27
- 28 RESOLVED, that our American Medical Association adopt a policy that states that maintenance
29 of certification requirements should not be duplicative of continuing medical education
30 requirements and not be used to determine or dictate hospital privileges, insurance network
31 credentialing, or hiring practices (New HOD Policy); and be it further
32
- 33 RESOLVED, that our AMA recognizes the importance of fostering competition in the market for
34 board certification, allowing physicians to have the autonomy to choose the most suitable
35 pathway for their individual learning and professional development needs (New HOD Policy);
36 and be it further
37
- 38 RESOLVED, that our AMA undertake a comprehensive review of the available evidence
39 concerning the impact of maintenance of certification on the quality and safety of patient care
40 and report the findings of this investigation to its members and stakeholders, including

1 policymakers and legislators, to inform future healthcare policy with a report back to the House
2 of Delegates by Annual 2025 (Directive to Take Action).
3

Fiscal Note: Minimal - less than \$1,000

Received: 4/17/2024

REFERENCES

1. Gray BM, Vandergrift JL, Johnston MM, et al. Association between Imposition of a Maintenance of Certification Requirement and Ambulatory Care—Sensitive Hospitalizations and Health Care Costs. *JAMA*. 2014;312(22):2348-2357. doi:10.1001/jama.2014.12716
2. Hayes, J, Jackson JL, McNutt GM, Hertz BJ, Ryan JJ, Pawlikowski SA. Association Between Physician Time-Unlimited vs. Time-Limited Internal Medicine Board Certification and Ambulatory Patient Care Quality. *JAMA*. 2014;312(22):2358-2363. doi:10.1001/jama.2014.13992
3. T. Sandhu A, Adams Dudley R, S. Kazi D. A Cost Analysis of the American Board of Internal Medicine's Maintenance-of-Certification Program. *Ann Intern Med*. Published online September 15, 2015. doi: 10.7326/M15-1011.
4. Gilman, DJ, Miller BJ, Goldstein B. Board Certification: A Dose of Competition. *Health Aff Forefr*. doi: 10.1377/forefront.20171121.748789.
5. Healthcare Unfiltered: ABIM and MOC: The Epic Debate With Richard Baron and Aaron Goodman on Apple Podcasts. Apple Podcasts. Accessed April 4, 2024. <https://podcasts.apple.com/us/podcast/abim-and-moc-the-epic-debate-with-richard/id1534737585?i=1000623789270>
6. Comments on Maintenance of Certification Requirements for Physicians. Accessed April 4, 2024. <https://www.justice.gov/atr/page/file/1212231/download>

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Continuing Board Certification D-275.954

Our AMA will:

1. Continue to monitor the evolution of Continuing Board Certification (CBC), continue its active engagement in discussions regarding their implementation, encourage specialty boards to investigate and/or establish alternative approaches for CBC, and prepare a report regarding the CBC process at the request of the House of Delegates or when deemed necessary by the Council on Medical Education.
2. Continue to review, through its Council on Medical Education, published literature and emerging data as part of the Council's ongoing efforts to critically review CBC issues.
3. Continue to monitor the progress by the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) and its member boards on implementation of CBC, and encourage the ABMS to report its research findings on the issues surrounding certification and CBC on a periodic basis.
4. Encourage the ABMS and its member boards to continue to explore other ways to measure the ability of physicians to access and apply knowledge to care for patients, and to continue to examine the evidence supporting the value of specialty board certification and CBC.
5. Work with the ABMS to streamline and improve the Cognitive Expertise (Part III) component of CBC, including the exploration of alternative formats, in ways that effectively evaluate acquisition of new knowledge while reducing or eliminating the burden of a high-stakes examination.
6. Work with interested parties to ensure that CBC uses more than one pathway to assess accurately the competence of practicing physicians, to monitor for exam relevance and to ensure that CBC does not lead to unintended economic hardship such as hospital de-credentialing of practicing physicians.
7. Recommend that the ABMS not introduce additional assessment modalities that have not been validated to show improvement in physician performance and/or patient safety.
8. Work with the ABMS to eliminate practice performance assessment modules, as currently written, from CBC requirements.
9. Encourage the ABMS to ensure that all ABMS member boards provide full transparency related to the costs of preparing, administering, scoring and reporting CBC and certifying examinations.
10. Encourage the ABMS to ensure that CBC and certifying examinations do not result in substantial financial gain to ABMS member boards, and advocate that the ABMS develop fiduciary standards for its member boards that are consistent with this principle.
11. Work with the ABMS to lessen the burden of CBC on physicians with multiple board certifications, particularly to ensure that CBC is specifically relevant to the physician's current practice.

12. Work with key stakeholders to (a) support ongoing ABMS member board efforts to allow multiple and diverse physician educational and quality improvement activities to qualify for CBC; (b) support ABMS member board activities in facilitating the use of CBC quality improvement activities to count for other accountability requirements or programs, such as pay for quality/performance or PQRS reimbursement; (c) encourage ABMS member boards to enhance the consistency of quality improvement programs across all boards; and (d) work with specialty societies and ABMS member boards to develop tools and services that help physicians meet CBC requirements.
13. Work with the ABMS and its member boards to collect data on why physicians choose to maintain or discontinue their board certification.
14. Work with the ABMS to study whether CBC is an important factor in a physician's decision to retire and to determine its impact on the US physician workforce.
15. Encourage the ABMS to use data from CBC to track whether physicians are maintaining certification and share this data with the AMA.
16. Encourage AMA members to be proactive in shaping CBC by seeking leadership positions on the ABMS member boards, American Osteopathic Association (AOA) specialty certifying boards, and CBC Committees.
17. Continue to monitor the actions of professional societies regarding recommendations for modification of CBC.
18. Encourage medical specialty societies' leadership to work with the ABMS, and its member boards, to identify those specialty organizations that have developed an appropriate and relevant CBC process for its members.
19. Continue to work with the ABMS to ensure that physicians are clearly informed of the CBC requirements for their specific board and the timelines for accomplishing those requirements.
20. Encourage the ABMS and its member boards to develop a system to actively alert physicians of the due dates of the multi-stage requirements of continuous professional development and performance in practice, thereby assisting them with maintaining their board certification.
21. Recommend to the ABMS that all physician members of those boards governing the CBC process be required to participate in CBC.
22. Continue to participate in the Coalition for Physician Accountability, formerly known as the National Alliance for Physician Competence forums.
23. Encourage the PCPI Foundation, the ABMS, and the Council of Medical Specialty Societies to work together toward utilizing Consortium performance measures in Part IV of CBC.
24. Continue to assist physicians in practice performance improvement.
25. Encourage all specialty societies to grant certified CME credit for activities that they offer to fulfill requirements of their respective specialty board's CBC and associated processes.
26. Support the American College of Physicians as well as other professional societies in their efforts to work with the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM) to improve the CBC program.
27. Oppose those maintenance of certification programs administered by the specialty boards of the ABMS, or of any other similar physician certifying organization, which do not appropriately adhere to the principles codified as AMA Policy on Continuing Board Certification.
28. Ask the ABMS to encourage its member boards to review their maintenance of certification policies regarding the requirements for maintaining underlying primary or initial specialty board certification in addition to subspecialty board certification, if they have not yet done so, to allow physicians the option to focus on continuing board certification activities relevant to their practice.
29. Call for the immediate end of any mandatory, secured recertifying examination by the ABMS or other certifying organizations as part of the recertification process for all those specialties that still require a secure, high-stakes recertification examination.
30. Support a recertification process based on high quality, appropriate Continuing Medical Education (CME) material directed by the AMA recognized specialty societies covering the physician's practice area, in cooperation with other willing stakeholders, that would be completed on a regular basis as determined by the individual medical specialty, to ensure lifelong learning.
31. Continue to work with the ABMS to encourage the development by and the sharing between specialty boards of alternative ways to assess medical knowledge other than by a secure high stakes exam.
32. Continue to support the requirement of CME and ongoing, quality assessments of physicians, where such CME is proven to be cost-effective and shown by evidence to improve quality of care for patients.
33. Through legislative, regulatory, or collaborative efforts, will work with interested state medical societies and other interested parties by creating model state legislation and model medical staff bylaws while advocating that Continuing Board Certification not be a requirement for: (a) medical staff

membership, privileging, credentialing, or recredentialing; (b) insurance panel participation; or (c) state medical licensure.

34. Increase its efforts to work with the insurance industry to ensure that continuing board certification does not become a requirement for insurance panel participation.

35. Advocate that physicians who participate in programs related to quality improvement and/or patient safety receive credit for CBC Part IV.

36. Continue to work with the medical societies and the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member boards that have not yet moved to a process to improve the Part III secure, high-stakes examination to encourage them to do so.

37. Our AMA, through its Council on Medical Education, will continue to work with the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS), ABMS Committee on Continuing Certification (3C), and ABMS Stakeholder Council to pursue opportunities to implement the recommendations of the Continuing Board Certification: Vision for the Future Commission and AMA policies related to continuing board certification.

38. Our AMA, through its Council on Medical Education, will continue to work with the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) and ABMS member boards to implement key recommendations outlined by the Continuing Board Certification: Vision for the Future Commission in its final report, including the development and release of new, integrated standards for continuing certification programs that will address the Commission's recommendations for flexibility in knowledge assessment and advancing practice, feedback to diplomates, and consistency.

39. Our AMA will work with the ABMS and its member boards to reduce financial burdens for physicians holding multiple certificates who are actively participating in continuing certification through an ABMS member board, by developing opportunities for reciprocity for certification requirements as well as consideration of reduced or waived fee structures.

40. Our AMA will continue to publicly report its work on enforcing AMA Principles on Continuing Board Certification.

Citation: CME Rep. 2, I-15; Appended: Res. 911, I-15; Appended: Res. 309, A-16; Appended: CME Rep. 02, A-16; Appended: Res. 307, I-16; Appended: Res. 310, I-16; Modified: CME Rep. 02, A-17; Reaffirmed: Res. 316, A-17; Reaffirmed in lieu of: Res. 322, A-17; Appended: CME Rep. 02, A-18; Appended: Res. 320, A-18; Appended: Res. 957, I-18; Reaffirmation: A-19; Modified: CME Rep. 02, A-19; Appended: CME Rep. 1, I-20; Appended: Res. 310, A-21; Modified: CME Rep. 2, A-22; Appended: Res. 310, I-22

MOC Provisions of Interstate Medical Licensure Compact D-275.955

Our American Medical Association will, in collaboration with the Federation of State Medical Boards and interested state medical boards, request a clarifying statement from the Interstate Medical Licensure Compact Commission that the intent of the language in the model legislation requiring that a physician "holds" specialty certification refers only to initial specialty certification recognized by the American Board of Medical Specialties or the American Osteopathic Association's (AOA's) Bureau of Osteopathic Specialists and that there is no requirement for participation in ABMS's Maintenance of Certification or AOA's Osteopathic Continuous Certification (OCC) program in order to receive initial or continued licensure under the Interstate Medical Licensure Compact.

Citation: Res. 235, A-15

An Update on Maintenance of Licensure D-275.957

Our American Medical Association will: 1. Continue to monitor the evolution of Maintenance of Licensure (MOL), continue its active engagement in discussions regarding MOL implementation, and report back to the House of Delegates on this issue.

2. Continue to review, through its Council on Medical Education, published literature and emerging data as part of the Council's ongoing efforts to critically review MOL issues.

3. Work with the Federation of State Medical Boards (FSMB) to study whether the principles of MOL are important factors in a physician's decision to retire or have a direct impact on the U.S. physician workforce.

4. Work with interested state medical societies and support collaboration with state specialty medical societies and state medical boards on establishing criteria and regulations for the implementation of MOL that reflect AMA guidelines for implementation of state MOL programs and the FSMB's Guiding Principles for MOL.

5. Explore the feasibility of developing, in collaboration with other stakeholders, AMA products and

services that may help shape and support MOL for physicians.

6. Encourage the FSMB to continue to work with state medical boards to accept physician participation in the American Board of Medical Specialties maintenance of certification (MOC) and the American Osteopathic Association Bureau of Osteopathic Specialists (AOA-BOS) osteopathic continuous certification (OCC) as meeting the requirements for MOL and to develop alternatives for physicians who are not certified/recertified, and advocate that MOC or OCC not be the only pathway to MOL for physicians.

7. Continue to work with the FSMB to establish and assess MOL principles, with the AMA to assess the impact of MOL on the practicing physician and the FSMB to study its impact on state medical boards.

8. Encourage rigorous evaluation of the impact on physicians of any future proposed changes to MOL processes, including cost, staffing, and time.

Citation: CME Rep. 3, A-15; Modified: CME Rep. 2, I-15

DRAFT