## Performance Report July 14 2020

Tester: me@rochus-keller.ch

Subject: **St80LjVirtualMachine 0.5.6 and 0.6.0** on Linux i386 on Intel Core Duo L9400 1.86GHz with 4GB RAM Using benchmark expression https://github.com/rochus-keller/Smalltalk/blob/master/benchmark/Benchmark.st Using LuaJIT 2.0.x commits 7eb96843ff9d4bed and d518511e4ffe742

Categorized by behaviour, ordered by number of observations, all times in milliseconds

One fast, then slow:			Two or more fast, then slow:			All same medium speed:			
run	finished	duration	run	finished	duration	run	finished	duration	
1	33'735	11'010	1	33'098	13'385	1	14'410	14'677	
2	104'873	23'195	2	92'817	13'175	2	52'810	14'321	
			3	141'666	15'145	3	87'134	14'576	
1	259'477	12'498	4	205'490	21'463	4	119'521	14'443	
2	319'056	21'260	5	386'153	21'454	5	163'304	14'441	
			6	452'859	22'699	6	197'662	14'492	
1	19'580	9'631				7	250'612	14'324	
2	60'609	20'207	1	23'913	11'055	8	299'042	14'278	
3	137'235	20'143	2	70'502	10'862	9	419'603	14'331	
			3	130'342	19'981	10	532'863	14'267	
1	18'482	9'594	4	207'344	20'380				
2	53'065	24'229	5	782'182	20'217	All sam	e high sp	eed:	
3	123'030	24'184				(this was the first set after new Benchmark expression)			
			1	11'011	10'657	run	finished	duration	
1	129'433	12'762	2	57'230	11'396	1	?	10'676	
2	180'316	22'184	3	100'356	19'432	2	?	10'587	
						3	?	10'615	
1	62'834	10'809	1	9'367	10'125	(changed the expression to show finished)			
2	100'257	19'538	2	39'914	12'267	4	329'540	10'669	
3	171'045	19'505	3	71'415	20'382	5	436'904	10'622	
			4	119'398	20'364	(wasn't aware this is exceptional so I stopped here)			
1	34'651	13'387							
2	68'949	19'654							
3	198'654	19'593				Т	he geomea	an of the e	equivalent C++ app is 5'137
One medium, then slow:			G	Geomean fast state: 11'231			Min duration: 9'594		Speed-down factor vs. C++ 1.87
run	finished	duration	G	Geomean sl	ow state:	Μ	lax duratio	า:	Speed-down factor vs. C++
1	15'413	16'072		21'071			24'229		4.72
2	63'183	23'606							

Note: St80LjVirtualMachine is restarted for each new sequence of runs; a sequence of runs happens in the same LuaJIT session; remember that the actual running Lua program is the Smalltalk interpreter; the benchmark runs on top of the interpreter; the interpreter keeps running between benchmark runs; the *finished* column is the time since its start.

## **Conclusions:**

- LuaJIT proved to be able to run the Benchmark in 9.6 sec.

- Sequences of similar durations show that performance is stable over a period of time and then changes abruptly to another (worse) stable level.

- The difference between the fast and slow sequences tends to be around 10 secs.

- This 10 secs difference was already observed in earlier, less efficient versions of the VM, when fast was 42 secs and slow 52 secs in geomean.

- There is no obvious reason why LuaJIT decides to drop fast traces in favour of slow ones.