## ICANN | GNSO

Generic Names Supporting Organization

**GNSO PDP 3.0** 

**Implementation Plan** 

Version 1 March 2019

## **BACKGROUND**

In January 2018, the GNSO Council held an inaugural three-day Strategic Planning Session during which it, amongst other activities, reviewed the workload for the year ahead and identified potential milestones, noting that the current average timeline for delivery of an Initial Report has increased at least 2-4 times compared to previous PDPs.

The GNSO Council began identifying challenges being encountered in PDPs, informed by a staff discussion paper on optimizing increased engagement and participation while ensuring efficient and effective policy development.

In order to engage the broader GNSO community in this discussion, the GNSO Council organized a collaborative session involving the members of the current PDP Leadership Teams as well as the broader community to summarize key points from the Strategic Planning Session, elaborate on the challenges that PDPs presently face and identified, and begin to brainstorm possible solutions.

As a result of these discussions, staff was tasked to publish a discussion paper that synthesizes the challenges that were identified as part of these discussions as well as possible improvements — both immediate and longer term — that the Council and PDP Working Groups could consider implementing to enhance efficiency and effectiveness of GNSO policy development activities. This discussion paper was published on 8 May 2018 (see <a href="https://gnso.icann.org/en/drafts/pdp-discussion-paper-11may18-en.pdf">https://gnso.icann.org/en/drafts/pdp-discussion-paper-11may18-en.pdf</a>).

GNSO Stakeholder Groups, Constituencies as well as other SO/ACs were invited to provide their input and feedback on the discussion paper. A summary report of the feedback received was shared with the Council on 10 September 2018 (see <a href="https://gnso.icann.org/en/drafts/pdp-summary-feedback-10sep18-en.pdf">https://gnso.icann.org/en/drafts/pdp-summary-feedback-10sep18-en.pdf</a>) followed by a dedicated webinar on 11 September 2018 to further review and discuss the input received (see <a href="https://participate.icann.org/p1s5rcio69b/">https://participate.icann.org/p1s5rcio69b/</a>).

Based on the input received as well as the subsequent webinar, Council leadership developed a proposed GNSO PDP 3.0 Final Report and Recommendations for Council consideration (see (<a href="https://gnso.icann.org/sites/default/files/file/field-file-attach/pdp-increase-effectiveness-efficiency-23oct18-en.pdf">https://gnso.icann.org/sites/default/files/file/field-file-attach/pdp-increase-effectiveness-efficiency-23oct18-en.pdf</a>).

The GNSO Council and broader community reviewed and discussed the GNSO PDP 3.0 Final Report and Recommendations during the GNSO Weekend Session at ICANN63 (Oct 2018).

During the Council meeting at ICANN63, the GNSO Council adopted the GNSO PDP 3.0 Final Report and Recommendations and instructed GNSO Support Staff to work with the incoming Council leadership on the roll out of the Implementation Plan. A first draft

of the GNSO PDP 3.0 Implementation Plan was shared with the Council for review in December 2018 (see <a href="https://gnso.icann.org/en/drafts/pdp-implementation-plan-10dec18-en.pdf">https://gnso.icann.org/en/drafts/pdp-implementation-plan-10dec18-en.pdf</a>).

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Per the Implementation Plan, the GNSO Council commenced its deliberations on a number of improvements during the 2019 GNSO Council Strategic Planning Session (see <a href="https://gnso.icann.org/en/meetings/council-strategic-planning-session-final-25jan19-en.pdf">https://gnso.icann.org/en/meetings/council-strategic-planning-session-final-25jan19-en.pdf</a>). It was proposed that a small team of Council volunteers would collaborate with staff and oversee the development of the implementation plan for each of the improvements. The small team currently consists of:

- Rafik Dammak (lead)
- Pam Little
- Arsène Tungali
- Darcy Southwell
- Marie Pattullo
- Elsa Saade

The small team commenced with a review of the implementation plan and has made updates accordingly to reflect the role of the small team as well as the desire to complete the implementation by ICANN66 (AGM) in November of 2019. The small team expects to work on the basis of consensus. However, should there be any disagreements, the small team will present to the Council the different points of views so that the Council can make a determination on the path forward. In any case, the small team expects to present updates on a regular basis and obtain sign off from the Council at the point an implementation for a certain improvement is considered complete. Its mailing list will be publicly archived with the ability for any interested observers to join. During ICANN64, the small team hopes to obtain further input from the Council on this proposed approach as well as the updated implementation plan (see hereunder).

| Improvement #                                    | Objective & Description (Per  | Possible Implementation Steps   | Proposed Next Steps   | Who / Timing                                      |
|--|---|---|---|---|
|  | PDP 3.0 Final Report)   | (Per PDP 3.0 Final Report)  |   |   |
| #1. Terms of participation for WG members        | Ensure that WG members are committed to working together to find consensus, respecting the ICANN standards of behavior  Require those joining a WG to sign up to a WG member terms of participation outlining the commitment expected from WG members as well as the expectation with regards to multi- stakeholder, bottom up, | Further develop the EPDP Team Statement of Participation in consultation with ICANN Ombudsman to produce a template for seeking affirmative commitment from WG members before they can participate in a WG. | <ul> <li>Review EPDP Statement of<br/>Participation and consult<br/>with EPDP Team /<br/>leadership what impact, if<br/>any, the statement of<br/>participation has had</li> <li>Consult with existing PDP<br/>Chairs whether the<br/>introduction of such a<br/>statement of participation<br/>would be deemed helpful,<br/>either for existing or future<br/>efforts</li> </ul> | Smallt Team / March 2019  Small Team / April 2019 |
|  | consensus policy development. This could also include, in certain cases, expected knowledge / expertise required to participate (with options being provided to those not   |   | <ul> <li>Consult with ICANN         Ombudsman on         mechanisms / measures to         seek affirmative         commitment from WG         members     </li> </ul>   | Small Team / April<br>2019                        |
|  | having the requested knowledge / expertise to obtain relevant knowledge / expertise). Different levels of commitment could be attributed to full membership versus observer status.   |   | Review small team     conclusions and proposed     implementation of this     improvement   | GNSO Council /<br>May 2019                        |
| #2. Consider<br>alternatives to<br>open WG model | Identify and document the basic characteristics of various model(s) (including current open model, EPDP Team  | Council to identify and consider the various model options documented when commencing new PDP to  | <ul> <li>Develop overview of<br/>different model options<br/>that have been used to<br/>date in the context of PDPs</li> </ul>  | Staff / Dec-Jan<br>2018/2019                      |

|                  | Composition, Review Teams)        | determine which best fits a  |   | or other.   |                    |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|
|                  | that balance representation,      | particular PDP effort.       |   | Review overview of                                    | Council / SPS 2019 |
|                  | inclusivity, expertise,           | particular i Di Cirorei      |   | different models and                                  | and beyond         |
|                  | empowerment, accountability       |                              |   | brainstorm whether other                              |                    |
|                  | and participation.                |                              |   | model options should be                               |                    |
|                  |                                   |                              |   | added to the list (see                                |                    |
|                  | The PDP Manual provides the       |                              |   | Council SPS 2019 report for                           |                    |
|                  | flexibility to consider different |                              |   | further details)                                      |                    |
|                  | types of PDP Team structures,     |                              | • | Prepare a comparison table                            | Staff / March 2019 |
|                  | for example, reference is made    |                              |   | for the proposed Working                              | ·                  |
|                  | to working group, task force,     |                              |   | Group models, which could                             |                    |
|                  | committee of the whole or         |                              |   | include several factors:                              |                    |
|                  | drafting team. To ensure          |                              |   | membership eligibility,                               |                    |
|                  | representation as well as         |                              |   | operating procedures,                                 |                    |
|                  | empowerment of WG                 |                              |   | decision-making,                                      |                    |
|                  | members, different team           |                              |   | communicating decision-                               |                    |
|                  | structures should be              |                              |   | making, urgency/timing                                |                    |
|                  | considered, for example, having   |                              |   | (e.g., prioritization).                               |                    |
|                  | members designated by             |                              |   | Consider creating pros/cons                           |                    |
|                  | SO/AC/SG/Cs while individuals     |                              |   | as well. (action item coming                          |                    |
|                  | can join as participants or       |                              |   | out of Council SPS 2019)                              |                    |
|                  | observers. This model has         |                              | • | Consider criteria that could                          | Small Team / SPS   |
|                  | worked efficiently in recent      |                              |   | be used to determine which                            | 2019 and beyond    |
|                  | Cross-Community Working           |                              |   | option to apply                                       |                    |
|                  | Groups. At the same time,         |                              | • | Consider whether the                                  | Small Team / SPS   |
|                  | there may not be a one-size fits  |                              |   | structure of any existing                             | 2019 and beyond    |
|                  | all model, so different           |                              |   | PDP would need to be                                  |                    |
|                  | alternatives should be explored   |                              |   | reconsidered.   | CNICO C. III       |
|                  | so that the best fit approach for |                              | • | Review small team                                     | GNSO Council /     |
|                  | each PDP can be utilized.         |                              |   | conclusions and proposed                              | May 2019           |
|                  |                                   |                              |   | implementation of this                                |                    |
| #3. Criteria for | Limit disruption as a result of   | Document a set of basic      | • | Improvement  Develop draft template of                | Staff / April 2019 |
|                  | Limit disruption as a result of   | template of requirements for |   | Develop draft template of requirements for upskilling | Stall / April 2019 |
| joining of new   | members joining after the WG      | template of requirements for |   | requirements for upskilling                           |                    |

| members after a PDP WG's formation       | has already been engaged in deliberations for quite some time but allow for flexibility in case new volunteers bring new perspectives or are currently underrepresented in the WG.  Consider how to efficiently and effectively upskill new PDP WG members joining after the PDP has commenced its work.  | upskilling new members newly joining after the PDP's formation with a view to preventing disruption of PDP progress and re-opening settled issues.  | new members newly joined after PDPs formation  Obtain input from current PDP Chairs  Review and update/adjust template as needed  Review small team conclusions and proposed implementation of this improvement   | Staff / April 2019  Small Team / May 2019  GNSO Council / June 2019 |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| #4. Capture vs.<br>Consensus<br>Playbook | Empower WG Chairs with additional tools and support to ensure effective and efficient leadership  A playbook or expansion of the GNSO Working Group Guidelines to help WG leaders, members, or participants identify capture tactics as such, along with a toolkit of possible responses to help the WG get back on track without escalating the situation.  Example: "Die in the ditch" test - is this a position you are willing to die in a ditch for or is it just an opinion that you are expressing, and you are happy to move on if no one else supports that opinion? | Drafting team (include current and former PDP WG leadership) to review existing provisions of the GNSO WG Guidelines for gap analysis and develop amendments to WG Guidelines or a standalone playbook for future PDPs. | • Consider submitting a request as part of the ABR process to obtain funding for a third party with expertise in this area to put a first draft together? – ABR Request submitted in Jan 2019. Awaiting response. |   |
| #5. Active role for and clear            | Ensure optimal use of GNSO<br>Council liaisons to PDP WGs   | Develop clear role description  | COMPLETED – see here  |   |

| description of     |                                 | Council to evaluate whether                           | Discuss whether role  | Council / SPS 2019 |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| Council liaison to | Ensure that there is a clear    | this updated role description is                      | description is fit for purpose in                             |                    |
| PDP WGs            | understanding with regards to   | fit for purpose in light of                           | light of concerns around Chair                                |                    |
|                    | the role of the Council liaison | concerns around Chair                                 | neutrality and multi-phase PDP                                |                    |
|                    | and how he/she can assist the   | neutrality and multi-phase PDP                        | structures  |                    |
|                    | WG leadership. This may         | structures.   |   |                    |
|                    | require PDP WG leadership       |   | Prepare first draft of briefing                               |                    |
|                    | teams to actively involve the   | Develop a briefing document                           | document for new Council                                      | Staff / Jan 2019   |
|                    | liaison in leadership /         | for new Council liaisons                              | liaisons, with input from                                     |                    |
|                    | preparatory meetings.           | including the role description                        | existing liaisons   |                    |
|                    |                                 | and highlighting relevant                             |   |                    |
|                    |                                 | provisions of GNSO Procedures                         |   |                    |
|                    |                                 | on the role and responsibilities                      |   |                    |
|                    |                                 | of the liaison.                                       |   |                    |
|                    |                                 |   | Develop list of proposed PDP                                  | Small team         |
|                    |                                 | Build into PDP timeline                               | milestones at which the WG                                    | consisting of      |
|                    |                                 | milestones at which the WG                            | leadership team should  | current & former   |
|                    |                                 | leadership team should                                | consider how to best utilize the                              | liaisons / TBD     |
|                    |                                 | consider how to best utilize the                      | Council liaison.  |                    |
|                    |                                 | Council liaison.                                      | Information DDD looks white                                   | C+-ff / D 2010     |
|                    |                                 | Commont staff to include linious                      | Inform existing PDP leadership                                | Staff / Dec 2018   |
|                    |                                 | Support staff to include liaison in scheduling PDP WG | teams of this new requirement and include liaison accordingly |                    |
|                    |                                 | leadership team meetings.                             | in scheduling of meetings                                     |                    |
|                    |                                 | leadership team meetings.                             | in scheduling of meetings                                     |                    |
|                    |                                 |   | Ongoing – confirm that these                                  | Staff / Dec 2018   |
|                    |                                 | Exiting Council liaisons to                           | handover meetings have been                                   | 36411 / 200 2010   |
|                    |                                 | conduct handover with                                 | scheduled   |                    |
|                    |                                 | incoming Council liaisons, and                        |   |                    |
|                    |                                 | report to Council that this has                       | Consider whether Consensus                                    | Small Team / May   |
|                    |                                 | taken place before the next                           | Policy Implementation   | 2019               |
|                    |                                 | Council meeting.                                      | Framework (CPIF) needs to be                                  |                    |
|                    |                                 |   | reevaluated as it relates to                                  |                    |
|                    |                                 |   | guidance for Council liaisons to                              |                    |

|  |  |   | IRTs, avoiding re-litigation of policy issues, and escalation of issues (noting that the Policy & Implementation recommendations adopted in 2015 state that the recommendations, including the CPIF, should be evaluated after at most 5 years). (action item from 2019 SPS) |   |
|--|--|---|--|---|
|  |  |   | Review small team conclusions and proposed implementation of this improvement  | GNSO Council /<br>June 2019                       |
| #6. Document expectations for WG leaders (Chairs/Co- Chairs/Leads) that outlines role & responsibilities | Ensure clear understanding of what the role of a WG chair entails as well as what are considered some of the qualifying skills and criteria. The GNSO WG guidelines provide a general description of       | Review GNSO Operating Procedures to evaluate and amend, where appropriate, the role and responsibilities descriptions of PDP Chair. Develop a briefing document and/or training for newly | Develop list of roles and responsibilities descriptions of PDP Chair derived from GNSO Operating Procedures (completed but not yet shared)  Develop a briefing document  | Staff / Jan 2019 Small team                       |
| as well as<br>minimum skills /<br>expertise<br>required  | the role of a WG chair, but this is not generally considered in WG Chair selection processes. WGs would benefit from a more detailed description of the role and responsibilities, including expected time | appointed PDP Chairs highlighting relevant provisions of GNSO Procedures on the role and responsibilities of WG Chairs that can be tailored for working groups.                           | for newly appointed PDP Chairs highlighting relevant provisions of GNSO Procedures on the role and responsibilities of WG Chairs that can be tailored for working groups.  | consisting of<br>current / former<br>chairs / TBD |
|  | commitment of a WG chair. This could then be coupled with a list of skills and expertise that would also be desirable. This would be helpful for WG selection of, and potential                            |   | Translate briefing document into GNSO Learn Training module  | Staff / TBD                                       |

|  | candidates for, leadership positions. WG Chair(s) would be expected to sign off on this job description and agree to the role & responsibility as outlined, and would also serve as a means to hold the Chair accountable to the WG. Similarly, it could be indicated whether there are any incompatibilities that should be considered such as whether someone can be in a leadership role in multiple PDPs at the same time. |   |   |                    |
|--|--|---|---|--------------------|
| #9. Provide<br>further guidance<br>for sections 3.6<br>and clarification<br>of section 3.7<br>(Appeal Process) | Ensure there is clarity around how consensus is established and what tools can be used in that regard.  Provide further guidance for   | Staff should develop material for familiarizing members of PDP WG regarding the "consensus" in the PDP at the beginning of the process. | Develop briefing document as well as slides to explain concept of "consensus" in the PDP  | Staff / March 2019 |
| (Standard<br>Methodology for<br>decision making)   | WG Chairs and WG membership with regards to what is consensus, how consensus designations are made and what tools can or cannot be used. Similarly, further guidance may be welcome in case there is an appeal under section 3.7 that  | Add clarification to GNSO OP to indicate a 3.7 appeal does not stop ongoing work  | Review current language of GNSO OP in relation to section 3.7 and put forward proposed clarification to indicate a 3.7 appeal does not stop ongoing work. Also consider whether further detail is needed in relation to appeal process? | Small team / TBD   |
|  | would result in a faster response to allow a WG to move forward more efficiently   |   | Review small team conclusions and proposed implementation of this improvement   | Council / TBD      |

|   | during and after the appeal process. Lessons could potentially be learned from other organizations applying consensus as a decision-making methodology or techniques learned during the ICANN leadership academy program concerning mediation and consensus building.   |  |   |                                    |
|---|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
| #11. Enforce<br>deadlines and<br>ensure bite size<br>pieces | Ensure clear expectations concerning deliverables as well as a manageable scope of work. A PDP should have a narrow scope and, in those cases where a subject is broad, it needs to be broken into manageable pieces to make the  | At the outset of the PDP, the Council or Council leadership meets with the PDP WG to brief the PDP WG on the charter and its expectations. This would allow for any clarifications and/or confirmations at the outset of the process.  | Create check-list for items that need to happen at the outset of PDP which includes Council / Council leadership to meet with the PDP WG to brief the PDP Team on the charter and its expectations.   | Staff / April 2019                 |
|   | deadline pressure more understandable and achievable. This may require a more regular use of a drafting team to prepare a charter for Council consideration. There is a need for pressure, but it must be coupled with limited scope, so that pressure for data and dependency would be able to produce results. This would also require the Council to regularly review PDP WG work plans. | Council to review PDP WG charters and determine what works well and what doesn't. This could include discussions with current PDP leadership teams to establish what helped PDP WGs in their efforts and what did not.  PDP WG leadership should engage with GNSO Council in post-PDP evaluation for lessons learnt and sharing experiences. | Conduct review of PDP WG charters and set up conversations with current PDP Leadership team to establish what helped PDP WGs in their efforts and what didn't? Based on the outcome, develop guidance for future charter drafting efforts.  Review WG self-assessment and determine whether this should be updated and/or adapted to include post-PDP | Small team / TBD  Small team / TBD |

|                                  |  | Staff should develop a   | evaluation related questions and sharing of experiences.  Following completion of WG self-assessments, GNSO council to review post-PDP evaluations and engage with PDP WG leadership. | Council & PDP WG<br>leadership / post-<br>PDP         |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
|                                  |  | standardized summary<br>template to provide GNSO<br>Council with relevant data<br>regarding the effectiveness and<br>efficiency against the scope and<br>work plan   | Adapt fact sheet that is being used for EPDP Team to template so it can also be used for other efforts (staff is exploring possible integration with CRM / PM tools)                  | Staff / May 2019                                      |
|                                  |  | Staff will investigate set of project management tools to help WG leadership and council for managing PDPs   | Investigate set of project<br>management tools to help WG<br>leadership and Council for<br>managing PDPs  | Staff / April 2019                                    |
|                                  |  |  | Review small team conclusions and proposed implementation of this improvement   | Council / June 2019                                   |
| #12. Notification                | Enhance accountability of PDP  | GNSO Council to review all   | Review all current PDP WG   | Council / SPS 2019                                    |
| to Council of<br>changes in work | WGs and oversight by GNSO Council  | current PDP WG work plans and advise PDP leadership teams  | work plans  |   |
| plan                             | Require PDP WGs to notify the Council when a work plan, and in particular the expected delivery dates for the different PDP milestones, are revised with a rationale for why these | that any changes to timeline for<br>deliverables are expected to be<br>communicated to the GNSO<br>Council for approval, including<br>a rationale for these changes. | Advise PDP leadership teams and Council liaisons that any changes to timeline for deliverables are expected to be communicated to the GNSO  | Council leadership,<br>incl. liaisons / April<br>2019 |

|                | changes were made and how         |                                 | Council for approval, including  |                    |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
|                | this impacts interdependencies.   | Make better use of project      | a rationale for these changes    |                    |
|                |                                   | management skills and           |                                  |                    |
|                |                                   | expertise when developing the   | See previous item re.            |                    |
|                |                                   | work plan                       | investigation set of project     |                    |
|                |                                   | •                               | management tools                 |                    |
|                |                                   | Council to provide additional   |                                  |                    |
|                |                                   | guidance and/or timeframe       |                                  |                    |
|                |                                   | with regards to the expected    | Review charter template to see   | Staff / April 2019 |
|                |                                   | delivery of milestones. The     | if additional sections should be |                    |
|                |                                   | council or drafting team should | included there to ensure that    |                    |
|                |                                   | add clear milestones that can   | additional guidance and/or       |                    |
|                |                                   | be measured and assess          | timeframe with regards to        |                    |
|                |                                   | progress.                       | expected delivery of milestones  |                    |
|                |                                   |                                 | is provided.                     |                    |
| #13. Review of | Allow for regular review of PDP   | Commence a practice of          | Review GNSO Operating            | Council / Jan 2019 |
| Working Group  | leadership team to be able to     | appointing WG leadership for a  | Procedures and determine         | and beyond         |
| Leadership     | identify early on potential       | 12 month period, and require    | what changes, if any, need to    |                    |
|                | issues                            | reconfirmation by the WG to     | be made to implement this        |                    |
|                | Despite running possibly for      | continue for subsequent 12      | practice                         |                    |
|                | multiple years, there is          | month period/s.                 |                                  |                    |
|                | currently no system in place      |                                 | Small group of Councilors to     | Small team / April |
|                | that allows for the regular       |                                 | continue work of the SPS sub-    | 2019               |
|                | review of the functioning of      |                                 | group to make specific PDP 3.0   |                    |
|                | PDP WG leadership teams. The      |                                 | implementation                   |                    |
|                | Council could run an              |                                 | recommendations.                 |                    |
|                | anonymous survey amongst the      |                                 |                                  |                    |
|                | PDP WG to obtain feedback on      |                                 | Inform WGs & WG leadership       | Council leadership |
|                | the WG Chair(s) on a regular      |                                 | of this new requirement and      | / May 2019         |
|                | basis to facilitate its role as a |                                 | the effective date.              |                    |
|                | manager of the PDP. Similarly,    |                                 |                                  |                    |
|                | there is no process in place that |                                 | Consider whether further         | Small Team / June  |
|                | allows a WG to challenge          |                                 | guidance / tools are to be       | 2019               |
|                |                                   |                                 | provided to facilitate review of |                    |

|   | and/or replace its leadership team.   |   | leadership by WG to help inform reconfirmation process.   |                            |
|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|
|   |   |   | Review small team conclusions and proposed implementation of this improvement   | Council / July 2019        |
| #14. Make better use of existing flexibility in PDP to allow for data gathering, chartering and | Make use of existing flexibility in PDP procedures to ensure that each PDP is set up for success from the outset, and provide regular opportunities for Council to evaluate a PDP's   | Council with support from staff should develop set of criteria to evaluate request for data gathering, and document this as a checklist to be used by a PDP WG. | Develop draft set of criteria to evaluate request for data gathering and document this as a checklist to be used by a PDP WG (not circulated yet) | Staff / March 2019         |
| termination when it is clear that no  | progress with the power to initiate termination if required. The existing PDP procedures  | Drafting teams should indicate  | Review / finalize draft set of criteria and check-list  | Small Team / April<br>2019 |
| consensus can be achieved.  | provide for a lot of flexibility with regards to work that is undertaken upfront, such as data gathering to establish whether there is really an issue  | in the charter if there is a possibility that data gathering may be required in order to respond to the charter questions                                       | Review charter template to see if additional sections/guidance is to be provided to reflect this point  | Staff / April 2019         |
|   | that needs to be addressed, chartering - creation of a charter drafting team to ensure that the charter questions are clear and unambiguous but also the ability to terminate a PDP in case of deadlock. As the manager of the PDP, the GNSO Council should make optimal use of this flexibility to facilitate its role as a manager of the PDP as well as setting up PDP teams as best as possible for success. Care should be taken that PDPs | questions   | Review small team conclusions and proposed implementation of this improvement   | Council / May 2019         |

| #15. Independent conflict resolution. | are not used to prove / disprove theories – such information should be gathered beforehand.  Provide additional mechanisms for conflict resolution for those cases where existing tools have not delivered results.  In those cases where conflict in  | Council liaison to be proactive in identifying potential issues / challenges at early stage that may need mitigation and Council attention.                  | See #5 – determine in that context if further guidance is to be provided to Council Liaisons.   | Council / SPS 2019              |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
|                                       | WGs is preventing progress and/or existing conflict mechanisms have been exhausted, the Council should have access to independent conflict resolution and/or mediation experts.  | Council should consider the establishment of panel of volunteer mediators that can be called upon when appropriate.  | Consider the establishment of panel of volunteer mediators that can be called upon when appropriate.  Review small team conclusions and proposed implementation | Small Team / TBD  Council / TBD |
| #16. Criteria for PDP WG Updates      | Ensure standardized set of information provided by PDP WGs  GNSO Council to provide criteria for information that needs to be provided by PDP WG leadership teams as part of their updates to be in a position to closely track progress and identify issues at an early stage. This would include a requirement for a PDP WG to provide early warning as well as identify potential risks that could hamper progress. | Staff should develop a template for reporting with criteria and information to be shared in PDP WG updates such as issues, risks, progress against work plan | of this improvement  See #11 - Adapt fact sheet that is being used for EPDP Team to template so it can also be used for other efforts                           | Staff / May 2019                |

| #17. Resource | Allow for resource tracking and  | Staff should collect information | See #11 - Adapt fact sheet that   | Staff / May 2019    |
|---------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| reporting for | oversight, enhancing             | regarding budget and resources   | is being used for EPDP Team to    |                     |
| PDP WGs       | accountability                   | to be allocated for PDP.         | template so it can also be used   |                     |
| 101 1103      | Require PDP WGs to provide       | to be unocated for 1 b1.         | for other efforts                 |                     |
|               | regular resource reporting       |                                  | Tor other enorts                  |                     |
|               | updates to allow for a better    | Charter drafting team should     | Review charter template to see    | Staff / May 2019    |
|               | tracking of the use of resources | identify the resources and       | if additional sections/guidance   | Stall / Way 2019    |
|               |                                  | 1                                | 1                                 |                     |
|               | and budget as well as giving     | needs during the chartering      | is to be provided to reflect this |                     |
|               | leadership teams the             | process                          | point                             |                     |
|               | responsibility for managing      |                                  |                                   |                     |
|               | these resources.                 |                                  |                                   | /                   |
|               |                                  | GNSO Council to work with        | See #11 - Adapt fact sheet that   | Staff / May 2019    |
|               |                                  | ICANN Staff to adapt fact        | is being used for EPDP Team to    |                     |
|               |                                  | sheets used for review teams     | template so it can also be used   |                     |
|               |                                  | and EPDP to monitor and          | for other efforts                 |                     |
|               |                                  | report on progress as well as    |                                   |                     |
|               |                                  | resources for PDP WGs.           | Review fact sheet and provide     | Small Team / June   |
|               |                                  |                                  | input, as appropriate             | 2019                |
|               |                                  |                                  |                                   |                     |
|               |                                  |                                  | Review small team conclusions     | Council / July 2019 |
|               |                                  |                                  | and proposed implementation       | ,                   |
|               |                                  |                                  | of this improvement               |                     |