

# Forecasting and Event Detection in Internet Resource Dynamics using Time Series Models

S.P.Meenakshi

Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
IIT Madras, India

S.V.Raghavan

Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
IIT Madras, India

Received: November 19, 2018/ Accepted: date

## Abstract

At present Internet has emerged as a country's predominant and viable data communication infrastructure. Autonomous System (AS) topology occupies the top position in the Internet infrastructure hierarchy. The AS resources which are building blocks of the topology such as AS numbers, IPv4 and IPv6 Prefixes are globally competitive because of the finite resource pool. The resource requirement of each country is dynamic and driven by various technical and socio-economic factors. Hence the organizational and national competitiveness for socio-economic development is reflected in the AS growth pattern. Furthermore to assess the competitiveness, plan for future expansions and to make policies there is a need to study and forecast the AS growth. As it is one of Internet infrastructure development indicators, understanding on long term trend and stochastic variation behaviour are essential to detect significant events during the growth period. In this work we use time series based approximation for mathematical modelling, system identification and forecast the yearly AS growth. The AS data of five countries namely India, China, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan are extracted from APNIC archive for this purpose. The first two countries are larger economies and the next three countries are advanced technology nations in the APNIC region. The characterization of the time series is performed by analyzing the trend and fluctuation component of the data. The model identification is carried out by testing for non stationarity and autocorrelation significance. ARIMA models with different Auto Regressive and Moving Average parameters are identified for forecasting the AS growth of each country. Model validation, parameter estimation, point forecast and prediction intervals with 95 % confidence levels for the five countries are reported in the paper. The statistical analysis on long term trends and change point detection on Inter Annual Absolute Variations (IAAV) are presented. The significant level change in variations, positive growth percentage in IAAV and higher percentage of advertised ASes when compared to other countries indicate India's fast growth and wider global reachability of Internet infrastructure from 2007 onwards. The correlation between AS IAAV change point and GDP growth period indicates that the service sector industry growth is the driving force behind significant yearly changes.

**Keywords:** AS Topology , Statistical Analysis , AS Growth Forecasting , Long Term Trend , Inter Annual Absolute Variation

## 1 Introduction

Internet infrastructure plays a critical role in a country's economic, education and social development, by increasing organizational and national competitiveness. In the rapidly growing communication infrastructure scenario, Internet converges with other communication platforms such as public switched telecommunication networks and broadcast medias. To better understand the Internet evolution, infrastructure and performance indicators are essential. Infrastructure indicators help to inform issues related to technological limitations and resource address portability, level of competition in the backbone market and convergence between different communication platforms. From a policy perspective too the indicators play a crucial role in regulating the infrastructure.

Autonomous System (AS) topology constitutes the top position in the Internet network hierarchy. The visibility of each network and their allocated IP addresses to global data communication infrastructure is through

AS numbers and prefixes associated with the network. Internet AS resources are globally competitive because of its finite resource pool. The AS resource requirement of each country is dynamic and driven by various factors such as economic growth, industrial growth and government policies. Hence to acquire the resources, plan for future expansions and make policies there is a need for forecasting. Also, as it is one of Internet infrastructure development indicators [Paltridge, 1998], understanding on long term trend and stochastic variation behavior are essential to detect significant events during Internet growth.

The Internet resources are allocated by Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA). The Regional Internet Registries (RIR) redistribute the resources directly to customers and National Internet Registries (NIR). From the global AS pool, the RIRs get working pool of 1024 blocks in order to meet the current assignment demands. The AS resource requirements technically depend on number of large Internet Service Providers (ISPs) deploying policy based services, multihoming users and usage of AS numbers as identifiers in MPLS VPNS [Huston, 2006]. When a network uses different inter domain policies, then a public AS number is used to realize each policy. In case of single policy network, private AS numbers are used between the service providers and the BGP speaking client network. The reachability of the ASes and their prefixes are ensured by the global routing protocol E-BGP using routing data exchange process. Based on demand from different service providers and industries the AS resources are assigned from the working pool and maintained by the RIRs. The AS numbers are unstructured and have no direct aggregation advantage. But consecutive numbers can be used to separate effectively the domestic and external traffic in firewalls implemented at Border ASes i.e., Great Firewall of China [Xu et al, 2011].

One more technical concern in acquiring AS number is from 16 bit and 32 bit AS resource pool. Some of the existing AS domains routing E-BGP platform support only 16 bit AS numbers. Using 32 bit AS number mean a transition of E-BGP platform to support 32 bit numbers for these domains. Since BGP platform transition takes place in its own temporal phase, 16-bit number AS numbers are most sought after until its predicted exhaustion in the year 2014. The 16 bit AS pool is almost exhausted. From the global 16 bit AS number pool of 64510, 61438 is already allocated to RIRs Huston [2011]. For APNIC RIR, 16 bit AS numbers allocated from the global pool is 7828. In this 801 AS numbers are still unallocated as on 2013, February statistics obtained from the archive.

The AS resource consumption of each country is dynamic. It is driven by various other factors such as economic growth, industrial growth and government policies apart from technical factors. In this work we use time series based approximation for mathematical modeling, system identification and forecast the yearly AS growth. The AS data of five countries namely India, China, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan are extracted from APNIC archive for this study. The first two countries are larger economies and the next three countries are advanced technology nations in APNIC region. Considering the dynamics of resource consumption related to each country appropriate model selection is required for forecasting.

The dynamics induced by factors like economic growth, increase in policy based ISPs, increased multihoming users, competition for 16-bit AS resource pool and indirect summarization advantage have different impact on the long term growth trend and yearly variations. Also statistical analysis on AS data and the reason for temporal variations are sparsely addressed in the literature. So in this work, we have attempted the statistical analysis on country wise AS resource data with the following objectives:

1. To understand the country wise AS growth that is influenced by normal and unusual occurrence of events, technology advancements and economic growth.
2. To automate the process of monitoring and forecasting the temporal behaviors i.e, AS long term growth trend and yearly variations.
3. To understand the global reachability percentage of ASes and its growth.

We accomplish our objectives by exploring the following questions.

1. What are the characteristics of AS data of a country?
2. Why ARIMA models are suitable to estimate the data for forecasting?
3. How the long term trend present for larger economies and technologically advanced countries?
4. How to detect significant changes in yearly variations and account for it?
5. Why assigned Vs advertised AS number ratio different for the countries?

The contributions of the work are time series model identification, validation and forecasting AS growth. Long term trend analysis, event detection for inter annual variations, significant event correlation with GDP and assigned Vs advertised AS comparisons for the countries under consideration.

The paper is organized as follows. The AS data analysis from APNIC data is discussed in section 2. AS data characterization, time series modeling and forecasting are discussed in section 3. Long term trend analysis is reported in section 4. Inter annual variations and change point detections are discussed in section 5. AS routeview data analysis is performed in section 6 followed by related work in section 7. The conclusion of this work is presented in section 8.

## 2 AS Data analysis

The Internet resources: AS numbers, IPv4 prefixes and IPv6 prefixes are allocated and maintained by the RIRs such as APNIC, RIPE and ARIN. The ISPs, large network users such as content providers, corporates and universities get the AS resources on request and statically recorded in RIRs. The growth in allocated AS resources of a country indicates the growth of the Internet infrastructure. We analyze the APNIC RIR data for the countries under consideration to understand the growth behavior of these resources.

### 2.1 APNIC AS Data

The archived delegated resource data has been obtained from APNIC RIR. The registered AS number details related to a country are extracted from it. The APNIC RIR provides the allocated/assigned Internet resource statistics for the countries in Asia Pacific Region. The resource statistics reported are for

1. Autonomous Systems
2. IPv4 Addresses
3. IPv6 Addresses

Public Internet address space and Autonomous System numbers allocated by Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) are redistributed by APNIC RIR to the countries in the region. The resources are managed with well structured policy guidelines.

We have used the record format details provided by the RIR to interpret the data [APNIC, 1992]. The standard record format for the data entry in all the RIRs is as follows: < registry, cc, type, start, value, date, status, extensions >. Registry specifies the name of the RIR and cc indicates the country code to which the resource has been delegated. The type field provides the details on whether the resource is AS number or IPv4 address or IPv6 address. The rest of the fields give information on starting number, the total count of the resource from starting number, allocation/assigned date, whether the resource is reserved or assigned to an organization and room for future extensions. The aut-num attribute [Dimitropoulos et al, 2005] of AS class holds a short description or a name of the organization to which an AS number is assigned with. The summary report specifies the total number of AS entries, IPv4 prefixes and IPv6 prefixes.

## 3 Time Series Modeling

In our work, the AS count over the years is considered as non-stationary time series data. The non-stationary property is confirmed using the Dickey Fuller hypothesis Test. The data has been analyzed for modeling, forecasting as well as to extract the following statistical properties and for detecting events.

1. Long term trend on AS growth over the years
2. Average Annual Growth rate and direction
3. Inter Annual Absolute Variation (IAAV) and direction

The AS count time series for the APNIC region and the other five countries are given in Figures 1 and 2. We have the following observations on the AS count year wise data. The AS number allocation in the




Figure 1: AS Count Growth Pattern Comparison




Figure 2: AS Count Growth Pattern for Five Countries

APNIC region started in 1994. The countries Japan, South Korea and Taiwan have started their registrations from 1995 onwards which is an year ahead of India and China. The year wise AS count of APNIC, India and China exhibits exponential growth trend. Japan South Korea and Taiwan also exhibit exponential growth but combined with in between linear trends. As the data is annual data, there is no seasonality associated with it. The variation is not constant and different variation levels are observed in the growth trend. This property can't be captured using a single linear or non linear equation or regression based models. This observation is also made in [Dhamdhare and Dovrolis, 2008, Resources, 2010]. Since the data is time series , non stationary and the growth is influenced by many factors such as economical growth of a country and new content providers, we choose to use time series models. The time series model that captures the trends of the data, relate the present values with past values and prediction error is the ARIMA model. This model consists of Auto Regression (AR) component also known as lag term and Moving Average (MA) component also known as error term. One of the significant criteria considered by us to select the best fit forecasting model among the model candidates is based on the accuracy of the forecasting and narrow prediction interval upto 5 years based on the observation. We also consider the other criterion such as minimum number of parameters, goodness of fit value and residual independency with constant variance. The non seasonal general form of ARIMA model can be written using the equation 1.

$$(1 - \phi_1 B - \dots - \phi_p B^p)(1 - B)^d(y_t - \mu t^d / d!) = (1 + \theta_1 B + \dots + \theta_q B^q)e_t \quad (1)$$

where  $c = \mu(1 - \phi_1 - \dots - \phi_p)$  and  $\mu$  is the mean of  $(1 - B)^d y_t$ .  $\phi_i$  is the AR coefficient and 'p' is the order of AR component. The MA coefficient is denoted by  $\theta_i$  and the order of it is represented by 'q'. The number of required differencing is denoted by the term 'd'. The lagged terms of 'y' is specified by the notation 'B'. The error at time t is denoted by  $e_t$ . The specific values for the order terms, coefficients and differencing terms are computed from the respective countries AS count data.

The Auto Correlation Function (ACF) and Partial Auto Correlation Function (PACF) coefficients are computed between the series and its lag terms to identify the dependencies of the response variable to its past observations. The order of AR and MA components are determined by analyzing the pattern of significant coefficient values present for the lag terms.  $Y_{1t}, \dots, Y_{6t}$  represent the AS year wise growth of APNIC, India, China, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan respectively. The ACF and PACF plots of AS year wise growth are given in the Figures 3 and 4. The significant values of the ACF and PACF indicate MA(2) and AR(1) order components for ARIMA model. The linear trend observed in Figure 1 supports for a first order differencing term in the model. The linear dependency of the AS Count on its lag 1 values shown in Figure 5 also suggests an Auto regressive model for the data. We select ARIMA(1,1,2), ARIMA(1,1,1) and ARIMA(2,1,3) as candidate models for estimation to overcome the sample noise issue. We present complete details on ARIMA components estimation and validation for the time series of India. The forecasting results with prediction intervals are reported for all the countries under consideration.

### 3.1 ARIMA Model and Residual Analysis

The AS year wise growth data is modeled using the candidate ARIMA models. The regression is performed with observed predictor values on the response variables using minimization of Conditional Sum of Squares (CSS) method combined with maximum likelihood (ML) method. The non seasonal ordering is used in the model. ARIMA models do internal mathematical transformations on the series to detrend and stabilize the variance of the data. The transformations on data for detrending include differencing. For variance stabilization, functions such as log and square roots are used. The predictions are performed on the transformed data and been converted to original series by reversing the transformation process. We have used the R statistical package for modeling [Faraway, 2004, 2006]. The fitted models to the Indian AS year wise data are shown in Figure 6. The ARIMA(1,1,2) visual fitness is good for the data. The estimated model component coefficients  $(\phi_i, \theta_i)$ , standard error, z-statistic and AICc are given in table 1. The z-statistic is computed as ratio between component coefficient and standard error. The parameter is statistically significant when z statistic is greater than 1.96. AICc is a goodness of fit measure computed based on number of parameters and information loss. The ARIMA(2,1,3) model coefficients namely AR2, MA2 and MA3 are not statistically significant since z statistic is less than 1.96. Also AICc increases and variance decreases along with the number of parameters. This may be accounted to over fitting of the observed values and more information loss caused by the increase in number of parameters of the models.

Residuals are analyzed to validate the fitness of the model for the observed data. The variances of the residuals for all the three models are shown in Figure 7. The time series plot of the residuals does not exhibit




Figure 3: AS Year wise Growth ACF Plots




Figure 4: AS Year wise Growth PACF Plots




Figure 5: Lag 1 Plot - India




Figure 6: AS Year wise Growth Models - India

Table 1: ARIMA Model Estimation for AS Year wise Growth Data - India

Model	AR Coeff. $\phi_i$ , Std. error	z Statistic	MA Coeff. $\theta_i$ , Std error	z Statistic	AICc	Sample Variance
ARIMA(1,1,1)	(0.96,0.07)	13.71	(0.85,0.16)	5.3	163	246
ARIMA(1,1,2)	(0.76,0.18)	4.22	(1.74,0.28) (1.0,0.31)	6.21 3.2	164	176
ARIMA(2,1,3)	(0.87,0.40) (0.099,0.388)	2.18 0.25	(1.26,0.37) (0.057,0.454) (-0.53,0.28)	3.4 0.125 -1.89	169	151




Figure 7: Model Residual Variances - India

any abnormalities and the variances are roughly constant over a period of time. The residual ACF given in Figure 8 for all the three models have no significant evidence for autocorrelation upto lag 12. Hypothesis tests for checking white noise property of the residuals are done using Jarque Bera Test and Shapiro-Wilk normality test. The null hypothesis for both the tests is that the residual series follow a normal distribution. The p-values for each model is given in table 2. ARIMA(1,1,2) model has p -value greater than 0.05 for both the tests and hence null hypothesis is accepted. The other two models fail in both or any one of the tests.

### 3.2 Forecasting

Forecasting of AS year wise growth data is computed using the three models. We have taken 14 values in the 19 annual data for estimating each model and predict the next 5 year values. Point forecasts as well as prediction intervals are computed. The prediction interval is computed using the standard error of the forecasts. The 95 percentage confidence interval is computed by assuming the model error follows normal distribution. Forecast standard error is computed from Psi weights ( $\psi_i$ ) that are obtained by converting the ARIMA model to infinite order MA model. The prediction interval is computed as current predicted value  $\pm 1.96$  times the standard forecast error. The forecast series are shown in Figure 9.

From the graphical analysis, it is observed that ARIMA(1,1,2) model fits and forecasts the year wise AS data within the prediction intervals. For the other two models, the forecast values are well beyond the prediction






Figure 8: Model Residual ACF - India

Table 2: AS Count Model Residual Normality Test Results

Model	Jarque Bera p-value	Shapiro-Wilk p-value
ARIMA(1,1,1)	0.04362	0.002736
ARIMA(1,1,2)	0.2215	0.2248
ARIMA(2,1,3)	0.4522	0.03706




Figure 9: Model Forecasts With Prediction Intervals - India

Table 3: AS Count Year wise Growth Model Forecasting -India

Model	Data Predictions	Prediction Interval	Accuracy RMSE
Observed	(247,344,416,487,614)		
ARIMA(1,1,1)	(214,253,294,337,383)	(203-225),(222-284), (238-351),( 250-425), (259-507)	141.36
ARIMA(1,1,2)	(226,292,374,476,603)	(215-236),(266-317), (333-414),(417-534), (522-683)	32.32
ARIMA(2,1,3)	(211,242,271,298,324)	(200-222),(213-271), (221-320),(226-370), (228-420)	174.9

Table 4: Selected Model Estimations for AS Year Wise Growth Data

Region / Country	Model	AR (Parameter, Std. error)	MA (Parameter, Std error)
APNIC	ARIMA(1,1,1)	(0.975,0.038)	(-0.33,0.24)
India	ARIMA(1,1,2)	(0.76,0.18)	(1.74,0.28) (9.00,0.31)
China	ARIMA(2,1,3)	(0.91,0.27) (0.061,0.269)	(-0.62,0.47) (-0.62,0.67) (1.00,0.48)
Japan	ARIMA(1,1,1)	(0.91,0.14)	(-0.33,0.44)
SKorea	ARIMA(1,1,1)	(0.75,0.20)	(-0.10,0.32)
Taiwan	ARIMA(1,1,1)	(1.0,.005)	(-0.98,0.12)

interval except the first forecast value. Also the prediction interval is narrow for the ARIMA(1,1,2) model which indicates that variability of the data is stabilized in the model. We have given the point forecasts, 95 % confidence level prediction interval and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) accuracy in the table 3. The accuracy is computed as square root of mean squared errors which occur between the predicted value and the observed value. ARIMA(1,1,2) model has good point forecast, prediction interval and RMSE accuracy when compared to other models to the given observations. The ACF and PACF analysis, visual fitness of the model, number of parameter significance, residual analysis and prediction properties confirms the adequacy of ARIMA(1,1,2) model for the AS count year wise growth data.

Similar procedure is followed for model estimation, validation, forecasting and selection to the other four countries and APNIC region. For the APNIC region, when compared to other models ARIMA(1,1,1) estimation is visually good. The MA1 coefficient is less than the z - statistic threshold. Variances are roughly constant and no evidence of autocorrelation is found upto lag 12. Both the normality tests confirm the normal distribution of the residuals. RMSE is also less and most of the forecast values fall within 95 % confidence interval. Similar observations are made to this model for the countries Japan, South Korea and Taiwan. For China, when compared to other models ARIMA(2,1,3) is relatively good with the expected residual and forecasting properties. The parameters and forecast observations for the selected models are given in the tables 4 and 5.

Table 5: Selected Model Forecasting for AS Year wise Growth Data

Region / Country	Model	Observation	Point Forecast	Prediction Interval	Accuracy RMSE
APNIC	ARIMA(1,1,1)	(5055,5695, 6433,7661 8356)	(5064,5765, 6513,7311, 8161)	(4839-5288,5243-6287, 5613-7413,5955-8666 6275-10048)	185.52
India	ARIMA(1,1,2)	(247, 344 416, 487 614)	(226,292, 374,476, 603)	(215-236,266-317, 333-414,417-534, 522-683)	32.32
China	ARIMA(2,1,3)	(436,441, 522,666) 729)	(391,434, 469,506, 539)	(365-417,381-488, 377-560,382-629, 381-698)	115.48
Japan	ARIMA(1,1,1)	(747,769 829,943 993)	(771,805 835,861 883)	(701-841,666-944, 620-1049,567-1154 507-1259)	64.42
SKorea	ARIMA(1,1,1)	(813,863 915,1015 1016)	(851,924 982,1029 1067 )	(742-961,696-1151, 628-1335,545-1512, 454-1679)	49.64
Taiwan	ARIMA(1,1,1)	(197,201, 206,307 308)	(197,211, 226,240, 254 )	(170-225,166-257 163-289,158-321 153-355)	39.89

## 4 Long Term Trend Analysis

The long term trend which is a component of AS data time series is estimated and analyzed in this section. As we observed before, AS data has additive long term trend. This trend can be estimated from the observations using a statistical model that explicitly includes local or global trend parameter. We choose random walk model with drift (ARIMA(0,1,0)) and linear model to estimate the trend. The drift parameter of ARIMA(0,1,0) and slope of the linear model represent the average yearly growth rate. In addition to that the slope of the linear model provides the direction of the growth. The estimated growth trend using both the models to India and Japan are shown in Figures 10 and 11. From the long term trend graph we have observed that the actual AS count observations cycles around the linear trend line. The half cycle period is approximately 12 years for APNIC, India and China. But for technology advanced countries the half cycle period is approximately 5 years.

The table 6 gives the trend values for all the countries under consideration. Since the average growth rate computed by both the methods are almost similar, we take average from these two methods for further analysis. Technology advanced countries Japan, Korea and Taiwan have average annual growth rate of 56, 62 and 18 AS counts respectively. Among larger economies India and China have average annual growth rate of 36 and 44 AS counts. The APNIC region has annual average growth rate of 459 AS counts. Relative average growth rate with respect to APNIC region is computed for comparison purpose. India is 1.8 % less in relative average growth than China. Approximately 5 % less in average growth than Japan and South Korea. Out of 56 countries in the APNIC region, the average annual growth rate contributed by these 5 countries is 47 %.

It is observed that the long term trend curve computed using ARIMA model has structural difference between larger economies and technology advanced countries. Here we consider countries belong to larger economies as group I and countries belong to technology advanced countries as group II. The structural deviation significance in the long term trend between the two groups has to be established statistically. For this purpose correlation coefficients between the series are analyzed. Correlation  $r_1$  between long term trend series of group I countries i.e, India, China is computed. Similarly correlation  $r_2$  is computed between long term trend series across group I and group II countries, i.e., India, Japan. Hypothesis testing on difference of sample correlation coefficients  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  is used to determine the statistical significance of structural deviations.

The population variables X and Y are AS count annual growth rate of group I and group II countries. Bivariate normal distribution is assumed for the variables. The samples drawn for X and Y assign values of




Figure 10: AS Count Long Term Trend (1996-2012) - India




Figure 11: AS Count Long Term Trend (1995 -2012) - Japan

Table 6: AS Count Long Term Trend

Region / Country	Period	Model	Average Annual Growth	Standard Error	Relative (APNIC) Growth Percentage
APNIC	1994-2012	ARIMA(0,1,0)	464	64	100
		Linear Model	453	25	100
India	1996-2012	ARIMA(0,1,0)	38	9	8.1
		Linear Model	34	4	7.5
China	1996-2012	ARIMA(0,1,0)	45	9	9.7
		Linear Model	43	3	9.5
Japan	1995-2012	ARIMA(0,1,0)	55	8	11.8
		Linear Model	57	2	12.6
SKorea	1995-2012	ARIMA(0,1,0)	59	12	12.7
		Linear Model	64	3	14.1
Taiwan	1995-2012	ARIMA(0,1,0)	18	6	3.8
		Linear Model	17	1	3.7

Table 7: Correlations and Fisher Transformed Values

Region / Country	Region/ Country	Corr. Coefficient r	z-value
India	APNIC	.97	2.1
India	China	.98	2.1
India	Japan	.86	1.3
India	SKorea	.87	1.3
India	Taiwan	.90	1.5

Table 8: Correlation Difference and p-value for India

With in Group I	z1 - value	Across Group I and II	z2-value	zd (difference)	p-value
India and China	2.1	India and Japan	1.3	2.11	.03
India and China	2.1	India and Taiwan	1.5	1.66	0.09

long term annual growth rate fitted from the ARIMA(0,1,0) model for a country. The correlation coefficient  $r$  is computed between two samples drawn from the same group or across groups. Fisher's transformation [Ghate et al, 2013] is applied on  $r$  for variance stabilization. The procedure for hypothesis testing on significant statistical difference between correlation coefficients is as follows:

1.  $r_1$  is correlation coefficient computed between samples of size  $n_1$  within group I.
2.  $r_2$  is correlation coefficient computed between samples of size  $n_2$  across group I and II .
3.  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are computed using Fisher's transformation applied on  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  for variance stabilization.
4.  $z = \frac{1}{2 * \ln\left[\frac{(1+r)}{(1-r)}\right]}$
5.  $z_d = \frac{(z_1 - z_2)}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{(n_1 - 3)} + \frac{1}{(n_2 - 3)}\right)}}$
6. The difference  $z_d$  is assumed to be standard normal
7.  $H_0$ :  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are equal,  $H_1$ :  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are different
8. If absolute value of  $z_d$  is less than 1.96 then accept null hypothesis  $H_0$
9. Otherwise reject null hypothesis with 95 % confidence level
10. p-value is computed as  $\text{pnorm}(z_d) * 2$  when  $z_d$  is negative
11. Otherwise p-value is computed as  $(1 - \text{pnorm}(z_d)) * 2$

The  $r$  and  $z$  values computed with and across groups are given in table 7. We can observe that for India  $r$  value is high within the group and less across the groups. This can be interpreted as India has strong structural similarities in long term trend pattern with in group I countries and significant structural difference with Group II countries. The statistical significance of difference in  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  and p-values for India are given in table 8. The  $z_d$  values show that the long term trend is significantly different between India and the Group II countries.

## 5 Inter Annual Variations

The Inter Annual Variation (IAV) is another component of the time series. These values are random and does not contribute to the long term trend. Each country has different number of AS registrations every year depending upon the demand within the country. The demand for new ASes each year is influenced by the factors such as increasing number of new ISPs, content providers, application providers and future reservations. All these factors can be represented using the macro economic variable service sector industries. If there is significant AS count variation in a year, it should have occurred due to the domino effect of fluctuations occurred in some of the aforementioned factors.

The IAV values are either positive or negative. We consider the absolute values for our analysis. It is denoted by the term Inter Annual Absolute Variation (IAAV). The computation of it is carried out as  $Y_t - Y_{t-1}$ , which is the first differenced data of the time series. The year wise AS count data has an additive trend. But IAAV is computed on the first difference of the year wise data. To check for the presence of trend in the IAAV, ACF and PACF values are tested. Also normality hypothesis test is performed on the values to fix the distribution. The ACF and PACF values are non significant for all the lags of the computed IAAV data to the considered countries excluding India. In order to remove any trend component present in the data for India we have computed the




Figure 12: AS Count IAAV Distribution Data

second differencing. In these series, data are independent. We have done the statistical tests Shapiro-Wilk and Jarque Bera to find the presence of normal distribution in the IAAV data. Both the tests assume normal distribution for the data as null hypothesis. The p-values of the tests are greater than .05 only for Japan, which confirms the acceptance of null hypothesis. For other countries the p-values are non significant. Hence normality assumption to the data is rejected.

To detect the significant event i.e., change point in variances, we assume the data are independent and the distribution of the data is unknown. The IAAV distributions for the countries are shown in Figure 12.

### 5.1 Event Detection

We define significant variation in IAAV as an event. The impact of the factors on the significant events of the data are ill understood or unpredictable in reality. So we use the statistical properties of the sample data for detecting events [Guralnik and Srivastava, 1999]. This is considered as Change Point Detection (CPD) problem in statistics.

We are using batch mode CPD for identifying the significant changes in IAAV. In batch mode, all the change points are detected at once. Since the distribution of the data is assumed to be unknown, CUSUM [Taylor, 2000] is used as test statistic for CPD. The CUSUM method followed in [Taylor, 2000] is used in our work and given in algorithm 1. Using the computed CUSUM test statistic, the Binary Segmentation (BS) and Segment Neighborhood (SN) methods are adapted to search for multiple change points. The BinSeg algorithm [Scott and Knott, 1974] uses single change point detection method initially on the entire series to detect  $t$  (time) satisfying the equation 2. If the condition is true then segmentation is performed on the identified  $t$ . On the two new segments, the procedure is iterated until no other change point is detected. It is an approximate method with computational cost as  $O(n \log n)$  where  $n$  is number of elements present in the time series.

$$C(Y_{1:t}) + C(Y_{t+1:n}) + \beta < C(Y_{1:n}) \tag{2}$$

The SN algorithm [Auger and Lawrence, 1989] uses exact search method based on dynamic programming. Number of change points we like to search for can be specified with parameter  $Q$ . It is assumed as the upper limit on the number of segments. The cost function is computed for all possible segments in the entire series. Change points between 0 to  $Q$  are considered from all the possible segments. In addition to the cost function, a penalty value can also be incorporated in the search method. As a consequence of exhaustive search, the computational cost is  $O(Qn^2)$  for the algorithm. When the number of change points increases linearly with

---

**Algorithm 1** CUSUM Algorithm
 

---

```

DATA ← IAAV
CUSUM ← 0
m = mean(DATA)
len = length(DATA)
con = 0
repeat
  CUSUMi = CUSUMi + (DATAi - m), i=1 ... len
  con = con + 1
until con ≤ len

```

---




Figure 13: Change Point Detection Using AIC Penalty

time, the computational cost will increase cubic in the size of the series. Both of the search methods use a common approach based on minimizing cost and a penalty function using equation 3 to perform the segmentation [Killick et al, 2012].

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} [C(Y(t_{i-1} + 1) : t_i)] + \beta f(m) \quad (3)$$

Here  $C$  is the cost function of the time series segment  $Y(t_{i-1} + 1) : t_i$  and  $\beta f(m)$  is the penalty function to protect against over fitting. In the change point detection literature [Chen and Gupta, 1997], twice the negative log likelihood is used commonly as cost function. The penalty function is generally used as linear in the number of change points  $m$  i.e.,  $\beta f(m) = \beta m$ . Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) [Akaike, 1974] and Schwarz Information Criterion (SIC) [Schwarz, 1978] are such penalty functions. AIC uses  $\beta = 2p$  and SIC uses  $\beta = p \log(n)$  as penalty values. In this  $p$  is the number of additional parameters introduced by adding a change point and  $n$  is number of elements in the series. The SN algorithm guarantees the global minimum of equation 3 but BS does not provide the guarantee.

We have used AIC and SIC as penalty functions and find the variance change point in IAAV. During estimation of CPD, we have observed multiple smaller segments due to small penalty value of AIC and local minima of BS. In such conditions, we consider the change point commonly detected by both the methods with SIC as penalty function. Change point detection in IAAV level change for India is given in Figures 13 and 14 .

We have observed that the BS method detects four change points in the variance level and SN detects one change point when AIC is used as penalty function. When SIC is used as a penalty function, BS detects three



Variance Change Point Detection in IAAV – India




Figure 14: Change Point Detection Using SIC Penalty

Table 9: Change Point Detection Details for IAAV

Country/Region	Change Point	IAAV Growth rate (%) (before, after) cpd
APNIC	2006	0.33,1.54
India	2007	0.47,2.3
China	2004	0.34,-0.05
Japan	No change	-0.08
SKorea	No change	-.03
Taiwan	2010	.02,-32.4

change points and SN detects one change point. The change point detected in the year 2007 for the level variation is common to both the methods. The graphical analysis of the IAAV graph also confirms this level change in variation. Hence we can conclude that there is a significant level change in variation occurred during 2007 for India. We have observed significant level change in IAAV variation in 2004 for China by both the search methods. But for IAAV series of Japan, there is no level change observed in variance by both the methods. In case of South Korea, both the methods detected three change points when AIC is used as a penalty function. But no change point is detected when SIC is used as penalty function. Since the segment size performed by both the search methods are small when AIC is used, we consider the result of SIC as penalty function. In case of Taiwan, SN and BS detect the change point in 2010 for both the penalty functions. The whole APNIC region has a change point for IAAV variations level in 2006. This change point for APNIC region is detected by using SIC as penalty function. The change point year, and the estimated yearly growth rate of IAAV before and after the change point are given in table 9.

We can infer that the yearly change in variation is with positive rate of 2.3 % for India after 2007. The variation is constant for countries in advanced technology countries from 1995 to 2012 except an outlier detected to Taiwan during the year 2010. After 2004 the variation is constant for China also. India and the APNIC region have significant percentage of change in IAAV after the change point detection. We analyze the macro economic variable service sector growth which is an aggregate measure of factors that influence the IAAV to understand the significant change in variations for India. The Gross Domestic Product (GDP) is used as a proxy variable

Table 10: The Components Percentage Share to GDP

Year	Agriculture	Industry	Services
1990-91	31.4	19.8	48.8
1995-96	27.3	21.2	51.4
2000-01	23.9	20.4	56.1
2005-06	19.5	19.4	61.1
2008-09	17.0	18.5	64.5
2012-2013	14.1	21.1	64.8

Table 11: GDP during 1992 -2010

Year	Annual GDP Growth Rate
1992-93	5.4
1993-94	5.7
1994-95	6.4
1995-96	7.3
1996-97	8.0
1997-98	4.3
1998-99	6.7
1999-00	6.4
2000-01	4.4
2001-02	5.8
2002-03	3.8
2003-04	8.5
2004-05	7.5
2005-06	9.5
2006-07	9.7
2007-08	9.0
2008-09	6.7
2009-10	7.2

for service sector growth.

## 5.2 IAAV Events and GDP

We have observed significant changes in IAAV after 2007. To understand the driving force for these variations we analyzed the GDP of India. GDP is a measure of yearly output products and services of a country. Indian GDP is a composition of outputs from agriculture, industry and services. After the post economic reform period (from 1991 onwards) GDP is mainly driven by the services sector. The table 10 shows the contribution of services sector to GDP during the years. The data is taken from economic survey [Budget, 2012] and various publications of Reserve Bank of India (RBI). The percentage share of service sector is steadily increasing from 1991 onwards and more than 64 % during 2008-2009. Hence we use GDP as a proxy variable for services sector growth.

The annual growth rate of GDP is taken from economic survey and given in table 11. During the years 2006-2008, the GDP witnessed a growth rate of more than 9 % driven by contributions from services sectors such as telecommunication, computer software, railways and education. IAAV change point significantly correlates with this time period. This correlation establishes that the services sector industry growth influences the variations in IAAV significantly.




Figure 15: AS Time Series Data Estimated from Routeview

## 6 AS Routeview Data Analysis

To understand the temporal presence of assigned ASes of each country in the global routing table, we have analyzed the routeview data [Routeviews, 2003] from 01-01-2012 to 01-01-2013. The sample snapshot is taken at fixed 0000 hours of the day. We consider the per day AS count data for the analysis. This AS count is obtained by searching for registered AS numbers in APNIC registry of each country in the routeview daily snapshot. The presence of AS numbers in the routing table can be interpreted as currently advertising ASes from the assigned ASes of a country. These ASes can be reached from one or more geographic regions using the E-BGP paths. At least one prefix of the AS is being advertised by the AS. The AS may be originating AS, transit AS or both. Events such as link failures, node failure and attacks will cause reachability problems to the ASes. The impact of the events will be manifested in the form of stochastic variations in AS counts estimated from the global routing table. The estimated AS data for the countries under consideration are given in Figure 15. We can observe a clear linear growth of AS count in the routeview table during 2012-2013 for India. The increase is estimated as 16 % for this period. For the same period, the rest of the countries have growth below 6 %. A significant stochastic variation is also observed on 17<sup>th</sup> April 2012. During this time period 35 % of ASes are not reachable for India, Japan and South Korea whereas 25 % of ASes are not reachable for China and Taiwan. This may be due to a failure in common link that is used to reach ASes of these countries and the reachability is restored within a day. The advertised Vs assigned ratio is computed using the APNIC assigned AS data and routeview AS data. When we consider the whole APNIC region, only 60 % of the assigned ASes are advertising in the global routing table. It can be interpreted as only 60 % of the assigned ASes are reachable from different geographic locations. For China, 40 % of the ASes can only be reachable from global sources. This ratio from the data indicates that 20 to 60 % of the assigned ASes are not reachable from outside to the countries in the APNIC region. This may be due to the reason that the AS may not be operational or used only in I-BGP. The advertised Vs assigned AS details are given in table 12.

The analysis of AS data in routeview table shows the highest increase of 16 % in the AS global reachability for India during the period 2012-2013. In the assigned ASes, 80 % of the ASes can be reached from various global locations. The stochastic variations of short durations in AS count time series are more likely in the routeview data due to the occurrence of various external events such as attacks and under sea cable cuts. The study on these stochastic variations and inferring the events that caused variations are our future work.

Table 12: Assigned Vs Advertised AS Count 2013

Country/Region	Registered	Assigned	Advertised	Ratio	Increase % (2012-13)
APNIC	9876	8420	5285	.6	-
India	614	607	495	.8	16.4
China	729	551	220	0.4	5.2
Japan	993	800	550	0.7	2.04
SKorea	1016	857	677	0.8	2.11
Taiwan	308	196	116	0.6	2.65

## 7 Related Work

So far in the Internet AS topology Research domain, structural analysis on the AS topology [Faloutsos et al, 1999], topology generation methods [Tangmunarunkit et al, 2002] and impact of routing dynamics on AS topology [Andersen et al, 2002, Zhang et al, 2007] are studied. There are different topological features such as AS node count, average node degree, the node degree relationship with node count and different centrality measures are reported in AS structural studies. Prefix counts, path distributions, average path length and peer counts are reported in AS routing dynamic studies. Sites like Potaroo.net [Huston, 2011] and hurricane electric [Electric, 2013] provides daily reports on ASes, prefixes, peers, routing table size, withdrawn, newly announced and bogus routes. Weekly, monthly and yearly summaries are also provided by these sites on the aforesaid features for country wise. Still understanding on the temporal occurrence of events specific to a country, impact of the events on the trend and yearly variances of the AS topology are to be explored completely. In this work we have chosen the AS node count to explore its growth and variations by events internal and external to a country.

The growth trends in the number of ASes seen in the global routing system and the RIRs are studied by Dhamdhare et al.[Dhamdhare and Dovrolis, 2008, Resources, 2010]. The main observation they have made in their study is that ARIN and RIPE RIRs have shown distinctly different growth trends since 2001 in terms of the number of advertised ASes. Until mid 2001, both RIRs showed exponential growth trend. After that ARIN has grown linearly and RIPE changed to a slower but exponential increase. The number of advertised ASes is larger in RIPE than ARIN.

The AS number resource consumption is extensively studied and prediction for the pool exhaustion is performed by Geoff Houston in his work [Huston, 2006]. In the unstructured 16 bit AS numbers, excluding the reserved numbers like 0, 65,535 and private pool from 64512 through 65534, effectively 1 through 64511 AS numbers are available for global Internet routing. The ASN consumption per year in the global pool is reported as 3500 from 2002 onwards. This is roughly 5.4 % per year. He also reported the RIR pool size in 2006, advertised and assigned ASes. The prediction models he used are exponential and linear. In the exponential model, recent past 3 year values are considered for future predictions.

In our work we have considered time series ARIMA models that use past values and errors in forecasting. The statistical properties of the long term trend for technology advanced countries and large economies are analyzed. We also use change point detection methods on Inter Annual Absolute Variation to detect abrupt changes in the growth within a country. Our work is oriented towards AS resource planning, policy making and growth anomaly detection with respect to a country.

## 8 Conclusion

In this work we have analyzed the AS resource data available in the APNIC repository for five Asian countries and the APNIC region. The countries are chosen based on two categories namely fast growing economies and technologically advanced countries in the APNIC region. The characterization on the AS count time series data is performed to identify the appropriate time series model. The estimated autocorrelation properties upto lag 3 and partial autocorrelation properties upto lag 1 indicate the presence of AR and MA components. The location change in the data indicates a linear trend. Based on these characterization ARIMA models are chosen to forecast the data. The chosen model validation is performed by analyzing the residuals for randomness, constant

variations and normal distribution. From the model candidates, forecasting accuracy is used as an important criteria in final model selection. An out of sample forecasting with point forecasts, prediction intervals and prediction accuracy are reported for the selected model of each country. The long term trend is analyzed using ARIMA(0,1,0) and Linear trend models. The average yearly growth rate and the trend direction are reported. The hypothesis test establishes significant structural deviations in long term trend of India and the technology advanced countries. From the IAAV data, we analyzed for change in variations with CUSUM test statistic using BS and SN search methods. Two penalty functions AIC and BIC are used along with the search methods. The level change in variations and yearly growth rate are reported. The change in IAAV at a rate of 2.3 % after 2007 is hypothesized as driven by growth in Indian services sector. This is established using the evidence from GDP data assumed as proxy variable for services sector. The technology advanced countries witness a constant IAAV value during the observation period. The global reachability percentage during 2013 with respect to assigned ASes and the yearly growth percentage are reported. The significant level change in variations, positive growth percentage in IAAV and higher percentage of advertised ASes when compared to other countries indicate India's fast growth and wider global reachability of Internet infrastructure from 2007 onwards. Our modeling effort reveals new insights and patterns in the country level Internet infrastructure indicator: AS count, for the countries that fall under two groups. The stochastic variation analysis in the global AS reachability data and event detection are considered for our future work.

## References

- Akaike H (1974) A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on automatic control* 19:716–723
- Andersen DG, Feamster N, Balakrishnan H (2002) Topology Inference from BGP Routing Dynamics. In: 2nd ACM SIGCOMM Internet Measurement Workshop, Boston, MA
- APNIC (1992) Asia pacific network information center. URL <ftp://ftp.apnic.net/pub/stats/apnic/delegated-apnic-extended.txt>
- Auger IE, Lawrence CE (1989) Algorithms for the optimal identification of segment neighborhoods. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology* 51(1):39–54
- Budget U (2012) Economic survey 2012-2013. URL <http://indiabudget.nic.in/survey.asp>
- Chen J, Gupta AK (1997) Testing and locating variance change-points with application to stock prices. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 92(438):739 – 747
- Dhamdhere A, Dovrolis C (2008) Ten years in the evolution of the internet ecosystem. In: Proceedings of the 8th ACM SIGCOMM conference on Internet measurement, IMC '08, pp 183–196
- Dimitropoulos X, Krioukov D, Riley G, claffy k (2005) Classifying the types of autonomous systems in the internet. In: SIGCOMM 2005 Poster, ACM, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- Electric H (2013) Internet services. URL <http://bgp.he.net/>
- Faloutsos M, Faloutsos P, Faloutsos C (1999) On power-law relationships of the internet topology. *SIGCOMM Comput Commun Rev* 29(4):251–262
- Faraway JJ (2004) *Linear Models with R*. Chapman & Hall/CRC
- Faraway JJ (2006) *Extending Linear Models with R: Generalized Linear, Mixed Effects and Nonparametric Regression Models*. Chapman & Hall/CRC
- Ghate C, Pandey R, Patnaik I (2013) Has india emerged? business cycle stylized facts from a transitioning economy. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics* 24(C):157–172
- Guralnik V, Srivastava J (1999) Event detection from time series data. In: Proceedings of the fifth ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining, KDD '99, pp 33–42
- Huston G (2006) Exploring autonomous system numbers. *The Internet Protocol Journal* 9:2–23
- Huston G (2011) The 32-bit as number report. URL <http://www.potaroo.net/tools/asn32/index.html>

- Killick R, Fearnhead P, Eckley IA (2012) Optimal detection of changepoints with a linear computational cost. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 107(500):1590–1598
- Paltridge S (1998) Internet infrastructure indicators. Working party on telecommunication and information services policies, Directorate for Science, Technology and Industry, Committee for Information, Computer and Communications Policy, OECD
- Resources CR (2010) An analysis of advertised autonomous system (as) growth trends in different regional registries. URL [http://www.caida.org/research/routing/as\\$\\_growth/](http://www.caida.org/research/routing/as$_growth/)
- Routeviews (2003) University of oregon route views project. URL <http://archive.routeviews.org/oix-route-views/>
- Schwarz G (1978) Estimating the dimension of a model. *The Annals of Statistics* 6:461–464
- Scott AJ, Knott M (1974) A cluster analysis method for grouping means in the analysis of variance. *Biometrics* 30(3):507–512
- Tangmunarunkit H, Govindan R, Jamin S, Shenker S, Willinger W (2002) Network topology generators: Degree-based vs. structural. In: *ACM SIGCOMM 2002*
- Taylor WA (2000) Change-point analysis: A powerful new tool for detecting changes
- Xu X, Mao ZM, Halderman JA (2011) Internet censorship in china: Where does the filtering occur? In: *PAM*, pp 133–142
- Zhang Y, Mao ZM, Wang J (2007) A framework for measuring and predicting the impact of routing changes. In: *INFOCOM*, pp 339–347