

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 101
(A-24)

Introduced by: Medical Student Section

Subject: Infertility Coverage

Referred to: Reference Committee A

1 Whereas, fertility assistance and preservation are commonly used by patients diagnosed with or
2 at risk for infertility (including iatrogenic infertility due to medical interventions, such as cancer
3 treatment or hormone replacement therapy), LGBTQ+ patients, military and veteran patients,
4 and patients who desire future pregnancy at advanced reproductive age¹⁻²; and

5
6 Whereas, cost for services such as in vitro fertilization or oocyte cryopreservation ranges from
7 \$10,000 to \$13,000, not including medications, further tests, multiple cycles, and cryostorage
8 fees³⁻⁵; and

9
10 Whereas, the average cost for semen analysis by emission is around \$750, with additional costs
11 for cryostorage⁶; and

12
13 Whereas, cost due to lack of insurance coverage and need for supplemental insurance is the
14 most common barrier for patients with infertility, often leading them to end treatment⁷⁻⁸; and

15
16 Whereas, in states where employer plans cover assisted reproductive technology, the cost of in
17 vitro fertilization (IVF) is 13% of average annual disposable income compared to 52% in other
18 states, indicating that coverage regulations drastically affect affordability⁹; and

19
20 Whereas, Medicaid covers fertility drugs in only one state, covers infertility diagnostics in only a
21 few states, and does not cover other fertility assistance or preservation services¹⁰; and

22
23 Whereas, TRICARE only covers infertility care that enables “natural conception,” and the VA
24 only covers care for infertility due to service-related injuries and only if donor eggs and sperm
25 are from a couple, excluding LGBTQ+ and unmarried individuals¹⁰; and

26
27 Whereas, 25 states and DC have various regulations at least partially restricting coverage of
28 some fertility diagnostics or services in at least a portion of employer plans offered, although sex
29 and gender-based restrictions, cost-sharing, age cutoffs, marital requirements, exemptions for
30 small and large employers, and other stipulations vary widely¹⁰⁻¹⁴; and

31
32 Whereas, states with private coverage for fertility services do not experience significant
33 premium increases, with estimates ranging from 0.5-1% (\$1-5), while demonstrating 150-300%
34 greater use of fertility services compared to states without^{10,15-17}; and

35
36 Whereas, Black women may have higher infertility rates but are less likely to use fertility
37 services, and Black, Hispanic, and Asian women all experience poorly understood lower
38 success rates for fertility services, alongside many financial and logistic barriers¹⁸⁻²⁰; and

1 Whereas, women of color also report hearing comments disregarding their fertility concerns or
2 perpetuating stereotypes (that they can become pregnant easily or that they should not become
3 pregnant at all)²⁰; and
4

5 Whereas, LGBTQ+ individuals and unmarried individuals are often excluded from conditions
6 and requirements for fertility services^{10,11,21,22}; and
7

8 Whereas, unlike the IHS, other federal health programs such as the Veterans Health
9 Administration and Federal Employees Health Benefit Program, provide a spectrum of coverage
10 for infertility diagnostics and treatment²³; and

11 Whereas, the prevalence of infertility and impaired fecundity (reproductive fitness) among
12 American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) persons is 7.0% and 13.2%, respectively, which is
13 greater than that of the U.S. population (6.4% and 11.0%)²⁴; and
14

15 Whereas, positive pregnancy (PP) and ongoing pregnancy/delivery (OP/D) rates are estimated
16 to be 15% and 10% per IUI cycle in the general population, respectively, but AI/AN patients
17 have marked PP/OP/D disparities (5.10% PP and 3.3% OP/D)²⁵; and
18

19 Whereas, the IHS defines Level 5 (Excluded Services) as services and procedures considered
20 purely cosmetic in nature, experimental or investigational, or with no proven medical benefit and
21 includes IVF and related services in this category, preventing IHS, Tribal, and Urban Indian
22 Health Programs from paying for this care²⁶⁻²⁸; therefore be it
23

24 RESOLVED, that our American Medical Association amend Policy H-185.990, "Infertility and
25 Fertility Preservation Insurance Coverage" by addition and deletion to read as follows;
26

27 1. Our AMA ~~encourages third party payer health insurance carriers~~
28 ~~to make available insurance benefits~~ supports federal protections
29 that ensure insurance coverage by all payers for the diagnosis and
30 treatment of recognized ~~male and female~~ infertility.

31 2. Our AMA supports payment for fertility preservation therapy
32 services by all payers when iatrogenic infertility may be caused
33 directly or indirectly by necessary medical treatments as
34 determined by a licensed physician, and will lobby for appropriate
35 federal legislation requiring payment for fertility preservation
36 therapy services by all payers when iatrogenic infertility may be
37 caused directly or indirectly by necessary medical treatments as
38 determined by a licensed physician.

39 3. Our AMA will work with interested organizations to encourage the
40 Indian Health Service to cover infertility diagnostics and treatment
41 for patients seen by or referred through an Indian Health Service,
42 Tribal, or Urban Indian Health Program. (Modify Current HOD
43 Policy); and be it further
44

45 RESOLVED, that our AMA study the feasibility of insurance coverage for fertility preservation
46 for reasons other than iatrogenic infertility (Directive to Take Action); and be it further
47

48 RESOLVED, that our AMA support the review of services defined to be experimental or
49 excluded for payment by the Indian Health Service and for the appropriate bodies to make
50 evidence-based recommendations for updated health services coverage. (New HOD Policy)

Fiscal Note: Modest - between \$1,000 - \$5,000

Received: 4/5/2024

REFERENCES

1. Benaloun E, Sermondade N, Moreau E, et al. Place de la préservation de la fertilité dans le parcours de transition des femmes transgenres [Fertility preservation for transwomen]. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil Senol*. 2021;49(6):547-552. doi:10.1016/j.gofs.2021.01.007
2. Colmorn LB, Kristensen SG, Dueholm M, Macklon KT. *Ugeskr Laeger*. 2021;183(48):V05210409.
3. Paying For Treatments. Cancer Fertility Preservation. Allianceforfertilitypreservation.org. <https://www.allianceforfertilitypreservation.org/costs/paying-for-treatments>. Published 2021. Accessed September 12, 2021.
4. Hirshfeld-Cytron J, Grobman WA, Milad MP. Fertility preservation for social indications: a cost-based decision analysis. *Fertility and Sterility*. 2012;97(3):665-670. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.12.029
5. Jeong Perry, A., Nguyen, A. How to decide if freezing your eggs is right for you — and where to get started. www.npr.org <https://www.npr.org/2021/11/18/1056834875/egg-freezing-process-how-much-does-it-cost>. Published December 2, 2021. Accessed March 20, 2022.
6. Insogna IG, Ginsburg ES. Infertility, Inequality, and How Lack of Insurance Coverage Compromises Reproductive Autonomy. *AMA J Ethics*. 2018;20(12):E1152-E1159. Published 2018 Dec 1. doi:10.1001/amajethics.2018.1152
7. Gilbert K, Nangia AK, Dupree JM, Smith JF, Mehta A. Fertility preservation for men with testicular cancer: Is sperm cryopreservation cost effective in the era of assisted reproductive technology?. *Urol Oncol*. 2018;36(3):92.e1-92.e9. doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2017.11.002
8. Berger R, Paul MS, Henshaw LA. Women's experience of infertility: A multi-systemic perspective. *Journal of International Women's Studies*. 2013;14(1):54-68. <https://vc.bridgew.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=&httpsredir=1&article=1651&context=jiws>
9. Mosalanejad L, Parandavar N, Abdollahifard S. Barriers to infertility treatment: an integrated study. *Glob J Health Sci*. 2013;6(1):181-191. Published 2013 Nov 25. doi:10.5539/gjhs.v6n1p181
10. Weigel, G. Coverage and Use of Fertility Services in the U.S. | KFF. <https://www.kff.org/womens-health-policy/issue-brief/coverage-and-use-of-fertility-services-in-the-u-s>. Published September 15, 2020. Accessed March 19, 2022.
11. State Laws Related to Insurance Coverage for Infertility Treatment. www.ncsl.org. <https://www.ncsl.org/research/health/insurance-coverage-for-infertility-laws.aspx>. Published March 12, 2021. Accessed March 20, 2022.
12. Insurance Coverage by State | RESOLVE: The National Infertility Association. <https://resolve.org/learn/financial-resources-for-family-building/insurance-coverage/insurance-coverage-by-state/>. Published August 27, 2021. Accessed March 20, 2022.
13. The Birth Rate is Rising Among Older Women. Got IVF Coverage? Our Thinking. www.mercer.us. <https://www.mercer.us/our-thinking/healthcare/the-birth-rate-is-rising-among-older-women-got-ivf-coverage.html>. Published May 28, 2018. Access March 20, 2022.
14. Benoit M, Chiles K, Hsieh M. The Landscape of Coverage for Fertility Preservation in Male Pediatric Patients. *Urology Practice*. 2018;5(3):198-204. doi:10.1016/j.urpr.2017.03.007
15. Jain T, Hornstein MD. Disparities in access to infertility services in a state with mandated insurance coverage. *Fertil Steril*. 2005;84(1):221-223.
16. Jain T. Socioeconomic and racial disparities among infertility patients seeking care. *Fertil Steril*. 2006;85(4):876-881.
17. Insogna I. Infertility, Inequality, and How Lack of Insurance Coverage Compromises Reproductive Autonomy. *AMA J Ethics*. 2018;20(12):E1152-1159. doi: 10.1001/amajethics.2018.1152.
18. Craig LB, Peck JD, Janitz AE. The prevalence of infertility in American Indian/Alaska Natives and other racial/ethnic groups: National Survey of Family Growth. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2019;33(2):119-125. doi:10.1111/ppe.12538
19. Chin HB, Howards PP, Kramer MR, Mertens AC, Spencer JB. Racial Disparities in Seeking Care for Help Getting Pregnant. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2015;29(5):416-425. doi:10.1111/ppe.12210
20. Ethics Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Disparities in access to effective treatment for infertility in the United States: an Ethics Committee opinion. *Fertil Steril*. 2015;104(5):1104-1110. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.07.1139
21. Kaufman, D. The Fight for Fertility Equality. *The New York Times*. Published July 22, 2020.
22. Ethics Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Access to fertility treatment irrespective of marital status, sexual orientation, or gender identity: an Ethics Committee opinion. *Fertil Steril*. 2021;116(2):326-330. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2021.03.034
23. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. In Vitro Fertilization Treatment. December 21, 2022. Accessed August 22, 2023. <https://www.va.gov/COMMUNITYCARE/programs/veterans/ivf.asp>
24. Craig LB, Peck JD, Janitz AE. The prevalence of infertility in American Indian/Alaska Natives and other racial/ethnic groups: National Survey of Family Growth. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2019;33(2):119-125. doi:10.1111/ppe.12538
25. Craig LB, Weedin EA, Walker WD, Janitz AE, Hansen KR, Peck JD. Racial and Ethnic Differences in Pregnancy Rates Following Intrauterine Insemination with a Focus on American Indians. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. 2018;5(5):1077-1083. doi:10.1007/s40615-017-0456-8
26. Indian Health Service. Requirements: Priorities of Care. Accessed August 22, 2023. <https://www.ihs.gov/prc/eligibility/requirements-priorities-of-care>
27. Indian Health Service. Indian Health Service Medical Priority Levels. Accessed August 22, 2023. https://www.ihs.gov/sites/ihm/themes/responsive2017/display_objects/documents/pc/58619-1_Manual_Exhibit_2-3-B_IHS_MedicalPrioritiesRolesAndResponsibilities.pdf
28. Weisner M. White House to require more fertility treatment options for workforce. *Federal Times*. March 10, 2023. <https://www.federaltimes.com/fedlife/benefits/2023/03/10/white-house-to-require-more-fertility-treatment-options-for-workforce>

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

H-185.990 Infertility and Fertility Preservation Insurance Coverage

1. Our AMA encourages third party payer health insurance carriers to make available insurance benefits for the diagnosis and treatment of recognized male and female infertility.
2. Our AMA supports payment for fertility preservation therapy services by all payers when iatrogenic infertility may be caused directly or indirectly by necessary medical treatments as determined by a licensed physician, and will lobby for appropriate federal legislation requiring payment for fertility preservation therapy services by all payers when iatrogenic infertility may be caused directly or indirectly by necessary medical treatments as determined by a licensed physician. [Res. 150, A-88; Reaffirmed: Sunset Report, I-98; Reaffirmed: CMS Rep. 4, A-08; Appended Res. 114, A-13; Modified: Res. 809, I-14]

H-65.956 Right for Gamete Preservation Therapies

1. Fertility preservation services are recognized by our AMA as an option for the members of the transgender and non-binary community who wish to preserve future fertility through gamete preservation prior to undergoing gender affirming medical or surgical therapies.
2. Our AMA supports the right of transgender or non-binary individuals to seek gamete preservation therapies. [Res. 005, A-19]

H-185.922 Right for Gamete Preservation Therapies

3. Our AMA supports insurance coverage for gamete preservation in any individual for whom a medical diagnosis or treatment modality is expected to result in the loss of fertility. [Res. 005, A-19]

H-510.984 Infertility Benefits for Veterans

1. Our AMA supports: (A) lifting the congressional ban on the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) from covering in vitro fertilization (IVF) costs for veterans who have become infertile due to service-related injuries; and (B) efforts by the DOD and VA to offer service members comprehensive health care services to preserve their ability to conceive a child and provide treatment within the standard of care to address infertility due to service-related injuries; and (C) additional research to better understand whether higher rates of infertility in servicewomen may be linked to military service, and which approaches might reduce the burden of infertility among service women.
2. Our AMA encourages: (A) interested stakeholders to collaborate in lifting the congressional ban on the VA from covering IVF costs for veterans who have become infertile due to service-related injuries, and (B) the Department of Defense (DOD) to offer service members fertility counseling and information on relevant health care benefits provided through TRICARE and the VA at pre-deployment and during the medical discharge process. [CMS Rep. 01, I-16; Appended: Res. 513, A-19]