

Election procedure for ICANN ASO Address Council in APNIC Region

Sept. 5, 2002

ASO Meeting

The 14th APNIC Open Policy Meeting

APNIC Executive Council

How AC is elected?

- Three members from each RIR region
- Annual election of one member with three-year office term
- In APNIC region, any participants in the ASO meeting can vote in EC election
 - Same in RIPE NCC and ARIN regions

ICANN ASO MoU reads...

(2) Composition and Action of Address Council

a) Selection. Each RIR signatory of the MOU will select three [3] individuals to the Address Council using the following process:

...

(v) **Selection of the RIR's members of the Address Council will be made via an open and transparent procedure.** The individuals selected for the Address Council must not be staff members of any RIR.

Discussions in the last AMM

- <http://www.apnic.net/meetings/13/minutes/amm-20020307.html#14>
- Clear consensus to change the process, but no clear consensus on how
- Two options had roughly equal support:
 - Voted by people who have attended APNIC Meetings before and/or APNIC members
 - Vote restricted to APNIC members including newly introduced at-large membership at low cost

EC' s consideration

- Recognise desire for change, and major concerns expressed
- Voting system adjusted to allow APNIC members to vote by proxy (one vote per member only)
 - To allow more representative voting
 - Consistent with AC election in ARIN region

Two options supported in the last AMM

- Attendance Before
 - Voting by people who have attended APNIC Meetings before and/or APNIC members
- Membership + individual membership
 - Voting by APNIC members, including newly introduced at-large membership at low cost

Attendance Before

- Pros
 - Can avoid votes by *instant* local participants
- Cons
 - Administering voting rights may be troublesome, and may lead to dispute
 - How many attendances needed? One or Two?
Only latest attendances?

Membership

+ individual membership

- Pros
 - Eligibility is very clear
 - enables concerned personnel to vote
- Cons
 - Affect APNIC's fee structure
 - New administrative burden and cost
 - at-large membership may look like revenue raising. Need to be restricted to just a cost recovery
 - At-large membership is just for AC election

Discussion in EC

- Cons of two supported options are both very significant
- Either of these options may introduce a big burden into APNIC's operations
- The third option for AC election is proposed from the EC
 - Only current membership

Why Only Current Membership?

- Doesn't introduce an additional burden in yet another APNIC voting process
- Allows those entities with a direct and demonstrated interest in address policies to nominate and elect individuals to represent their interests on the Address Council
- Prevents various forms of distortion of the election process (meeting stacking, etc)
- Intended to produce a stable form of representation of the interests of the APNIC community

Summary

- Three possible solutions after one added
 - Attendance Before
 - Membership + Individual Membership
 - Only Current Membership
- Requires more discussion by community
 - This presentation has been prepared to assist discussion

Comments?

- Support for either of three?
- How we should move it forward?
- Any consensus to be made?

Thank you!

Election procedure for
ICANN ASO Address Council
in APNIC Region

Sept. 5, 2002
The 14th APNIC Open Policy Meeting

APNIC Executive Council