



Web Services Reliable Messaging Policy Assertion (WS-RM Policy) Version 1.2

OASIS Standard

2 February 2009

Specification URIs:

This Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.2-spec-os.pdf>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.2-spec-os.html>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.2-spec-os.doc> (Authoritative)

Previous Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.2-spec-cs-02.pdf>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.2-spec-cs-02.html>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.2-spec-cs-02.doc> (Authoritative)

Latest Version:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/v1.2/wsrmp.pdf>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/v1.2/wsrmp.html>
<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/v1.2/wsrmp.doc>

Technical Committee:

OASIS Web Services Reliable Exchange (WS-RX) TC

Chairs:

Paul Fremantle <paul@wso2.com>
Sanjay Patil <sanjay.patil@sap.com>

Editors:

Doug Davis, IBM <dug@us.ibm.com>
Anish Karmarkar, Oracle <Anish.Karmarkar@oracle.com>
Gilbert Pilz, BEA <gpilz@bea.com>
Ümit Yalçinalp, SAP <umit.yalcinalp@sap.com>

Related Work:

This specification replaces or supercedes:

- WS-ReliableMessaging Policy v1.1

Declared XML Namespaces:

<http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702>

Abstract:

This specification describes a domain-specific policy assertion for WS-ReliableMessaging [WS-RM] that that can be specified within a policy alternative as defined in WS-Policy Framework [WS-Policy].

By using the XML [XML], SOAP [SOAP 1.1], [SOAP 1.2] and WSDL [WSDL 1.1] extensibility models, the WS* specifications are designed to be composed with each other to provide a rich Web services environment. This by itself does not provide a negotiation solution for Web services. This is a building block that is used in conjunction with other Web service and application-specific protocols to accommodate a wide variety of policy exchange models.

Status:

This document was last revised or approved by the WS-RX Technical Committee on the above date. The level of approval is also listed above. Check the "Latest Version" or "Latest Approved Version" location noted above for possible later revisions of this document.

Technical Committee members should send comments on this specification to the Technical Committee's email list. Others should send comments to the Technical Committee by using the "Send A Comment" button on the Technical Committee's web page at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-rx/>.

For information on whether any patents have been disclosed that may be essential to implementing this specification, and any offers of patent licensing terms, please refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the Technical Committee web page (<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-rx/ipr.php>).

The non-normative errata page for this specification is located at <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/ws-rx/>.

Notices

Copyright © OASIS® 1993–2009. All Rights Reserved.

All capitalized terms in the following text have the meanings assigned to them in the OASIS Intellectual Property Rights Policy (the "OASIS IPR Policy"). The full Policy may be found at the OASIS website.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published, and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this section are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, including by removing the copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee (in which case the rules applicable to copyrights, as set forth in the OASIS IPR Policy, must be followed) or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

OASIS requests that any OASIS Party or any other party that believes it has patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, to notify OASIS TC Administrator and provide an indication of its willingness to grant patent licenses to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification.

OASIS invites any party to contact the OASIS TC Administrator if it is aware of a claim of ownership of any patent claims that would necessarily be infringed by implementations of this specification by a patent holder that is not willing to provide a license to such patent claims in a manner consistent with the IPR Mode of the OASIS Technical Committee that produced this specification. OASIS may include such claims on its website, but disclaims any obligation to do so.

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS' procedures with respect to rights in any document or deliverable produced by an OASIS Technical Committee can be found on the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this OASIS Committee Specification or OASIS Standard, can be obtained from the OASIS TC Administrator. OASIS makes no representation that any information or list of intellectual property rights will at any time be complete, or that any claims in such list are, in fact, Essential Claims.

The name "OASIS", WS-ReliableMessaging Policy, WS-ReliableMessaging, WSRMP, WSRM, WS-RX are trademarks of OASIS, the owner and developer of this specification, and should be used only to refer to the organization and its official outputs. OASIS welcomes reference to, and implementation and use of, specifications, while reserving the right to enforce its marks against misleading uses. Please see <http://www.oasis-open.org/who/trademark.php> for above guidance.

Table of Contents

| | | |
|-----|----------------------------------|----|
| 1 | Introduction..... | 5 |
| 1.1 | Terminology..... | 5 |
| 1.2 | Normative..... | 5 |
| 1.3 | Non Normative..... | 6 |
| 1.4 | Namespace..... | 7 |
| 1.5 | Conformance..... | 7 |
| 2 | RM Policy Assertions..... | 8 |
| 2.1 | Assertion Model..... | 8 |
| 2.2 | Normative Outline..... | 8 |
| 2.3 | Assertion Attachment..... | 9 |
| 2.4 | Assertion Example..... | 11 |
| 2.5 | Sequence Security Policy..... | 11 |
| 3 | Security Considerations..... | 13 |
| | Appendix A. Schema..... | 14 |
| | Appendix B. Acknowledgments..... | 16 |

1 Introduction

This specification defines a domain-specific policy assertion for reliable messaging for use with WS-Policy and WS-ReliableMessaging.

1.1 Terminology

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [[KEYWORDS](#)].

This specification uses the following syntax to define normative outlines for messages:

- The syntax appears as an XML instance, but values in italics indicate data types instead of values.
- Characters are appended to elements and attributes to indicate cardinality:
 - "?" (0 or 1)
 - "*" (0 or more)
 - "+" (1 or more)
- The character "|" is used to indicate a choice between alternatives.
- The characters "[" and "]" are used to indicate that contained items are to be treated as a group with respect to cardinality or choice.
- An ellipsis (i.e. "...") indicates a point of extensibility that allows other child, or attribute, content. Additional children and/or attributes MAY be added at the indicated extension points but MUST NOT contradict the semantics of the parent and/or owner, respectively. If an extension is not recognized it SHOULD be ignored.
- XML namespace prefixes (see section 1.4) are used to indicate the namespace of the element being defined.

Elements and Attributes defined by this specification are referred to in the text of this document using XPath 1.0 [[XPATH 1.0](#)] expressions. Extensibility points are referred to using an extended version of this syntax:

- An element extensibility point is referred to using {any} in place of the element name. This indicates that any element name can be used, from any namespace other than the wsrmp namespace.
- An attribute extensibility point is referred to using @{any} in place of the attribute name. This indicates that any attribute name can be used, from any namespace other than the wsrmp namespace.

1.2 Normative

[KEYWORDS] S. Bradner, "[Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels](#)," RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997.
<http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

[SOAP 1.1] W3C Note, "[SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1](#)" 08 May 2000.
<http://www.w3.org/TR/2000/NOTE-SOAP-20000508/>

- 38 **[SOAP 1.2]** W3C Recommendation, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework" June
 39 2003.
 40 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2003/REC-soap12-part1-20030624/>
- 41 **[URI]** T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI):
 42 Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, U.C. Irvine, Xerox Corporation, January
 43 2005.
 44 <http://ietf.org/rfc/rfc3986>
- 45 **[WS-RM]** OASIS Standard, "Web Services Reliable Messaging (WS-ReliableMessaging),"
 46 February 2009.
 47 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.2-spec-os.doc>
- 48 **[WSDL 1.1]** W3C Note, "Web Services Description Language (WSDL 1.1)," 15 March 2001.
 49 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/NOTE-wsdl-20010315>
- 50 **[XML]** W3C Recommendation, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Fourth
 51 Edition)", September 2006.
 52 <http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml/>
- 53 **[XML-ns]** W3C Recommendation, "Namespaces in XML," 14 January 1999.
 54 <http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xml-names-19990114/>
- 55 **[XML-Schema Part1]** W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures," October 2004.
 56 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-1/>
- 57 **[XML-Schema Part2]** W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," October 2004.
 58 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-2/>
- 59 **[XPATH 1.0]** W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0," 16 November
 60 1999.
 61 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xpath>

62 1.3 Non Normative

- 63 **[RDDL 2.0]** Jonathan Borden, Tim Bray, eds. "Resource Directory Description Language
 64 (RDDL) 2.0," January 2004
 65 <http://www.openhealth.org/RDDL/20040118/rddl-20040118.html>
- 66 **[SecurityPolicy]** OASIS Standard, "WS-SecurityPolicy 1.3", February 2009
 67 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-sx/ws-securitypolicy/v1.3/os/ws-securitypolicy-1.3-spec-os.doc>
 68
- 69 **[WS-Policy]** W3C Recommendation, "Web Services Policy 1.5 - Framework," September
 70 2007.
 71 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/REC-ws-policy-20070904>
- 72 **[WS-PolicyAttachment]** W3C Recommendation, "Web Services Policy 1.5 - Attachment,"
 73 September 2007.
 74 <http://www.w3.org/TR/2007/REC-ws-policy-attach-20070904>
- 75 **[WS-Security]** Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler, Phillip Hallam-Baker, Ronald Monzillo, eds. "OASIS
 76 Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.0 (WS-Security 2004)",
 77 OASIS Standard 200401, March 2004.
 78 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0.pdf>
 79
- 80
 81 Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler, Phillip Hallam-Baker, Ronald Monzillo, eds. "OASIS
 82 Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security 1.1 (WS-Security 2004)", OASIS
 83 Standard 200602, February 2006.
 84 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/v1.1/wss-v1.1-spec-os-SOAPMessageSecurity.pdf>

85 **1.4 Namespace**

86 The XML namespace [XML-ns] URI that MUST be used by implementations of this specification is:

87 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702>

88 Dereferencing the above URI will produce the Resource Directory Description Language [RDDL 2.0]
89 document that describes this namespace.

90 Table 1 lists the XML namespaces that are used in this specification. The choice of any namespace prefix
91 is arbitrary and not semantically significant.

92 Table 1

| Prefix | Namespace | Specification |
|--------|---|----------------------------|
| wSDL | http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wSDL/ | [WSDL 1.1] |
| wsp | http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy | WS-Policy 1.5 |
| wsrmp | http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702 | This specification. |
| wsu | http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd | WS-Security-Utility Schema |

93 The normative schema for WS-ReliableMessaging can be found linked from the namespace document that
94 is located at the namespace URI specified above.

95 All sections explicitly noted as examples are informational and are not to be considered normative.

96 **1.5 Conformance**

97 An implementation is not compliant with this specification if it fails to satisfy one or more of the MUST or
98 REQUIRED level requirements defined herein. A SOAP Node MUST NOT use the XML namespace
99 identifier for this specification (listed in section 1.4) within SOAP Envelopes unless it is compliant with this
100 specification.

101 Normative text within this specification takes precedence over normative outlines, which in turn take
102 precedence over the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] descriptions.

103

2 RM Policy Assertions

104 WS-Policy Framework and WS-Policy Attachment [WS-PolicyAttachment] collectively define a framework,
105 model and grammar for expressing the requirements, and general characteristics of entities in an XML
106 Web services-based system. To enable an RM Destination and an RM Source to describe their
107 requirements for a given Sequence, this specification defines a single RM policy assertion that leverages
108 the WS-Policy framework.

2.1 Assertion Model

110 The RM policy assertion indicates that the RM Source and RM Destination MUST use WS-
111 ReliableMessaging to ensure reliable delivery of messages. Specifically, the WS-ReliableMessaging
112 protocol determines invariants maintained by the reliable messaging endpoints and the directives used to
113 track and manage the delivery of a Sequence of messages.

2.2 Normative Outline

115 The normative outline for the RM assertion is:

```
116 <wsrmp:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]? ... >  
117   <wsp:Policy>  
118     [ <wsrmp:SequenceSTR/> |  
119       <wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity/> ] ?  
120     <wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance>  
121       <wsp:Policy>  
122         [ <wsrmp:ExactlyOnce/> |  
123           <wsrmp:AtLeastOnce/> |  
124             <wsrmp:AtMostOnce/> ]  
125         <wsrmp:InOrder/> ?  
126       </wsp:Policy>  
127     </wsrmp:DeliveryAssurance> ?  
128   </wsp:Policy>  
129   ...  
130 </wsrmp:RMAssertion>
```

131 The following describes the content model of the RMAssertion element.

132 /wsrmp:RMAssertion

133 A policy assertion that specifies that WS-ReliableMessaging protocol MUST be used when
134 sending messages.

135 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/@wsp:Optional="true"

136 Per WS-Policy, this is compact notation for two policy alternatives, one with and one without the
137 assertion. The intuition is that the behavior indicated by the assertion is optional, or in this case,
138 that WS-ReliableMessaging MAY be used.

139 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy

140 This required element allows for the inclusion of nested policy assertions.

141 /wsrmp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsrmp:SequenceSTR

142 When present, this assertion defines the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to an
143 explicit token that is referenced from a wsse:SecurityTokenReference in the
144 CreateSequence message. See section 2.5.1.

145 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:SequenceTransportSecurity
 146 When present, this assertion defines the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to
 147 the session(s) of the underlying transport-level protocol used to carry the `CreateSequence` and
 148 `CreateSequenceResponse` message. When present, this assertion MUST be used in
 149 conjunction with the `sp:TransportBinding` assertion, see section 2.5.2.

150 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance
 151 This expression, which may be omitted, describes the message delivery quality of service between
 152 the RM and application layer. When used by an RM Destination it expresses the delivery
 153 assurance in effect between the RM Destination and its corresponding application destination, and
 154 it also indicates requirements on any RM Source that transmits messages to this RM destination.
 155 Conversely when used by an RM Source it expresses the delivery assurance in effect between the
 156 RM Source and its corresponding application source, as well as indicating requirements on any
 157 RM Destination that receives messages from this RM Source. In either case the delivery
 158 assurance does not affect the messages transmitted on the wire. Absence of this expression from
 159 a `wsmrp:RMAssertion` policy assertion simply means that the endpoint has chosen not to
 160 advertise its delivery assurance characteristics.
 161 Note that when there are multiple policy alternatives of the RM Assertion, the Delivery Assurance
 162 on each MUST NOT conflict.

163 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy
 164 This required element identifies additional requirements for the use of the
 165 `wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance`.

166 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:ExactlyOnce
 167 This expresses the ExactlyOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [WS-RM].

168 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:AtLeastOnce
 169 This expresses the AtLeastOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [WS-RM].

170 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:AtMostOnce
 171 This expresses the AtMostOnce Delivery Assurance defined in [WS-RM].

172 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:DeliveryAssurance/wsp:Policy/wsmrp:InOrder
 173 This expresses the InOrder Delivery Assurance defined in [WS-RM].

174 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/{any}
 175 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a
 176 schema, to be passed.

177 /wsmrp:RMAssertion/@{any}
 178 This is an extensibility mechanism to allow different (extensible) types of information, based on a
 179 schema, to be passed.

180 2.3 Assertion Attachment

181 The RM policy assertion is allowed to have the following Policy Subjects [WS-PolicyAttachment]:

- 182 • Endpoint Policy Subject
- 183 • Message Policy Subject

184 WS-PolicyAttachment defines a set of WSDL/1.1 policy attachment points for each of the above Policy
185 Subjects. Since an RM policy assertion specifies a concrete behavior, it MUST NOT be attached to the
186 abstract WSDL policy attachment points.

187 The following is the list of WSDL/1.1 elements whose scope contains the Policy Subjects allowed for an
188 RM policy assertion but which MUST NOT have RM policy assertions attached:

- 189 • wsdl:message
- 190 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 191 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 192 • wsdl:portType/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault
- 193 • wsdl:portType

194 The following is the list of WSDL/1.1 elements whose scope contains the Policy Subjects allowed for an
195 RM policy assertion and which MAY have RM policy assertions attached:

- 196 • wsdl:port
- 197 • wsdl:binding
- 198 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 199 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 200 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault

201 If an RM policy assertion is attached to any of:

- 202 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:input
- 203 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:output
- 204 • wsdl:binding/wsdl:operation/wsdl:fault

205 then an RM policy assertion, specifying `wsp:Optional="true"` MUST be attached to the corresponding
206 `wsdl:binding` or `wsdl:port`, indicating that the endpoint supports WS-RM. Any messages, regardless
207 of whether they have an attached Message Policy Subject RM policy assertion, MAY be sent to that
208 endpoint using WS-RM. Additionally, the receiving endpoint MUST NOT reject any message belonging to
209 a Sequence, simply because there was no Message Policy Subject RM policy assertion attached to that
210 message. There might be certain RM implementations that are incapable of applying RM Quality of
211 Service (QoS) semantics on a per-message basis. In order to ensure the broadest interoperability, when
212 an endpoint decorates its WSDL with RM policy assertions using Message Policy Subject, it MUST also be
213 prepared to accept that all messages sent to that endpoint might be sent within the context of an RM
214 Sequence, regardless of whether the corresponding `wsdl:input`, `wsdl:output` or `wsdl:fault` had an attached
215 RM policy assertion.

216 Rather than turn away messages that were unnecessarily sent with RM semantics, the receiving endpoint
217 described by the WSDL MUST accept these messages.

218 By attaching an RM policy assertion that specifies `wsp:Optional="true"` to the corresponding endpoint
219 that has attached RM policy assertions at the Message Policy Subject level, the endpoint is describing the
220 above constraint in policy.

221 In the case where an optional RM Assertion applies to an output message, there is no requirement on the
222 client to support an RM Destination implementation

223 2.4 Assertion Example

224 Table 2 lists an example use of the RM policy assertion.

225 Table 2: Example policy with RM policy assertion

```
226 (01) <wsdl:definitions
227 (02)   targetNamespace="example.com"
228 (03)   xmlns:tns="example.com"
229 (04)   xmlns:wSDL="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/"
230 (05)   xmlns:wsp="http://www.w3.org/ns/ws-policy"
231 (06)   xmlns:wsrmp="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702"
232 (07)   xmlns:wssu="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-
233 wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd">
234 (08)
235 (09) <wsp:UsingPolicy wSDL:required="true" />
236 (10)
237 (11) <wsp:Policy wsu:Id="MyPolicy" >
238 (12)   <wsrmp:RMAssertion>
239 (13)     <wsp:Policy/>
240 (14)   </wsrmp:RMAssertion>
241 (15)   <!-- omitted assertions -->
242 (16) </wsp:Policy>
243 (17)
244 (18) <!-- omitted elements -->
245 (19)
246 (20) <wsdl:binding name="MyBinding" type="tns:MyPortType" >
247 (21)   <wsp:PolicyReference URI="#MyPolicy" />
248 (22)   <!-- omitted elements -->
249 (23) </wsdl:binding>
250 (24)
251 (25) </wsdl:definitions>
```

252 Line (09) in Table 2 indicates that WS-Policy is in use as a required extension.

253 Lines (11-16) are a policy expression that includes a RM policy assertion (lines 12-14) to indicate that WS-
254 ReliableMessaging must be used.

255 Lines (20-23) are a WSDL binding. Line (21) indicates that the policy in lines (11-16) applies to this
256 binding, specifically indicating that WS-ReliableMessaging must be used over all the messages in the
257 binding.

258 2.5 Sequence Security Policy

259 WS-SecurityPolicy [[SecurityPolicy](#)] provides a framework and grammar for expressing the security
260 requirements and characteristics of entities in a XML web services based system. The following assertions
261 MAY be used in conjunction with WS-SecurityPolicy to express additional security requirements particular
262 to RM Sequences.

263 2.5.1 RM Assertion with Sequence STR Assertion

264 This version of the RM assertion includes the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to an
265 explicit token that is referenced from a `wsse:SecurityTokenReference` in the `CreateSequence`
266 message.

267 This assertion MUST apply to [Endpoint Policy Subject]. The normative outline for this form of the
268 Sequence STR Assertion is:

```
269 <wsrmp:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]? ...>
270 <wsp:Policy>
```

```
271     <wsrmp:SequenceSTR/>
272     <wsp:Policy>
273 </wsrmp:RMAssertion>
```

274 The following describes the content model of the `SequenceSTR` element.

275 `/wsrmp:SequenceSTR`

276 A policy assertion that specifies security requirements which MUST be used with an RM Sequence
277 that are particular to WS-RM and beyond what can be expressed in WS-SecurityPolicy.

278 **2.5.2 RM Assertion with Sequence Transport Security Assertion**

279 This version of the RM assertion includes the requirement that an RM Sequence MUST be bound to the
280 session(s) of the underlying transport-level security protocol (e.g. SSL/TLS) used to carry the
281 `CreateSequence` and `CreateSequenceResponse` messages.

282 This assertion MUST apply to [Endpoint Policy Subject]. This assertion MUST be used in conjunction with
283 the `sp:TransportBinding` assertion that requires the use of some transport-level security mechanism
284 (e.g. `sp:HttpsToken`).

285 The normative outline for this form of the RM Assertion with the Sequence Transport Security Assertion is:

```
286 <wsp:Policy>
287   <wsp:ExactlyOne>
288     <wsp:All>
289       <wsrm:RMAssertion [wsp:Optional="true"]> ...>
290         <wsp:Policy>
291           <wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity/>
292         </wsp:Policy>
293       </wsrm:RMAssertion>
294     <sp:TransportBinding ...>
295       ...
296     </sp:TransportBinding>
297   </wsp:All>
298 </wsp:ExactlyOne>
299 </wsp:Policy>
```

300 The following describes the content model of the `SequenceTransportSecurity` element.

301 `/wsrmp:SequenceTransportSecurity`

302 A policy assertion that specifies that any Sequences targeted to the indicated endpoint MUST be
303 bound to the underlying session(s) of the transport-level security used to carry messages related to the
304 Sequence.

305 This form of the RM Assertion says that an endpoint MAY have RM as an option but always requires
306 HTTPS to be used. All the `SequenceTransportSecurity` assertion indicates is that RM's rules for
307 protecting the Sequence over TLS are followed.

308

3 Security Considerations

309

It is strongly RECOMMENDED that policies and assertions be signed to prevent tampering.

310

It is RECOMMENDED that policies SHOULD NOT be accepted unless they are signed and have an associated security token to specify the signer has proper claims for the given policy. That is, a relying party shouldn't rely on a policy unless the policy is signed and presented with sufficient claims to pass the relying parties acceptance criteria.

311

312

313

314

It should be noted that the mechanisms described in this document could be secured as part of a SOAP message using WS-Security [[WS-Security](#)] or embedded within other objects using object-specific security mechanisms.

315

316

317

Appendix A. Schema

318 A normative copy of the XML Schema [XML-Schema Part1, XML-Schema Part2] description for this
319 specification may be retrieved from the following address:

320 <http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702/wsrmp-1.1-schema-200702.xsd>

321 The following copy is provided for reference.

```
322 <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
323 <!-- Copyright (C) OASIS (R) 1993-2007. All Rights Reserved.
324 OASIS trademark, IPR and other policies apply. -->
325 <xs:schema xmlns:tns="http://docs.oasis-open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702"
326 xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" targetNamespace="http://docs.oasis-
327 open.org/ws-rx/wsrmp/200702" elementFormDefault="qualified"
328 attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
329 <xs:element name="RMAssertion">
330 <xs:complexType>
331 <xs:sequence>
332 <xs:any namespace="##other" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0"
333 maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
334 </xs:sequence>
335 <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
336 </xs:complexType>
337 </xs:element>
338 <xs:element name="SequenceSTR">
339 <xs:complexType>
340 <xs:sequence/>
341 <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
342 </xs:complexType>
343 </xs:element>
344 <xs:element name="SequenceTransportSecurity">
345 <xs:complexType>
346 <xs:sequence/>
347 <xs:anyAttribute namespace="##any" processContents="lax"/>
348 </xs:complexType>
349 </xs:element>
350 <xs:element name="DeliveryAssurance">
351 <xs:complexType>
352 <xs:sequence>
353 <xs:any namespace="##any" processContents="lax" minOccurs="0"
354 maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
355 </xs:sequence>
356 </xs:complexType>
357 </xs:element>
358 <xs:element name="ExactlyOnce">
359 <xs:complexType>
360 <xs:sequence/>
361 </xs:complexType>
362 </xs:element>
363 <xs:element name="AtLeastOnce">
364 <xs:complexType>
365 <xs:sequence/>
366 </xs:complexType>
367 </xs:element>
368 <xs:element name="AtMostOnce">
369 <xs:complexType>
370 <xs:sequence/>
371 </xs:complexType>
```

```
372 </xs:element>
373 <xs:element name="InOrder">
374   <xs:complexType>
375     <xs:sequence/>
376   </xs:complexType>
377 </xs:element>
378 </xs:schema>
```

Appendix B. Acknowledgments

380 This document is based on initial contribution to OASIS WS-RX Technical Committee by the following
381 authors:

| | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|-----|------------------------------------|
| 382 | Stefan Batres-Editor, Microsoft | 394 | David Langworthy, Microsoft |
| 383 | Ruslan Bilorusets, BEA | 395 | Amelia Lewis, TIBCO Software |
| 384 | Don Box, Microsoft | 396 | Rodney Limprecht, Microsoft |
| 385 | Luis Felipe Cabrera, Microsoft | 397 | Steve Lucco, Microsoft |
| 386 | Derek Collison, TIBCO Software | 398 | Don Mullen, TIBCO Software |
| 387 | Donald Ferguson, IBM | 399 | Anthony Nadalin, IBM |
| 388 | Christopher Ferris, IBM | 400 | Mark Nottingham, BEA |
| 389 | Tom Freund, IBM | 401 | David Orchard, BEA |
| 390 | Mary Ann Hondo, IBM | 402 | Shivajee Samdarshi, TIBCO Software |
| 391 | John Ibbotson, IBM | 403 | John Shewchuk, Microsoft |
| 392 | Lei Jin, BEA | 404 | Tony Storey, IBM |
| 393 | Chris Kaler, Microsoft | | |

405 The following individuals have provided invaluable input into the initial contribution:

| | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-----|------------------------------|
| 406 | Keith Ballinger, Microsoft | 417 | Frank Leymann, IBM |
| 407 | Allen Brown, Microsoft | 418 | Martin Nally, IBM |
| 408 | Michael Conner, IBM | 419 | Peter Niblett, IBM |
| 409 | Francisco Curbera, IBM | 420 | Jeffrey Schlimmer, Microsoft |
| 410 | Steve Graham, IBM | 421 | Chris Sharp, IBM |
| 411 | Pat Helland, Microsoft | 422 | James Snell, IBM |
| 412 | Rick Hill, Microsoft | 423 | Keith Stobie, Microsoft |
| 413 | Scott Hinkelman, IBM | 424 | Satish Thatte, Microsoft |
| 414 | Tim Holloway, IBM | 425 | Stephen Todd, IBM |
| 415 | Efim Hudis, Microsoft | 426 | Sanjiva Weerawarana, IBM |
| 416 | Johannes Klein, Microsoft | 427 | Roger Wolter, Microsoft |

428 The following individuals were members of the committee during the development of this specification:

| | | | |
|-----|------------------------------|-----|----------------------------|
| 429 | Abbie Barbir, Nortel | 451 | Alastair Green, Choreology |
| 430 | Charlton Barreto, Adobe | 452 | Mike Grogan, Sun |
| 431 | Stefan Batres, Microsoft | 453 | Ondrej Hrebicek, Microsoft |
| 432 | Hamid Ben Malek, Fujitsu | 454 | Kazunori Iwasa, Fujitsu |
| 433 | Andreas Bjarlestam, Ericsson | 455 | Chamikara Jayalath, WSO2 |
| 434 | Toufic Boubez, Layer 7 | 456 | Lei Jin, BEA |
| 435 | Doug Bunting, Sun | 457 | Ian Jones, BTplc |
| 436 | Lloyd Burch, Novell | 458 | Anish Karmarkar, Oracle |
| 437 | Steve Carter, Novell | 459 | Paul Knight, Nortel |
| 438 | Martin Chapman, Oracle | 460 | Dan Leshchiner, Tibco |
| 439 | Dave Chappell, Sonic | 461 | Mark Little, JBoss |
| 440 | Paul Cotton, Microsoft | 462 | Lily Liu, webMethods |
| 441 | Glen Daniels, Sonic | 463 | Matt Lovett, IBM |
| 442 | Doug Davis, IBM | 464 | Ashok Malhotra, Oracle |
| 443 | Blake Dournaee, Intel | 465 | Jonathan Marsh, Microsoft |
| 444 | Jacques Durand, Fujitsu | 466 | Daniel Millwood, IBM |
| 445 | Colleen Evans, Microsoft | 467 | Jeff Mischkinisky, Oracle |
| 446 | Christopher Ferris, IBM | 468 | Nilo Mitra, Ericsson |
| 447 | Paul Fremantle, WSO2 | 469 | Peter Niblett, IBM |
| 448 | Robert Freund, Hitachi | 470 | Duane Nickull, Adobe |
| 449 | Peter Furniss, Erebor | 471 | Eisaku Nishiyama, Hitachi |
| 450 | Marc Goodner, Microsoft | 472 | Dave Orchard, BEA |

473 Chouthri Palanisamy, NEC
474 Sanjay Patil, SAP
475 Gilbert Pilz, BEA
476 Martin Raeppe, SAP
477 Eric Rajkovic, Oracle
478 Stefan Rossmann, SAP
479 Tom Rutt, Fujitsu
480 Rich Salz, IBM
488

481 Shivajee Samdarshi, Tibco
482 Vladimir Videlov, SAP
483 Claus von Riegen, SAP
484 Pete Wenzel, Sun
485 Steve Winkler, SAP
486 Ümit Yalçınalp, SAP
487 Nobuyuki Yamamoto, Hitachi