Public Comment Summary Report

Proposed Amendment 5 to the .name Registry Agreement

Open for Submissions Date:

Friday, 10 September 2021

Closed for Submissions Date:

Wednesday, 20 October 2021

Summary Report Due Date:

Wednesday, 3 November 2021

Category: Other

Requester: ICANN org

ICANN org Contact(s): globalsupport@icann.org

Open Proceeding Link:

https://www.icann.org/en/public-comment/proceeding/proposed-amendment-5-to-the-name-registry-agreement-01-09-2021

Outcome:

ICANN org is grateful for the opportunity to seek feedback from the community and appreciates the comments submitted to the public forum on the proposed .name Registry Agreement No. 5.

The two comments received were in general support the proposed Amendment 5 to the .name Registry Agreement. Following the completion of the Public Comment process, ICANN org will make a decision regarding the .name Registry Agreement No. 5.

Section 1: What We Received Input On

The proposed Amendment 5 to the .name Registry Agreement (RA) satisfies ICANN org and Verisign's agreement to negotiate certain terms described in Amendment 3 to the .name Registry Agreement, dated 5 August 2020. Under Amendment 3, the parties agreed to cooperate and negotiate in good faith to agree upon and amend the .name Registry Agreement by 15 August 2021 to incorporate provisions from the Com Registry Agreement Amendment No.3, dated 27 March 2020, and the generic top-level domain (gTLD) Base Registry Agreement into the .name Registry Agreement.

In August 2021, ICANN org and Verisign agreed to <u>Amendment 4</u> of the .name RA to extend the amendment execution date by 120 days to 13 December 2021.

The proposed Amendment 5 would modify the .name RA in the following ways:

- Align certain provisions with Amendment 3 of the .com Registry Agreement.
- Align certain technical and reporting obligations for the .name TLD with those in the Base gTLD Registry Agreement.
- Incorporate commitments related to the implementation of the Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP).
- Incorporate certain Public Interest Commitments based on those in Specification 11 of the Base gTLD Registry Agreement.

Section 2: Submissions

Organizations and Groups:

Name	Submitted by	Initials
Business Constituency	Steve Del Bianco	ВС

Individuals:

Name	Affiliation (if provided)	Initials
None		

Section 2a: Late Submissions

At its discretion, ICANN org accepted late submissions, which have been appended to this summary report.

Organizations and Groups:

Name	Submitted by	Initials
Registrar Stakeholder Group	Ashley Heineman	RrSG

Individuals:

Name	Affiliation (if provided)	Initials
None		

Section 3: Summary of Submissions

ICANN org received two (2) comments on the proposed .name Registry Agreement Amendment No. 5. The first comment from the Business Constituency (BC) stated they support the proposed amendment. The second comment from the Registrar Stakeholder Group (RrSG) chair, Ashley Heineman, on behalf of the RrSG stated the RrSG does not have any significant issues with the proposed amendment.

Section 4: Analysis of Submissions

ICANN org is grateful for the opportunity to seek feedback from the community and appreciates the comments submitted to the public forum on the proposed .name Registry Agreement No. 5. As the comments received were in general support the proposed Amendment 5 to the .name Registry Agreement, there is not specific analysis to offer.

Section 5: Next Steps

Following the completion of the Public Comment process, ICANN org will make a decision regarding the .name Registry Agreement No. 5.

20 October 2021

RrSG Response to the Proposed Renewal of the .NAME Registry Agreement

The Registrar Stakeholder Group (RrSG) welcomes the opportunity to provide feedback regarding the <u>Proposed Renewal of the .NAME Registry Agreement.</u> The RrSG would like to thank the ICANN org staff for the considerable work involved in reviewing and revising the draft registry agreement.

The RrSG reviewed the amended agreement and does not have any significant issues with the proposed .NAME Registry Agreement. Separately, the RrSG is reviewing the amended RRA under ICANN's <u>Registry-Registrar Agreement (RRA) Amendment Procedure</u> and will follow up as needed with ICANN per this procedure.

Sincerely,

Ashley Heineman