

# P802.1Qca – D0.7 Editor's Report

Comment Resolution for TG Ballot

János Farkas janos.farkas@ericsson.com

May 14, 2014

#### **Ballot Statistics**



CATEGORY	All respondents	
	TOTAL	%
Yes	4	17
No	3	12
Voting Yes or No	7	29
Abs. Time	10	42
Abs. Expertise	7	29
Abs. Other	0	0
Respondents	24	100
Voters	N/A	N/A
Liaisons responding	0	0
No. of commenters	3	12
No. of comments	39	
TR	10	
ER	6	

# Most Important Changes



- > splitting the former subclause 45.1.1 ISIS-PCR VLAN configuration into two:
  - -45.1.2 ISIS-PCR VLAN configuration
  - 45.1.3 Explicit ECT Algorithms
- > splitting the former subclause 45.1.2 Use of VIDs for static explicit trees into two:
  - 45.1.1 Tree structures
  - 45.1.4 Use of VIDs for static explicit trees
- > number of further updates in Clause 45
- > updates in Clause 12

### Managed Objects - 1



- SPB System managed object (12.25.1)
  - It is in fact the L2 IS-IS System MO, and a bridge that supports Qca is a L2 IS-IS System
- > SPB MTID Static managed object (12.25.2)
- SPB Topology Instance Dynamic managed object (12.25.3) (automatically created as a consequence of the creation of the SPB MTID Static MO)
  - Qca also builds upon MT IS-IS, hence it is needed for Qca too
- > SPB ECT Static Entry managed object (12.25.4)
- SPB ECT Dynamic Entry managed object (12.25.5) (created as a consequence of the creation of the SPB ECT Static Entry MO)
  - This is the most important MO for Qca as Qca defines new ECT Algorithms
- SPB Adjacency Static Entry managed object (12.25.6)
- SPB Adjacency Dynamic Entry managed object (12.25.7)
  - A Qca bridge is an IS-IS bridge, it needs to build up adjacencies
- > SPBM BSI Static Entry managed object (12.25.8)
  - If a BSI is carried over an explicit tree in a PBBN, then it is needed in Qca too

### Managed Objects – 2



> SPB Topology Node Table managed object (12.25.9)

- automatically created as a consequence of the creation of the SPB MTID Static MO, so it is there in Qca
- > SPB Topology ECT Table managed object (12.25.10)
  - automatically created as a consequence of the creation of the SPB MTID Static MO, so it is there in Qca

#### > SPB Topology Edge Table managed object (12.25.11)

- automatically created as a consequence of the creation of the SPB MTID Static MO, so it is there in Qca
- > SPBM Topology Service Table managed object (12.25.12)
  - automatically created as a consequence of the creation of the SPB MTID Static MO, so it is there in Qca
  - it is needed for explicit trees allocated to SPBM mode
- SPBV Topology Service Table managed object (12.25.13)
  - automatically created as a consequence of the creation of the SPB MTID Static MO, so it is there in Qca
  - it is needed for explicit trees allocated to SPBM mode

#### Items for Decision



#### > Topology sub-TLV Format

- <u>http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2014/ca-farkas-topology-description-0314-v02.pdf</u>
- The main difference between the two formats:
  - > Current format (Format A) is based on Circuit ID
  - > Alternative proposal (Format B) is based on the order of Sys IDs
- > Explicit ECT Algorithms
  - <u>http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2014/ca-farkas-d0-6-tutorial-0314-v03.pdf</u>
  - Six Explicit ECT Algorithms are specified by Qca D0.6 & D0.7?
  - Do we need them all?
- SPBM LFA Multicast (Comment #77 on D0.3)
  - <u>http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2014/ca-farkas-LFA-SPBM-multicast-0314-v01.pdf</u>
  - The main difficulty is that local repair is not enough because the bridges that need to react to the failure are not aware of it.

### Joint Meeting



- > 1: Qcc Qca interworking
- > 31: Is interworking provided by IS-IS, ISIS-SPB, or ISIS-PCR?
- > 12: Do we need the MDP ECT Algorithm?
- > 6: remote & off-line PCEs
- > 13: VID assignment to a learning VLAN in case of LTS
- > 17: VIDs for LTS

# **IWK Meeting**



- > 3: enhanced ingress checking
- > 5: SPBM BSI Static Entry managed object
- > 39: learning VID and non-learning VID
- > 9: Binding multiple VIDs in support of a VLAN under explicit path control
- > 17, 23: VLAN tag vs. VID vs. Base VID
- > 22, 29: what to do in case of illegal mixture of hop types?
- > 36: MRT Root vs. STP Root
- > 34, 35, 37, 38: MRT VID conveyed by SPVID field
- > 27: indexing in Figure 45-7

# Open Items



- Cautions restoration is needed for redundant trees
  - How to do that exactly?
  - Shall we use topology digest? http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/public/docs2013/ca-farkas-path-status-notification-0513-v01.pdf
- LAG with conversation-sensitive frame collection and distribution (Comment #59 on D0.4)
- Annex Z items



