* [Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/transfer-policy-2016-06-01-en) – Did not find any WHOIS issues to review
* [Additional WHOIS Information Policy](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/policy-awip-2014-07-02-en) (AWIP) –
* Registrars shall not remove the links and message described above when providing Whois data from its own or another registrar or registry's Whois service.

Is this a compliance issue?

* New gTLD [URS Policy](https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/urs/procedure-01mar13-en.pdf), [Procedure](https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/announcements-and-media/announcement-05mar13-en) and [Rules for URS Policy](https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/urs/rules-28jun13-en.pdf) – Being discussed in RPM PDP – do not see any specific WHOIS issue
* [Expired Registration Recovery Policy](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/errp-2013-02-28-en) (ERRP) –
* . At a minimum, these fees must be clearly displayed on the registrar's website and a link to these fees must be included in the registrar's registration agreements. Registrars who do not offer or provide registrar services through a website must at least include the fees in their registration agreements.
* 4.1.2. Additionally, registrars must ensure that these fees are displayed on their resellers' websites.
* 4.2. Registrars must describe on their websites (if used) the methods used to deliver pre- and post-expiration notifications described in section 2 above.

I thought it was a requirement to have a website as a registrar. If a website isn’t required how does the registrar provide WHOIS?

* [Thick WHOIS PDP](http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active/thick-whois) and [Final Report](http://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/whois/thick-final-21oct13-en.pdf)  – see section 7.1 for Thick WHOIS Policy – Stalled due to GDPR and RDAP implementation.
* [Thick RDDS (WHOIS) Transition Policy for .COM, .NET and .JOBS](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/thick-whois-transition-policy-2017-02-01-en) - Stalled due to GDPR and RDAP implementation.
* [Registry Registration Data Directory Services Consistent Labeling and Display Policy](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/rdds-labeling-policy-2017-02-01-en)
* [Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation Issues (PPSAI) PDP](https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=43983094) and [Final Report](http://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/raa/ppsai-final-07dec15-en.pdf) – Subgroup #10 is covering
* [Translation/Transliteration of Contact Information PDP](https://community.icann.org/display/tatcipdp/)and [Final Report](https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/41890837/Final%20Report%20Translation%20and%20Transliteration_final.pdf)
* [Final Report from the Expert Working Group on Internationalized Registration Data](http://whois.icann.org/sites/default/files/files/ird-expert-wg-final-23sep15-en.pdf) (2015)
* [Procedure for Handling RDS/WHOIS Conflicts with Privacy Law](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/whois-privacy-conflicts-procedure-2008-01-17-en) (2008) – New IAG has been created, [Review of the ICANN Procedure for Handling WHOIS Conflicts with Privacy Law](https://www.icann.org/public-comments/whois-conflicts-procedure-2014-05-22-en) (2014) New IAG has been created,
* [Final Report on the Implementation Advisory Group Review of Existing ICANN Procedure for Handling Whois Conflicts with Privacy Laws](https://gnso.icann.org/en/drafts/iag-review-whois-conflicts-procedure-23may16-en.pdf) (2016) New IAG has been created,
* [Revised ICANN Procedure For Handling WHOIS Conflicts with Privacy Law](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/whois-privacy-conflicts-procedure-redline-18apr17-en.pdf) (2017) New IAG has been created,
* RDS/WHOIS [Data Retention Specification Waiver](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/approved-with-specs-2013-09-17-en#data-retention) and [Discussion Document](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/draft-data-retention-spec-elements-21mar14-en.pdf) – Did not find any WHOIS issues to review